

✓
Military Upper Tribes
on the amalgamated list
of Madras and Burma
Arrangement of

No. 1795-96 W, of 17 June
1896.

E.
D.
Removal from
E. & T. P. 1896
24/6/96.
List A. 1896
24/6/96.

INDEX
24/6/96

OS 157 Am 4737

CAW

H.R. 676
1795-96W
1796H

This current
is returned with pre-
vious papers as re-
quested.

The date of
birth require to be
communicated to
for entry in the
Claimant list.

As the date of
birth of the Marma
men had not been
communicated when
G.O. no 1074 W of 25.3.88
was issued, the Single
of the Combined Marma
& Madras lists were
arranged according
to date of birth. & the
Date in accordance with
Code Para 39. Chapter
I, Vol I.

About two years
has however, when

our clamped list was
 ordered to be arranged
 according to seniority.
 instead of alphabetically.
 Col. Pennyman decided
 that for military pro-
 motion, Sergeants who
 were appointed to the
 dept at the same
 time, were to take pre-
 cedence according to
 age. Retirement being
 compulsory at the age
 of 55, Col. P's
 object was to secure
 that a man younger
 in years, but senior
 in college standing,
 should not block
 the warrant promotion
 of an older man of
 the same grade.

The list of
 Sergeants have since
 been graded according
 for military promotion.
 Sgt. Caldecott,
 Mc Goldrick, Barry
 & Farrington of the Bureau

P. & D. were appointed in
 March 1857, while Sgt
 Barry, Reynolds & Mc
 Goldrick were
 appointed in June 1857.
 This is because the Rank
 examination is held in
 March. While the modern
 final exam. prior to
 1857 was held in June.
 All the above men
 were therefore to be
 considered to belong to the same
 year - If their grades
 is revised according to
 age, they will stand for
 mil. promotion as follows

C. Barry - Burma	- 16.2.52
W. Barry - known	- 28.5.58
M.O. Reynolds	- 24.9.59
P. Ding	- 27.11.59
J. W. Mc Goldrick - Burma	- 10.10.61
H. J. Caldecott	- 22.12.62
H. Farrington	- 30.5.64

If this grading be
 not carried out, great
 hardship will be in

finished on the modern
 room, for they will be
 effectively cut off
 from all hope of
 attaining the higher
 standard grades.

It will perhaps
 be necessary to divide
 the grading in one
 or two lower grades
 down.

It would be
 well perhaps to obtain
 Indian approval to
 carrying out the modern
 system of grading.

as otherwise trouble
 grading may be caused
 with the Bureau.

29.5-98.

Submitted for order.
 L.S.
 3 June 96
 4.6.96

I think it will be
 best to have Indian
 approval of the plan, as then
 an issue of the subject the
 will be more than the
 for the Indian
 I can state that the
 thing than the of the
 for the of the
 thing

Making A age 20 from 1st
 one of 1.5 years based 2 10 years

B age 23 from 18th
 is still A L. in fact the
 B is A L. can be 4.5 years.

4/6/96

State
requested that in all
reference
the department,
may be quoted
not briefly stated.

Burma.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 5023 / 5464

4737/96

To

The Secretary
to the Govt. of Madras.

P.W.D.

Dated Rangoon, the 13th May 1896.

SIR,

In compliance with the request contained in Govern-
ment of Madras letter No. 1214 W. dated the 6th April last,

I am directed to forward, herewith, a statement giving the
dates of birth for compulsory retirement, of the Non-
Commissioned Officers employed in the P.W.D. of this Province.

2. I am to explain that Sergeant Russell's name is
not given in the list as he has been ^{permanently} transferred
to the Military Works Department in exchange for
Sergeant J. Mackenzie. The latter Non-Commissioned
Officer's name and date of birth are, therefore, shown.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Atz. Elms

13/5

DA.

For the Govt. of Madras.

For the Govt. of Madras.

Statement showing the dates of birth of the Non-Commissioned officers employed in the
P.W.D. Burma.

Names	Date of Birth.
Sergeant G. F. J. Baldecourt	22 nd December 1862.
" J. M. M ^{re} Goldrick	10 th October 1861.
" G. Barry	16 th February 1852.
" G. Farrington	30 th May 1864.
" S. A. Clift.	16 th July 1863.
" S. Cwiley.	10 th August 1864.
" S. W. Johnson.	14 th September 1861.
" J. Knox	31 st March 1862.
" M. Montgomery.	11 th August 1866.
" R. Walsh	28 th July 1866.
" M. Maloney.	26 th March 1864.
" W. H. A. Cooper	9 th April 1869.
" H. M. C. Garth	18 th September 1863.
" H. J. D. Taylor	9 th April 1869.
" W. Hamilton	7 th November 1867.
" H. R. Sutton.	22 nd August 1870.
" J. Mackenzie.	22 nd September 1867.

me
13.5.96.

Each 1

23.5.96
21/5/96

25/2/14

25.3.96. 1074 W
6.4.96. 1214 W
9.4.96. 1250 W
18.5.96. 1541 W

8/103.

12/15 20/6

No. 1795 W, d/ 17 - June 1896

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Secretary to Govt., P.W. Department, Burma, No. 5023/54 E.G., d/
13th May 1896.

A copy of the statement will be forwarded to the Examiner, P.W. Accounts for use in connection with the Classified List.

2. The Government of India will be addressed as to the system to be -- adopted in placing the men on the Gradation List for purposes of Military promotion.


The Examiner, P.W. Accounts,
with copy of list.

(H.E. No. 1796 W, d/ 17th June 1896)

To
The Secretary to the
Government of India,
P.W. Department.

Sir,

I am directed to request the -- instructions of the Government of India as to the system to be adopted in the arrangement, on the Classified list for purposes of military promotion, of Military Upper Subordinates of the P.W. Department. The men were formerly arranged alphabetically, and were, as a rule, promoted according to length of service in the Department



Department as contemplated in para 39, Chapter V, Vol. I of the P.W. Code. In ~~1893~~ 1893, however, it was --

decided to place the men according to seniority, and in fixing the -- places of sergeants who were appointed to the Department in the same year, the men were arranged according to age and without reference to the order in which they -- passed out of the College, the object being to secure that a man -- younger in years, but senior in college standing, should not block the warrant promotion of an older man of the same batch; retirement -- being compulsory in all cases at the age of 55.

2. It is desirable, however, that there should be one uniform system throughout India, and that the amalgamated list of Madras and Burma which has now to be fixed, should be arranged under some authoritative ruling -- which will be binding on all concerned. I am accordingly to request that specific orders in this matter may now be issued by the Govt. of India.

3. A further question arises as to the relative position of certain -- Burma and Madras men of the same --

year

+ N^o 3902 C d 20 1893
at Madras

year. Serjts. Caldecourt, McGoldrick, Barry, and Farrington, of the Burma list were appointed to the P.W.D. in March 1887, while Serjts. Emery, Reynolds, and King, of the Madras list were appointed in June of the same year. This is owing to the fact that the examination at Rurki passed by the Burma men is held in March, while the Madras final examination prior to 1888 was held in June. All these men may thus be said to belong to the same batch. If it be decided that college standing is to be the guide for seniority, this may be adopted as between the men of the same college, and the age standard as between men of the different colleges, but of the same year.

I have &c.

6/6/96
W.

CANB
5.6.96

DESPATCHED

18 JUN. 96