

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 1930 W

DATED 2nd July 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mr. W. A. Laughlin, late of the Public
Works Accounts office —
Orders on the petition of
praying for an average pension
and a bonus of twelve months
Salary.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 1930 W, d. 2nd July 1896.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Petition from Mr. W. A. Laughlin,
late Accountant, office of the
Examiner P. W. Accounts,
Madras. } H. E. No — 4/11 June 1896

Mr. Langhtin's attention
is drawn to G.O. N^o 140 W dated
19th January 1895. His present
petition is rejected under
clause (3) Rule IV of the
Petition rules.

25/6/86

To.
Mr. W. A. Laughlin
with copy of clause (3)
Rule ~~IV~~ V

~~400~~ ✓
Petition from W. A.
Langhlin, late of the
P. W. Accounts Office

No. 1930 W, d. 2nd July 1896

8/7 22/7

Jr. Secy P.W.D.
Genl. Secy
H. 13.6

(11)
His Excellency Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock
G. C. M. G. I. C. I. C.

Governor in Council
Fort St George
Madras.

The humble petition of William Alexander
Langhlin, late Accountant, Fourth Grade,
in the Office of Examiners of P. W. Accounts
Madras, but now a pensioner residing
at 10 Langs Gardens

Madras.

May it please Your Excellency
"Fiab-Justitia ruat-Celum"

Actuated by a sense of Your Excellency
and Your Excellency's Confirmed renowned love for justice
which is the prerogative of and inherent to every
Englishman and British Subject as known throughout
the World, who are ever ready to redress the wrongs done
another, so admirably maintained in all proceedings
by Your Excellency and Colleagues in Council, much
more to those the Servants of the State and whose
motto is "Fiab-Justitia ruat-Celum" Your
Excellency's poor distressed petitioner the son of an
Irish Soldier bailing from the County of Armagh
in England and who had seen action at Waterloo
as well as in the early Indian Campaigns Chaves

pardon, if he should exhibit the martial proclivity inherited from his progenitor of returning to the charge though as often repulsed.

II. Your petitioner ventured to address Govt in respect to his claim of an Accountant of the Fourth Grade in the Office of the Examiners of P. W. Accounts, Madras, whereby he became fully Entitled to an average pension and bonus of twelve months salary on more occasions than one, yet sad to tell without avail. The Grievance which your petitioner has laboured under for years past is small when Compared with the wonted magnanimity of the Govt which inflicted it upon him, but great when Contrasted with his abject Condition and penury, and it is to this self same Govt now presided over by Your Excellency that he ventures once more to differentially raise a wail not without a hope that it would resound through the Council Chambers and touching the Compassionate heart of Your Excellency meet with a responsive Echo. Instead of pensioning him upon that footing, he was allotted only one third Ordinary Pension as though he had served Govt merely as a Common Clerk. The injury thus done to him ^{is} so flagrant that words are in vain to express it, and to expatiate on it would be a Superfluity. The surprise is that the injury should have at all been inflicted on him, but truth is stronger than fiction as the sequel would show.

III. Your petitioner is now a Cripple, suffering from Paralysis and in his waning years, verging upon

the utmost limit in the span of human life receiving a meagre pension of Rupees 26 per mensem and totally incapable of adding a fraction to his income by reason of his deers pitudes to support his large growing Family of 10 Souls. Even at this distant date, because the author of the Book of Wisdom says "Justice is immortal and perpetual" in the earnest and Confident hope it will evoke that due Consideration which hitherto had been denied him, as Evident from the following facts briefly set forth and consequently his just claim of an Accountant of the Fourth Grade as being justly Eligible to an average pension and bonus of 12 months salary of that grade - the Concession accorded by Govt of India Resolution of 2079 P. W. Department dated 31st July 1879 (Finance & Commerce Depart) instead of that now granted him viz only one third Ordinary Pension as a mere clerk which he was not from the date of his Entertainement to the date of his retirement. Even were it to be maintained that he was only so, (i.e.) a Clerk, such an assertion cannot be tenable, if not previously, at least from 25th July 1876 the date of his attested Covenant to serve the State as a Fourth Grade Accountant by virtue whereof had he been ordered to any part of British India he would have had to go undemandingly without rendering himself liable for prosecution for "Criminal Breach of Contract of Service."

