

24 JUL 1896

No. 336
W

From,

J. Hannan, Esq.,

Supg. Engineer, 4 Circle,

To,

The Chief Engineer,

P. W. Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 1644W, dated 5th July 1895 and more especially with reference to your Memorandum No. 1978, dated 8th June 1895 on the same subject, i.e. as to whether Clerk A. Gnanamuthu Pillai was appointed permanently to the Department in 1889 or whether he was to be considered temporary or on probation till 1894. I now find after a careful personal inquiry and examination of all the records, that there is ample evidence to show that, at all events, the full intention was to permanently appoint him.

2. The only evidence to the contrary is the fact, that no direct order was sent to the clerk appointing him nor was his name included in the return of appointments

it to the Examiners. Why this was not done
the face of several admissions of his per-
manent appointment, it is not now easy
to explain. But that he was permanently
appointed the following documents clearly
prove.

(a) He was appointed clerk IV class, 3^d
grade under Sergeant Cornwall with reference
to Chief Engineer's Memo. No. 1828 of 9th April
1889 and accompanied that Overseer to the
Periyar.

(b) In reply to Examiners' No. $\frac{2706}{298}$ of 12th May
1890, it is stated in this office No. $\frac{245}{44}$, dated
14th May 1890 (initialled by Colonel McN. Campbell)
"that he (Gnanamuthu) was appointed as
clerk IV class 3^d grade on the permanent
Establishment sanctioned in Chief Engineer's
Memo. No. 1828c, dated 9th April 1889 for duty
under Sergeant Cornwall and as such the
sanction of Government to the advance
of Rs 20 made to him is not necessary."

(c) This office Endorsement No. $\frac{85}{M}$
dated 16th April 1890 on an Endorsement,
No.

No. 36 M of 11th April 1890, from the Executive
Engineer, Periyar Division, forwarding
a petition from the clerk, enquiring what
the appointment held by him in the Nilg
Division was permanent or temporary,
reads as follows:—

"Gnanamuthu was appointed as a clerk
IV class, 3^d grade on the permanent Establish-
ment with reference to Chief Engineer's Memo.
No. 1828c of 9th April 1889 and as such was
transferred with his Overseer Sergeant Cornwall
to the Project."

This document is also initialled by Colonel
McN. Campbell.

(d) Again - this office No. $\frac{263}{44}$ of 28th June 1890
(initialled by Col. McN. Campbell) to the Examiners,
reads as follows:

"The Supernumerary clerk referred
to in your letter No. 306 of 19th September 1889 was
absorbed on the posting of Mr Supervisor Lazarus
in December 1889 and that in consequence
A. Gnanamuthu Pillay, was confirmed in his
appointment as clerk of the IV class, 3^d grade
from 17th December 1889, the date on which
Mr Lazarus joined the Circle."

If comment seemed called for, it might be observed, that it would be about as reasonable to question Sergeant Cornwells being on the permanent Establishment, merely because he was transferred from the Circle. Reason (d) above seems absolutely conclusive, confirming the clerk.

4. Government in a recent order have given special concessions to temporary clerks who served in the Periyar, so it will be very hard indeed, if a man who was on the permanent Establishment before ever he went there, should lose about five years seniority and service merely through an omission of office routine, so with reference to para 2 of L.O. No. 1644, dated 5 July 1895, I submit this explanation.


I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t servant,

W. Annan

Supg. Engineer, Circle.



5.1
6293
5583/56

From

The Supg. Engineer,
4th Circle

No. 2891 C of 22nd July 1896

To - C

Dated 11th July 1896
Despatch

- No. 353W, dt 29-1-96
- 202C, dt 11-1-96
- 36982, dt 27-11-95
- 16444, dt 5-7-95
- 19782, dt 8-6-95
- 170C, dt 1-3-95
- 669C, dt 20-2-95
- 3484C, dt 7-11-94
- 1051C, dt 8-3-94
- 18282, dt 9-4-89
- 2883C, dt 31-7-88
- 25382, dt 5-7-88
- 2253C, dt 16-6-88
- 26994, dt 2-11-84

A. Gnanamuthu Pillay
Clerk P.W.D., Coatacannud.

Submits explanation
on the question whether—
belongs to temporary or
permanent establishment.

You favour of
report whether in
the circumstances now
stated by the Suptg.
Engineer, the clerk's
services may be
recognised as
permanent from
17th December 1889. The
last note on the subject
from your office was
No. 45 A dated 18th January
1896.

17/7/96
W.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1896.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 31 July

1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 557A.

To

W.

Your office note No. 2891 C. dated
22nd July 1896.

In the papers subsequent to
your O.N. No. 3698 C. of 27th November
1895, the document on which I relied as
upsetting the claim of the clerk was
letter No. 237 of 19th June 1895 from
the Supg. Engineer 4th Circle, in which
he stated that there was no authority
for the statement made in his No. 263
of 28th June 1890. The assumption then
was, that as the Nilgiri Division was
about to be abolished there was no in-
tention of making the clerk permanent.

