Public Works (1896) Department.

RAILWAY BRANCH.

G.O., No. 167 : R

DATED 24th February

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bungalows. — Revised estimate of rupees 18,324-4-3 for the Construction of four — for Upper subordinates at Arkonam, Madras Railway, Sanctioned.

[P. W. 26.
1,000—11-8-99.]

Indexed.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 167 R., 24TH FEBRUARY 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following papers:—

From the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, dated 7th January 1896, No. E. 511/6.
" " " " 27th " " " 409/71.

ORDER—No. 167 R., dated 24th February 1896.

The revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 18,324-4-3, chargeable to Capital, for the construction of four bungalows for upper subordinates at Arkonam, is sanctioned.

2. This sanction which is in supersession of the sanction communicated in G.O. No. 470 R., dated 6th September 1894, has been registered as No. 41 R. of 1895-96.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
" Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated February, 24th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O. No. 167 R., 24th Feb. 1896.

FEBRUARY.

Bungalows

Revised estimate of Rs. 18,324-4-3 for the construction of four — for upper subordinates at Arkonam, Madras Railway, sanctioned.

(No. I.)
No. E511/6

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 7th January 1896

From

The Agent and Manager.
Madras Railway

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Madras.

Publ

SIR,

With reference to G. O. No 470R dated 6th September 1894 sanctioning an Estimate amounting to Rs 13,736/- for the construction of 4 bungalows for upper subordinates at Arkonam; I have the honor to forward herewith a revised Estimate for the above work and to request that the sanction of Government may be conveyed to me for the required outlay, Rs 18,324/4/3 chargeable to capital.

2. The submission of the revised Estimate has been rendered necessary by the higher price now demanded in the market for Burmah timber and the increased price for ironwork supplied

supplied from the Workshops.

3. The increased rental which will be chargeable on account of these bungalows will be fully recovered when the occupants draw their maximum pay.

4. Information as to provision in the budget and date on which sanction will lapse is given on the Estimate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedt. Servt.

for Agent & Manager

Encl/1

C. No. 85
Recd. 8/1/96

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From The Agent and Manager.

To The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

No.

Dated 188

Recd.

167 R

Letter to Am in No 173
20/1/96

FORM No. 21.
500—8-8-89.

EXAMINER
Regr. No. 10
9-JAN.96
Enclosures..........
RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 8th January 1896.

No. 44

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent, and Manager, Madras Railway, No. E. 511/6, dated 7th January 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts, for favour of check as to allocation and budget provision.

H.W. Fowles

for E. R.

W.L.
8/1

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

The original estimate sanctioned under G.O No 470 R dy 6th Septr /94 included a provision of Rs 2020 for 4 buildings to be used as store rooms & offices for the upper Subordinates; these buildings have been omitted from the present revised estimate, and the actual excess is therefore (18324 - 13736) + 2020 or Rs 6608.

The excess occurs entirely in the estimate for the bungalows, the cost of each of these is now estimated at Rs 4120 as against Rs 2448 in the original estimate, an excess of about 68%

The increase in rates of timber & iron work, which is given by the Company as the cause of the excess, accounts for only a trifling portion of the extra cost. From the details of the estimate it appears that the cause of the excess is chiefly due to the substitution of mangalore tiled roofs for the arched roofs approved of in the original estimate, though nothing is stated in the explanation in there on the plans in regard to this alteration of design. E.R. will probably require some explanation in regard to this before recommending the revised estimate for sanction.

The Revised Estimate is correct as to allocation and requires the sanction of the Local Govt.

Funds are available from the grant for unsanctioned works Schedule B of the Budget for 1895.96

E W Allen
C.R 11/1

Form No. 4

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 20th January 1896.

To

AGENT AND MANAGER, M. R.

No. 173   Reply No. 71, dated 27/1/96

Reminders.

Encl/e

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: E 511/6 of 7th January /96, submitting a revised estimate for constructing 4 Bungalows for Upper Subordinates at Arkonam, I have the honor to forward herewith for favor of ~~[illegible]~~ ~~further~~ explanation, copy of a note by the Examiner of Railway Accounts Madras.

FRW 20/1/96   HF 15/1

EM

writing below red line

Subject.

Madras Railway Company
Chief Engineer's Office
Madras 24th January 1896

No. C/112.

The Agent and Manager.

Dear Sir.