IV. Your humble petitioner entered the Service of the State on 1st June 1862, first as an Accountant in the Army Clothing Agency Departt in which he was

(4)

up to 30th April 1863 on which date he was thrown out of Employ consequent upon the reclassification of that Departmental Establishment effected from 1st May 1863 pursuant to instructions contained in and conveyed by Proceedings of Govt. No. 1372 dated 27.4.1863, after a break of Service of four months he was entertained as an Accountant in the Govt. Printing Establishment here from September 1863 to 17th May 1865 when he was removed from his post by virtue of G. O. No. 513 of 17th May 1865 consequent upon his having availed of the benefit of the Insolvent Act which removal had been condoned by the Govt. per its Order No. 1810 of 1865 which state that there was "no objection to the re-employment of Mr. Laughlin in the Public Service" and notwithstanding the adverse opinion entertained by the Examiners of P. W. Accounts on his retirement Govt. remarked in No. 2052 W dated 30.8.80 "this period may be counted as Service towards pension" which as a straw, shows the direction in which the wind raised by the Examiners P. W. Accounts was made to blow towards Your Excellency's humble petitioner. After his removal from the Govt. Printing Establishment petitioner was employed as an Accountant, first on the temporary Establishment of the Controller of P. W. Accounts for a period of nine months from 1st Sept. 1865 to 31st May 1866 when he was eventually brought substantively on the permanent Establishment - vide G. O. No. 2052 W dated 30.8.1880 - as aforesaid and in which he continued as an

(5)

Accountant, but not as a Clerk, up to the date of his retirement from the Service, never having ever been reduced or degraded from that grade to that of a Clerk either temporarily or permanently, but was always employed on accounts, from the date of his entertainment to date of his retirement so much so that in 1876 he was called on, and on the 25th July 1876 he entered into a Covenant as already referred to in the preceding paragraph of his petition in the following words.

"I William Alexander Laughlin on being engaged as Fourth Grade Accountant do hereby declare my readiness to serve as Fourth Grade Accountant in any place in the Presidency of Madras and as Accountant in the Fourth or in any higher grade in any place in British India. I further declare that all the instructions and regulations contained in the P. W. Code have been read over by me and that I clearly understand them and thereby bind myself to obey and abide by the instructions and regulations contained in the P. W. Code or that may from time to time be issued by Govt. as witness my hand this Twentyfifth day of July 1876" Signed W. A. Laughlin "Accountant Fourth Grade" and witnessed or attested by J. J. Maddox and Srinivasulu Aidiw" So binding an Agreement or Covenant had been entered into by Your petitioner which shows that it was not for no reason whatever but for a specific design scope and reason, as such it was not a nudum pactum one. It cannot be

annulled now added to, consequently the promise made or indulgence held out to effect the said contract cannot be made of none effect. It is a contract in which nothing is ambiguous but the terms are clearly stated reduced to writing set forth in black and white, it does not declare one thing in writing to mean something different. It was to serve as an Accountant.

V. In the absence of anything to the contrary regard must be had to the aforesaid Covenant or Agreement of your petitioners and to the evident fact of his name having been included as 9th in the list of 12 persons which was sent by the Examiner P.W. Accounts to the Accountant General P.W.D. (Bengal) on 26th Febry 1878 after having satisfied himself that each party had att and singularly signed the agreement required of them by putting the question in writing "have all these signed the Agreement" initialled "L. C. G." and Mr. Maddox the Chief Accountant having replied in the affirmative by writing "yes" accompanied with "History of Services" of each forwarded with his letter No 188 A. in the following words:-

"Referring to your letter No 32 E dated 10th Jan'y 1878. I have the honor to forward a list containing the names of those members employed in the Accounts Establishment of this Presidency whom I consider of being brought on the graded list of Accountants without undergoing the prescribed entrance examination. With one exception the Accountants referred to are drawing Salaries ranging from the maximum to the minimum of Accountants Fourth Grade.

