

for information with reference to Chas. P. ...

W. CURRENT No. 4851

Encls: 1

From

Des: 28. 5. 56

The Supg. Engineer,
4th Circle

~~118~~ A. 94 76.96
No. 1869W, d. 22nd June 1896.

Dated 25th }
Des: 26th } May 1896

In the circumstances
stated, the whole cost
of the estimate will
be borne by Government.

No. 247
W.

4/6/96

Encls: 1.

I. K.
BCN

Sea wall in front of the
Custom House at Tellicherry.

Submitting Messrs Burnatt
& Co's reply as to the question
of payments for the construction
of the — to protect their
premises —

842 wdy 4. 3 96

DEPT. 3 JUN 03

S. E. White
E. P. W. Ault
Marine Dept.
24/6/96

No. 218 SE

Executive Engineer's Office
West Coast Division
Calicut 18th May 1896.

From

G. P. Charles Esq
Executive Engineer
West Coast Division

To,

The Superintending Engineer
4th Circle
Coimbatore

Enclosure 1
Messrs Burnett's
Letter d/27-4-96

Sir,
With reference to the Chief Engr's
endorsement on G.O. No. 882 W of 11th
March 1896, I have the honor to
enclose Messrs Burnett's reply
from which it appears that the
premises in question do not belong
to them.

The owner is a Moplah re-
siding in Tallicherry who refuses to
contribute.

I have &c
Signed G. P. Charles.

No. 247 d/25-5-96 Executive Engineer

Copy submitted to the
Chief

Encls. 1.

for information with reference to Chief Engr's
Note in G.O. No. 882 W
11-3-96.

Signed / F. Furnivall
2-5-96

(True Copy)

Superintending Engineer
4th Circle

OC 5.1
4.85/96

Chief Engineer P.W.D with
reference to para 3 of G.O. N^o 88245
dated 11th March 1896.

Superintending Engineer
4th Circle

to inform...

The Superintending Engineer
4th Circle

Dated 20th 3/96
1896

20/3/96

The work in front of the
Eastern Branch at Chikmagalur
is now being completed
and the water is being
allowed to flow into the
canal.

Tellicherry 27th April 1896.

F. Furnivall Esq.
Assistant Engineer P.W.D.
Camp Cherambadi.

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 1018^m, relative to the proposed sea wall in front of the Tellicherry Custom House and Flagstaff and to a small extent in front of the premises occupied by us as officers.

With reference to the request that we should show cause why the cost of the Sea Wall which will protect the premises in question should not be borne by us, we would (1) point out that the Premises do not belong to us. we are merely tenants. (2) The Sea wall as originally proposed to be constructed would, we are pretty certain, have caused damage to the foundations of these Premises, and therefore we think it is only reasonable Government should do what is necessary to prevent the risk of such damage by constructing their proposed addition.

The enclosed Docket We have the honor to
does not seem to be intended for us. signed/ Burnett & Son.

No. 254 E.
5-5-96

Forwarded to the Executive Engineer
for