2. In his No. 336 W. of 11th July
1896 the Supg. Engineer 4th Circle makes
no mention at all of his No. 237 of
June 1895, but places reliance on his
No. 263 of June 1890. All the other do-
cuments which he quotes were, I think,
on the record before G.O. No. 353 W. of
29th January 1896 was issued, so the on-
new matter for consideration is the

C.L.S. 254 120/7

withdrawal of Supg. Engineer's No. 237, and the result, if Govt. accepts that, withdrawal, is that the clerk can be considered as permanent with effect from the 17th December 1889.

Handwritten notes and signatures:
ocg
C.
31.7

- 2891C d/ 22.7.96
- 353 W d/ 29.1.96
- 202 C d/ 11.1.96
- 3698 C d/ 27.11.95
- 1644 W d/ 5.7.95
- 1978 C d/ 9.6.95
- 770 C d/ 1.3.95
- 669 C d/ 20.2.95
- 3484 C d/ 7.11.94
- 1057 C d/ 8.3.94
- 1825 C d/ 9.4.84
- 2883 C d/ 31.7.88
- 2538 C d/ 5.7.88
- 2257 C d/ 16.6.88
- 2699 W d/ 3.11.84

add 308 1/18/8

N^o 2420 W. d/ 20 August 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Supg. Engineer IV circle No. 336 W d/ 11 July 1896.
Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accts No. 557 A d/ 31 July 1896.

In the circumstances now stated by the Supg. Engineer the permanent service of clerk A. Guanamuthu Pillai will be considered to have commenced on the 17th December 1889.

A.S.H.
9.8.96

7/8/96

Supg. Engineer IV circle
Examiner P.W. Accts.
Resd
18/11/96

Cu

46

Date of commencement
of service of a clerk
in the Virginia
division

From
The Superintendant
at Theeady
To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Recd: 7.8.96

No. 2422 R. d/20 August 96

No. 947 R. d.

D/ 4 August 1896.

2764 c d/8. 296

No. 2420 R. d/20 August 96

Shive Superintendent
at Theeady —
Requesting to obtain
sanction of Govt.
to the — holding an
imprest without security
as it is not practicable
to obtain any from him.

In the circumstances
explained, the grant
of an imprest of
Rs. 30 to Mr. Johnson,
Shive Superintendent,
Theeady, without security,
is sanctioned under
para 10, Chap. IV, Vol. I
P. W. Code.

10/8/96

S. E. M. L. / 2258.
E. P. W. A. / 2258.
at 317/178

obtained, at an early date

Form No. 947 Ind.

For

Copy, submitted to the Chief Engineer
R.W.D., for information, with reference
to his memorandum No 2764. C. dated
8th July 1896

To

2.

Under the Circumstances, it is requested
that Sanction of Government be obtained
to the Superintendent holding the imprest
without Security.

D/

14

9

W. Hughes
Superintendent
Office

D/ 4 August 1896
D/5.

Letter from the Executive Engineer
Madura Division, to the Super Engineer VI
Circle, No. 251 S. E., dated 31st July 1896

Referring to your endorsement No 788 Ind.
dated 14th July 1896, on Chief Engineer's memo
No 2764.C dated 8 July 96, I have the honor
to state that (1) the isolated position of
the Superintendent (2) the locality in which
he is employed and (3) the emergent petty
payments he has now and will have
in future, to make in the interests of
work, renders the grant of an imprest
absolutely necessary. I am of opinion
that, if the imprest is not allowed, the
works will simply stop.

2. As regards security, I do not believe
he can furnish any, considering the
small pay he gets. Further it would not
be just to force the Superintendent
to furnish any security. The post is not a
much coveted one and I do not think
that any one else fit will take it up,
at the present rate of pay.

3. Under the above circumstances,
I have the honor to request that necessary
sanction of Government may be
obtained

From
The Super Engineer
W. Leitch

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No: 948 Ind.

D. 4 August 1896.

1451 Wdy 8.5.96
978 Wdy 18.3.96
985 Wdy 24.4.95

Shree Chok of the
Lake No. I Periyar Dr
— Requesting to obtain
Sanction of Govt. to
the payment of the salary
of the — from 1st and
2nd May 1896.

INDEXED. 26/8

L. W. CURRENT No. 7141

Ans: 7.8.96

No: 2423 Wdy 20 August 96

H.C.
B
18.9.96

Sanctioned.

10/8/96

S. E. V. L. L. L.
E. P. W. A.

AKS 316/12/12/12

Letter from the Executive Engineer,
Madura Division, to the Supt. Engineer
II Circle, No 253 S.E. dated 31st July 1896.

I have the honor to inform you
that B. Soobrahameya Aiyah temporary
Clerk late to Periyas Division, whose
retention in service to end of April 1896
was sanctioned in G.O. No. ^{1457 W}_{8.5.96} and who
was in charge of Store and Stock accounts
of that Division, was allowed to continue
in his appointment for 2 days in May/96
(1st & 2nd) and engaged in completing the
handing over of returns &c connected
with his work. As his retention was
absolutely necessary in the interests of
public service I have the honor to request
that sanction of Government may be
obtained for the Clerk's salary for 1st
and 2nd May 96 at Rs 60 per mensem being
claimed and passed for payment

No. 948 Ind.