Mr. Maddox who is at present the Chief Accountant of my Office is on receipt of a salary of Rs 275/- which brings him within the pale of a second grade Accountantship. I shall be glad therefore to have him graded as such without having to undergo the prescribed examinations so that there may be no bar to his promotion to a higher grade. I would here mention that Mr. Maddox's Conduct has recently been brought favorably to your notice vide this Office No 165 A dated 11th January 1878. This elicited the following reply in No 53/E dated Simla 12th June 1878 "In reply to your letter No 188 A of 26th February last I have the honor to state that I have no objection to your proposal to have Mr. Maddox Accountant of your Office graded as Accountant 2nd Grade without passing the prescribed test, but I consider that he should pass an Accounts before his promotion to 1st Grade. The rest of the answer referred to in your letter might be exempted from the test prescribed for admission but from nothing else signed L. C. G. Lead Seal Lead Accountant Genl P.W.D." The fact of the name of your petitioners having figured as 9th in the said list of 12 with the remark "Work and Conduct Satisfactory" against his name and the fact of his having been virtually employed in the Accounts Branch as evident even from the said No 188 A as an Accountant and not as a Clerk in Copying out, far had he been one of the latter description only no necessity

of 17th May 1879 and P.O. at 218W of 38th Army
 1880 and by the rules of the Service, Section 89 of
 the Civil Pension Code even, then operating, Your
 humble petitioner most respectfully craves the
 Govt will be benignly pleased to recommend it
 Submit his case for the favorable consideration
 of the Govt of India as an exceptional one vide
 the Secretary of the States despatch of 17th dated
 30.9.1865. Since the said Govt of India Resolution
 of 2079 P.W.D dated 31st July 1879 under which
 he retired accorded such indulgence vide also Rule
 1 of Section 102 of Civil Pension Code for a like
 indulgence had been accorded to one Triumal P.
 who was not an Accountant but only a Clerk,
 who was not one of the twelve whose names appeared
 in the list that accompanied the letter of the Examiner
 P.W. Accounts of 188 A dated 26.2.1878 to the Account-
 -ant General P.W.D. (Bengal) and approved of
 by that Officer per his M 31 E of Dmd 12th
 June 1878. On the latter's retirement from the Service
 at the same time as Your petitioner and who though
 only a Clerk yet was allowed the indulgence
 conceded to Accountants only by the said P.W.D.
 P.W.D. dated 31st July 1879.

VII On the Examiner P.W. Accounts being called on,
 sometime after the names of the 12 men, as above said
 were submitted to and approved by the Accountant
 General P.W.D.

(Bengal) for exemption from passing the entrance
 examination to be enrolled as Accountants, to
 submit a full list of men qualified to be graded
 as Accountants this was done with the names of the
 above said 12 men, reading it, which twelve names
 were scored out by Col. L. Conway Gordon who
 said as they were already submitted they, the
 men were entitled to be graded as Accountants as
 recommended and approved by the Accountant
 General P.W.D. (Bengal)

VIII. The very fact of your humble petitioner having
 been made to execute the Covenant or agreement
 particularised in para 4 supra, does most
 incontrovertibly prove that he was to all intents and
 purposes Defacto and De jure an Accountant of
 the 4th Grade a fact which remains challenged to this
 day such in fact he was and was acknowledged
 as such also in the Annual Classified list of
 Public Servants for 1876/77 and previous years
 published under the Authority of the Madras
 Govt. The terms of the agreement are
 specifically expressive and was never intended to
 be a dead letter or to be treated as a Sham,
 or consigned to the waste paper basket at the
 will of either party. It is a Covenant a strictly
 binding in effect and intention and exacting
 as any that had heretofore been entered into by
 any of the Covenanted Officers of the Govt
 Service. They are "I do declare my readers

