

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 2379. W

DATED 18th August

[Despatch Abstract.]

Tent

Considering that Mr Mildred, Executive Engineer, should pay the cost of repairs to a Government —, the damage to the tent, having been caused by carelessness.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

M. Mildred Lodge,
Executive Engineer,
Finneux Division

To The Superintending Engineer
Sixth Circle -

Li.

I have the honor to report that while I was in camp at Thondanagaram on the 27th May, the Government Servants sent in use by me, accidentally caught fire through the carelessness of my Servants, and before the fire could be extinguished the greater part of the Tent was destroyed. I sent the Tent to the Vellore Jail for Repair and have received a Bill for Rs. 40 from the Superintendent of the Jail - I request to know whether the cost of repairs should be borne by Government or by me.

me.

It was purely an accident. The fire for cooking purposes was placed about 2 feet away from the front of the tent and during the middle of the day when my servants were sleeping, the front flap of the tent was blown over the fire by the strong wind blowing at the time.

If necessary, I request the orders of Government may be obtained for future guidance in similar cases.

I have the honor to be,
Sir, C. Mildred
Executive Engineer.

No. 852 T.N.

Copy Submitted
to the Chief Engineer P.W.
Department

Department for orders, as to who should bear the cost of repairs of the tent. The price of a new tent is Rs. 55.

W. Hughes

Superintg Engineer
P.W.D.

Superintendent's office
P.W.D.

D. 22 July 1896.
D/23

W. Hughes

P.W.

6684.

From
The Supt Engineer
To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Recd: 24.7.96

No. 852 T.N.
Dy. 22 July 1896.

6363

Timmelly Division
Executive Engineer's
Government Servants
Tent — Requesting
to be informed of the
party who should bear
the cost of repairing
the — which has been
damaged by fire
in Camp.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Suptg: Engineer VI Circle. H.E.No. 852 T.N.d/ 22nd July/96.

The destruction of this tent was due to
the carelessness of Mr. Mildred's servants.
Mr. Mildred is responsible for the act of
his servants. I cannot see that there is
any special case here, and I think
Mr. Mildred should be required to pay for
the repairs.

8.44
2.8.96

The cost of repairing the
tent in question will be borne by
Government as a special case.
2. The Government is however un-
-able to agree with the Executive
Engineer that the destruction of
the tent was due to purely an ac-
-cident. A fire kindled so close
to the tent should not have been
left burning while the servants
went to sleep.

31/7/96

S.E.VI Circle.

Exr: P.W.Accounts.

[P. W. 40.
2,000-6-00]

6.12.96 R7/8.

Cy

N^o 2379 W. d/18 August 1896

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Superintending Engineer VI Circle, No. 852 T.N., d/22nd July 96.

The damage to the tent having been caused by the carelessness of ~~the Government~~ *consider that* ~~Mr. Mildred's servants, and as Mr.~~ Mildred ~~is responsible for the acts of his servants, he~~ should pay the cost of repairs.

4/8/96

RE

2/14/96

The Suptg. Engineer VI Circle.

,, Examiner, P.W. Accounts.

Cost of repairing a damaged tent belonging to the Supt. Engineer's division

11

7.96

2/14/96

23.6. 26 N-388 2nd

N^o 2379W- d/18 August 96

INDEXED.

20/8

Wals

C & W

I doubt if it is entirely
to the in a G.O that an
officer is responsible for the
acts of his servants can
be not but is difficult
the damage at the time being
been cause of confusion
I need consider that the
the other ^{side} ~~side~~ for the cost
of repairs."

Cannot a matter of the sort
be settled of the in E.W
without going to H.E.

5/8/96

W

It would probably be
better to word the G.O. as
suggested above.

The Executive Engineer
expressly asked for the orders
of "God" - and the sanction
of the Chief Engineer would
not have been sufficient
for the admission of the

Now that the En. Engineer
has to pay, it would perhaps
be even more desirable that
the order should go out in
the form of a "Q.D." otherwise
there would be an impression
that the Chief Engineer has
been unduly harsh and
has not even sought the
clemency of the Q.D.

R.S.

5/11/96

The draft order has been
altered. It has not been
marked for circulation

R.S.

attached

5/11/96