Copy submitted to the Chief Hyg.
P.W.D. for sanction of Government & the
disbursement of the salary of the Clerk for
1st & 2nd May 96.

Df. 4 August 1896
8/5-

W. Hughes
Supt. Engineer II Circle

Survey Establish. Madras

B. No. 2427 W, d. 20 - August 1896. 96.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Suptg. Engineer II Circle, No. 1078 K.N., d/27th July 1896.

Endorsement by the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, No. ---d/13th August 1896.

Sanction is accorded to the ---
entertainment, for 1 month and 15
days, of a surveyor on Rs. 60 and four
lascars on Rs. 6 each per mensem for
the investigation of private tanks
affecting Railways in the Kistna
Northern Division.

2. This sanction has been regis-
tered as Provincial No. 44 W of
1896-97, and classified as Estab-
lishment, Temporary.

3. The following telegram will be
sent to the Superintending Engineer
II Circle:-

Your 1171 K.N. eighth instant.
Survey establishment sanctioned."

The Suptg. Engineer II Circle.
,, Chief Engr. for Irrigation.
,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

Note.

The proposal has not been sub-
mitted to Government in a complete
form. There seems to ~~have~~ been pre-
vious correspondence, and a possible

law

the
law suit in view, but reference to
these matters is only incidental.
The survey establishment would, how-
ever, appear to be urgently required,
and the Secretary therefore does
not feel justified in withholding
the papers pending the supply of
further information.

17/8/86
W.

No. 2427, dt 20 - August 1896.
A.

B.

Recd 1.9.

INDEXED.

1.9.

Public Works (1896) Department.

Current Number 7662

Res. 24.8.96
Encls. 2

Forwarded to the Chief
Engineer, Public Works
Department with the request
that the sanction applied for
may be sanctioned -
2. It is requested that the
sanction may be communicated
by wire to the Superintending
Engineer.

A. C. Buchanan

Col. R. R.

Chief Engineer
Do (to be amended)
13 August 1896

OS336

Letter from the Engr. Engineer, Kistna
Northern D., to the Supg Engineer,
2nd Circle, dt 8th July 96, N.O. 210 S.E.

With reference to your N.O. 229
dt 28th April 96 I have the honor
to forward herewith Mr Khanga
report on the Mustabad, Suravaram
and Kuzwid tanks recently in-
spected by him.

2. From the report it will be ob-
served that the surplus arrange-
ments are nil in the case of the
Mustabad tank and they appear
to be inadequate for the other two.

3. The drainage areas of these tanks
are approximately as follows:-

Mustabad	7	Square miles	(not mentioned by Mr. Khanga)
Suravaram	5	"	"
Kuzwid	10	"	"

With a coefficient of 450 the dis-
charge from the respective drainage
areas may be expected to be

Mustabad - 1646.68 Cft per second.

Suravaram 1315.80 " " "

Kuzwid - 2088.71 " " "

4. It seems to me to be a matter of
importance that the Mustabad
tank should be provided with

Supplies arrangements as soon as possible or not allowed to be filled.
5. Mr. Kharegat had no time to make detailed investigations or take levels and if the matter to go into Court, we must be provided with definite and accurate measurements and levels so that there can be no manner of doubt about our statements. I would therefore, request sanction to employ a surveyor to take the necessary levels and measurements. I have no one to spare and Mr. Kharegat's absence for even the few days necessary to take the observations made, has caused delay in the work on the Beywada Tanks.

No 1078 Ktr
27.7.96



Subj: 1 Proposition statement

Forwarded to the
Chief Engineer for Irrigation for
favor of obtaining the sanction of
Government to the temporary establishment
applied for.

W. B. D. S.

Major R. L.

Superintendent



No. 1123K of 1st August 1896.

Returned to the Supg. Eng^r
Second Circle, for signature
and resubmission

(By order)

J. Wilson
Asst. Chief Engineer
18/8/96

Collected
1st August 96
20/7/96

End /

No. 1078 K.N.
27.7.96

Encls 1

Private tanks affecting
Railways in K.N.D. -
Request for sanction
of Govt. to the temporary
establishment applied, as per
Propn. Statement to investigate
the same.

2662/96

CHIEF ENGINEER
FOR IRRIGATION.

30 JUL. 96

No. 384 P. W.

No.


NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing sanctioned scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.



P. W. D. Form No. 113.

500-12-7-95.

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II.

Tabular Statement to accompany proposals
for changes in establishments.

Statement of proposition for

* In the case of appointments on progressive pay, the "average" should be

Certified that the entries under the head "present scale" have been duly verified by me.

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

Madras.

revision of establishment.

calculated according to the rules in the Pay[and Acting allowance code.

Wm Copy 1

180/ H. J. Keeling

Dated the

27 July 1896

189 (

Mrs. Wm. M. Manager

Executive Engineer.

Kutua Northern Division

645.2
7662/96