(12)

to serve as Fourth grade Accountant in any place
in the Presidency of Madras and as Accountant
in the 4th or any higher grade in any place in
British India". These words disclose the important
fact, that, that grade was guaranteed to your
petitioner. The State bound itself to the terms -
The head of the Department as the representative of
Servant of the State was fully to effectuate it, if
he did not do so it is hard, too hard, to make your
humble petitioner the scape goat to atone for that
omission - which under the strict Rules of the
Service and Deontology constitute what may be
said to be disabedience or negligence. In an
odd, indirect and Confused way, after a
lapse of five years Col Fraill tries to get over
the difficulty by reporting to the Govt of India in
his 1179 A. E. dated 15.12.1885 in reply to G. O.
1125 W dated 16.1.1884. "As Mr. Laughlin never
was graded as an Accountant it would have been
altogether an incorrect procedure to have considered
him an Accountant for the purpose of giving him
an increased allowance on retirement." In such
indirect way the laches is glossed over. When the
Examiners ignored to carry out the recommendations
Conveyed in that Office's letter 10188 A dated
26.2.1878 to the Accountant General P. C. D.
(Bengal) the latter officials' approval of it
being put into effect as contained in 10531 E
of India 12 June 1878 to carry out the orders

(13)

Conveyed in G. O. 1036 of 17 May 1879 and
G. O. 10218 W. of 28 January 1880 it shows that
he was guilty not merely of an oversight but
of some extraordinary mistake not to be
by them, hence diminishes it as an informal
or incorrect procedure. But it is a patent
fact that the Secretary of State did pronounce
his (Col Fraill's) formal procedure towards the
Engineers Officers at that very time to be an
informal one and ordered the Govt to rectify
the error and to refund their bonuses to them
with interest to date. The strange matter is
the Examiners do not deal with the question raised
in G. O. 1036 of 17 May 1879 why your petitioner
was not (so formally) graded as an Accountant.
The Examiners words may seem fair, but they
are not strictly and Equitably fair, they may
seem just and plausible but they are not so.
It is a real paradoxical paradox, in an
odd, indirect and Confused way to cover his
own laches. Were it to be fair and just, then
it was not fair and just to have allowed
Sirimal Ravi as above said, who was not one
of the twelve recommended, approved or
confirmed to be graded as Accountants and
who further never qualified himself for that
grade, to be so graded on retiring, but not
before, to Entitle him to the Special Indulgence

conceded to Accountants and Accountants alone
by the Supreme Govt in G.O. No 2079 P.W.D. dated
31st July 1879. Fr. C. Dept. Were that Superior
indulgent to have been conceded him whose name
did not figure in the said list of twelve thus
making him a favored one it cannot be said that
your humble petitioner whose name was the
ninth in the said list of twelve was not, through
want of intelligence, qualified to be graded an
Accountant though he was always bonafide and
regularly employed in the legitimate duties of
Accountant to say that he was not qualified to be
graded an accountant who further is entitled to
it by his Specific Agreement too, is an indefensible
procedure, Had there been any reason valid enough
to justify such abatement, or to so acknowledge your
Petitioner it would have at once been adduced when
such was demanded by Govt in G.O. No 36 of 17th May 1879.
On the contrary the Records will show that your
petitioner was on more than two occasions specially
brought to the notice of the Govt for the very
satisfactory manner in which he discharged his
duties as an Accountant in Auditing and passing
of considerable large sums of monies rendered in the
monthly accounts of Nos 11, 13 & 15 Ranges as
divisions of few single handled vide Col. S. Conway
Gordon's report made to Govt shortly before proceeding
on furlough to Europe in 1879 Reporting that by a
strange coincidence, he now came to find out
that the Accountants in Madras were a class of

new Superior to any that he had known in
India and regretted that their salaries were not
in proportion to their deserts - in fact that they
were the worst paid Accountants in India, and
were inferior to the Bengal men only in respect of
salary. This favorable report elicited the
Encomium of His Grace The Duke of Buckingham
and Chandos. He then Governor of Madras
who was perfectly convinced that as practical
Accountants, the Madras men were undoubtedly
more reliable than the graded Accountants of Bengal.
IX. The Agreement entered into was an account
of the inducement held out. If not the words
"On being engaged as Fourth Grade Accountant
to declare my readiness to serve as Fourth Grade
Accountant in any place in the Madras Presidency
and as Accountant in the Fourth or in any
higher grade in any place in British India"
would not have been used in it. They have been used
consequently a certain specific promise had been
made him (The Examiner of P.W. Dept's letter No
188A of 26th February 1878 and the letter of the
Accountant General P.W.D. No 531 E of 12 June
1878) That promise is afterwards repeated as
renewed by G.O. No 36 of 17th May 1879 as an
assured reality, Personally and literally which
was a blessed hope and by G.O. No 218 W of
28 January 1880 Clause 1 Section 8 - by
ordering it to be put in force. Thus it is again
renewed. This latter was issued to announce it

or for the purpose of assuring that the promise made was not forgotten. It Establishes beyond a Doubt, as a promise of truth that if it was not abided by, it was through the remissness, Petitioner will not say negligence or carelessness of the Head of the Department, it was remissness as it was a forgetting of something that was enjoined sometime previously sanctioned and confirmed by the Superiors. The language is plain and positive Clause I of para 8 of the last noted G.O. No. 218 W of 28 January 1880 expressly enjoined it "As fair and just to the individuals concerned as well as to the Public interests". That all persons who have been bona fide and regularly employed upon the legitimate duties of Accountants and have conducted those duties satisfactorily as shown by the reports of the Officers concerned shall be exempted from the Entrance Examination required by the Public Works Code and shall be eligible (so far as the strength of the Establishment allows) to appointments as Accountants in the grade corresponding to their salaries now drawn by them, subject to their passing the divisional accounts test within 12 months and the subsequent examination for Second Grade Accountants in due course before appointment to that grade. This assures the promise of present and prospective entry made is not to be forgotten and hence gave the assurance by the said injunction to see that

that certain promise made to him hereafter over his permitted to retire, under the special indulgences or concessions provided in G.O. No. 2079 P.W.D. of 31st July 1879. Depart. The latter is an undeniable fact that Govt. considered that he the petitioner ought to be the recipient of the promise fulfilled before he retires as elected by himself thus it did not consider the promise as void and stay, but as void and a new one. By No. 36 of 17 May 1879 the promise made is recalled and is sought to be effectuated and by No. 218 W of 28 January 1880 the indulgence conceded by No. 2079 of 31st July 1879 is confirmed. The history of his services drawn up from records shows that your petitioner was always employed in the Accounts Branch, on the legitimate duties of an Accountant. His "Service Book" will further show that he was never degraded, consequently he is fully entitled to the full advantages conceded as above considered. Another more the very person who succeeded him was not a mere clerk but a Mr. Telfer, a fourth grade Bengal Accountant, which also he speaks the fact that petitioner's legitimate duties were those of a regular and bona fide Accountant and not of a clerk. It were to be advanced that the strength of the Establishment did not allow of your petitioner being graded as an Accountant and permitted to retire as such, he was hence brought under the provisions

up
of
De
18
Co
2
he
9

of Clause 2 of para 8 of the said G. O. of 21st W of 28th January 1880 to wit - "That men fulfilling the same conditions, but for whom there is no room on the reduced Establishment, shall receive a bonus of 3 months pay in addition to whatever bonus and pension they are entitled to under ordinary rules in place of the twelve months pay granted by the Resolution No. 2079 of 31st July 1879 and shall be eligible to appointments hereafter as vacancies occur on the conditions laid down in the last paragraph." Were such to be advanced it is essential to consider how room was found to grant such an indulgence to retire as a graded Accountant as conceded by the first clause of the said Eighth paragraph of the said G. O. of 21st W of 28th Jan'y 1880 to the said Triumal Rao, who was only a Clerk, on his retirement, at the same time as Petitioner and further, as already stated, there existed no necessity for petitioner's successor being a graded Accountant from another Province to perform the very work petitioner discharged for the post your petitioner filled must have been affected by the reduction but the succession shows no such thing consequently his petitioner's post was not abolished by the reductions moreover that concession had been bestowed on C. Subbat Rao, (the Seventh on the list of the twelve recommended by the Examiner's letter No. 188 A of 26th February 1878)

on his retirement, also by election.
It may here be most respectfully submitted that on the organization effected consonant to impunctations conveyed in G. O. No. 878 of 4th April 1876. Your petitioner with eleven others were drafted into the Accounts Branch. On the 13th June 1876 your petitioner addressed the Head of the Dept to the following effect.
"I have the honor to inform you that I wish to be appointed to the Accounts Branch and that I am willing to accept the conditions of service and to qualify for the appointment." It was after the making of such your petitioner was called on to execute his Covenant or agreement of 25th July 1876 already alluded to thus - "After his retirement - about the lapse of three and half or four years after the above eventuality it cannot with any show of reason be maintained there was no room for him on the Establishment to be graded an Accountant, for by the re-organization referred to in clause 2 of para 8 of G. O. of 21st W of 28th January 1880, the Staff of Accountants instead of being reduced was numerically augmented in the several additional grades of Accountants therein imparted. Further Mr. D. C. Gordon, who succeeded Col. Hall as Examiner of P. W. Accounts on reference made to him in 1882 through the Govt of India, did

most Expressively and Emphatically report
that he, the Examiner was "unable to account
for the omission to grade Mr. Laughlin for which
my predecessor is responsible". These words
are very significant. They are tantamount
to the expression there is nothing to his dis-
paragement placed on the records to palliate
the dereliction, hence the Examiner used the term
"omission" and the phrase "for which my
predecessor is responsible". It would have been
a different thing if this Officer had stated that
your petitioner was not graded for reasons
which could be afforded if desired. That would
change the complexion of the case and lay the axe
to the root of his claim. But being otherwise
your petitioner is fraught with assurance that
his grievance though due to an omission and
an omission merely. Yet his present attempt
is to make his claim pregnant with success
and thus to obtain the long deferred justice due
to him at your Excellency's wonted Compassionate
Generous and Charitable hands who has been
deprived of his legitimate and well earned
rights of an Accountant - 4th Grade and your
petitioner hitherto allowed to remain out in the
Cold. Moreover Colonel C. Powers R. E.
whom under Secretary to this Govt in the
P. W. Dept. maintained "that a mistake

has been committed in petitioner's case" and it
is but fair in the interest of petitioner to
intimate the same to the Govt of India for
rectification in which Col. J. H. M. Shaw
Stewart - Chief Engineer and Secretary to
Govt. P. W. D. had fully sympathized with
petitioner's "very hard case". This righteous course
was however abandoned on the plea that the
Govt. holding the Examiner alone responsible for the
"omission". Major H. Seckle Carey officiating
Examiner, had even personally assured your
petitioner previously that he was an Accountant
and would on retirement receive the "proffered
concession" and thereupon had withheld his
petition addressed to Govt setting forth his claims
of an Accountant to an average pension and
bonus. Further Major H. Seckle Carey when
officiating Examiner during Col. Conway Gordon's
absence at Ootacamund employed on the
Compilation of the P. W. Code had also endorsed
if we quote (the Concession) to A. we will
B. C. & D. also "This has been given
have to quote to two, J. M. R. and
Suba Gov. Engr. Your petitioner also is
entitled to it under the above said endorsement.
II. Had he not been an Accountant when your
petitioner in his Covenant or agreement of
25th July 1896 already alluded to, said D.

(22)

"On being Engaged as Accountant Fourth Grade" and signed it as "Accountant Fourth Grade" it would have been objected - no such objection had been taken, E.g. - "A fiction" his title to that grade cannot except through a quibble, be waived or set at naught. Having thus incontestably proved, as an indisputable fact - but not as a mere hypothesis or furnished as mere presumptive argument indefinite, that he was an Accountant - therefore the allegation or assertion, that your petitioner was never graded an Accountant is an Argument untenable. Thus it revolves into "an error or neglect" as detailed in section 102 of the Civil Pension Code, which was in Vague at the time your petitioner retired - he therefore most humbly craves under the provisions of the said Section 102 as also under Clause 4 of para 1 of the Secretary of State's despatch M 92 dated 8 March 77 appended to the rules and note under section 72 Govt as controlling authority, will be benignly and graciously pleased to make a special representation on or in his behalf, for the Concessions granted to All accountants by G.O. No 2079 J.W.D. dated 31st July 1879 S.F.C. Deptt and whilst the Supreme Govt - can also exhibit such unparalleled magnanimity

(23)

as to grant "Compensation allowances" to those of its Servants who are suffering from the high rate of exchange, to compassionately allow your petitioner's claim if not of right but as an act of grace mercy or concession would be exemplifying the same characteristics of goodness as displayed by that Govt.

III. That under the various circumstances of his unhappy case above set forth your petitioner earnestly prays he may be considered justly entitled to the proffered privileges which he fairly earned for zealous and faithful service rendered to the State, but which he was denied on the plea that he was not a (proforma) graded Accountant though he had executed an agreement to serve in that capacity as above stated and there were repeated orders to grade. The privileges referred to and which he humbly prays for are:-

1. An average pension and bonus of twelve months salary which was disallowed (instead of the 3 months salary) which even was granted indiscriminately with other Civil Clerks, and Accountants. Reference Pickers and cloches - in para 4 of G.O. No 2052 W dated 30 August 1880, simply because the petitioner was not (formally) again graded.

glin
Office of
Lodas.

an Accountant of the Fourth Grade under the Subsequent Scheme.

2. House rent - Rs 10/- per mensem (P.W. Code para 33) from date of his attested "Covenant" which was disallowed in para 5 of the above G.O. and for the same reason.

3. Presidency allowance Rs 30/- per mensem from date of the Order granting the allowance (P.W. Code para 37) which was disallowed in para 6 of the above G.O. and for the same reason.

4. Eleven months qualifying Service for pension rendered in another department which was erroneously disallowed in para 1 of the above G.O. by a "misconstruction of rule".

XIII. For this act of condescending charity your humble petitioner now a Cripple for life, burdened with a family consisting of a wife, and 8 children, solely dependent upon his small pittance, in the decline of his life, will acknowledge with heartfelt gratitude to his dying day and as in duty bound will ever Pray

W. A. Langhlin
late Accountant - 4th Grade
Examiner's Office P.W.D.

Madras
11th June 1896 }

Petition from Mr. W. A. Laughlin,
late Accts. 4th Grade, Office of
Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras.

DATED 11th June 1896.

Joint
TRANSFERRED to the Secretary to Government,
General Branch,
Public Works Department, for disposal.

(By Order.)

H. A. L. L. L.

Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

Colacacumund,
15th June 1896.

0621
5511/86

(1896) DEPARTMENT.

From *H. A. Stuart Esq. J. C.*
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
His Excellency
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To *Joint*
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public Works DEPARTMENT,
General Branch

Dated *15th* } *June* 1896
Received }

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from *M. W. A. Haugh-*
lin, late accountant 4th Grade,
Office of Examiner P.W. accounts
Madras, stating that he is
entitled to an average pension
and bonus of twelve months
salary and praying that he
may be allowed the concession
granted under G.O. No 2079 P.W.D.
dated 31st July 1899 to *etc.*

Encls 1
Received June 16.
Repts: 18. 6. 96

No. 140 W of 19-1-95 ✓
1408 C of 3-4-95 ✓
3481 C of 22-8-90 ✓
2790 C of 23-7-88 ✓
2129 W of 13-7-88 ✓
73 W of 9-1-88 ✓
3321-22 W of 5-12-87 ✓
1891 W of 14-7-87 ✓
1546 W of 18-6-86 ✓
1342 W of 29-5-86 ✓
21 W of 5-1-86 ✓
2150-1 W of 15-9-85 ✓
292 C of 13-2-86 ✓
2831 W of 12-11-84 ✓
1946 W of 19-8-84 ✓
123 W of 16-1-84 ✓
2364 C of 30-11-83 ✓
2780 W of 28-10-82 ✓
2701 W of 17-10-82 ✓
1689 W of 3-7-82 ✓
725 W of 20-3-82 ✓
142 W of 17-1-82 ✓
1556 W of 22-6-81 ✓
2052 W of 30-4-80 ✓
2572 W of 3-11-85 ✓
323 W of 12-2-80 ✓
2594 W of 15-10-79 ✓
2323 W of 11-7-79 ✓
2252 W of 3-9-79 ✓
2232 W of 28-8-79 ✓
2598 W of 11-9-78 ✓
947 W of 11-4-74 ✓
2361 W of 14-8-65 ✓

of 185
23/6 *22/6*

STW