Bungalows for Upper Subordinates
at Arkonam.

1. With reference to your endorsement No. E 409 of 22d current giving cover to a communication from the Government Examiner of Accounts relative to the Revised Estimate for four Houses for Upper Subordinates at Arkonam, I desire to explain that when the construction of these quarters was about to be commenced it was considered desirable to remodel the design to a certain extent with the object of securing improved accommodation more suitable for the class of superior subordinates who were ultimately to occupy these buildings.

2. It was therefore with your approval that a Tiled Roof was substituted for an arched one, in order that the buildings might as far as possible be in keeping with those close by. To do this it became necessary to appropriate the sanction obtained for the Store Room for each bungalow, which, on

account

account of the close proximity of the new workshops, were considered unnecessary. The opportunity was therefore taken to incorporate this sanctioned amount when revising the estimate for the improved design.

3. It is to be regretted that when the revised plan was forwarded with this Office letter No. C/1074 of 22d October 1894 for your approval and signature, that these points were not brought clearly to your notice, in order that the sanction of Government might have been obtained to the alterations and modifications in the original plan.

4. I would further observe that when the explanation regarding the excess over the original estimate was submitted with this Office letter No. C/1610 of 14th December 1895, through an oversight it was not stated how the amount sanctioned for Store Rooms had been appropriated in the manner already stated.

5. Under the explanation now furnished it is hoped that the revised Estimate will be sanctioned.

Yours faithfully
Sd/ Henry C. West
Chief Engineer

(True Copy)

Agent and Manager

Enclo: 1 to E.R's eNo 440 of 96

(No. II.)

No. 409 / 71

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 27th January 1896

From

The Agent and Manager. Madras Railway

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 173 dated the 20th January 1896, I have the honor to forward herewith for your information copy of a letter addressed to me by the Chief Engineer explaining the points raised in the Government Examiner's note on the revised estimate for the construction of a bungalows for Upper subordinates at Arkonam. I very much regret that the necessity for obtaining your sanction to the alteration of the original plan was overlooked.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servt.

Agent & Manager

Enclosure

C. No. 440
Recd. 28/1/96

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

No.
Dated 188
Recd.

Regd. in l/o 416
7-2-96

FORM No. 21.
500—8-6-59.

EXAMINER, RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS.
No. 37
29 JAN 96
Enclosures

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,
Madras, 28th January 1896

No. 293

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent & Manager Madras Railway, No. 409/71 dated 27th January 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for favor of remarks.

~~for~~ E. R.

29/1

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

It is for E.R's consideration whether the explanation given for the alteration of design may be accepted as satisfactory. It is also put forward as a question for consideration whether, since the Co. so largely increased the cost of the bungalows without first obtaining authority & sanction to do so, a ruling might not be given that the limitation of rent to 10% of salary shall not apply to these particular Qtrs.

The estimate has been correctly allocated & requires the sanction of the Local Govt.

Funds are available to meet the increased outlay provided for in the Revised Estimate

E. H. Waller
C.R. 31/1

1/2

I think the attention of the Agent should be drawn to para 2 of E 611/6 dated 7 Jany 96 which is misleading.

Before making this ruling, the Agent may be asked whether the rent of

18324
7½
128268
9162
4 | 137430
12 | 343
29/- will be

recoverable as if not it seems equitable that the limit of 10 % should be withheld in this case –

C. R. F.H.L. 4/2

Agent might be asked what are the present rates of pay of the subordinates by whom these bungalows will be occupied & whether full rent at Rs 29 per mensem will be recovered

E.H.W. 4/2
C.R.

Form No. 34.

P. W. D., RAILWAY BRANCH.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAY.

Madras, 7 February 1896.

No 416

OFFICE NOTE.

From E. R.

To Agt.

Sir

in reply to your letter No. $\frac{405}{71}$ of 27/1/96 I have the h. to enquire whether full rent for the bungalow at 29/- will be recovered & what is the present rate of pay of the subordinates by whom the building will be occupied and

I am to observe that para 2 of your previous letter No. E $\frac{511}{6}$ d. 7/1/96 is misleading

T.H.F. 7/2

(No. I.)
No. E409/114

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 10th February 1896.

From 12/2

The Agent and Manager.
M. R. Co

To

The Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Madras.

SIR,

Referring to your letter No. 416 of the 7th instant, I have the honour to inform you that the pay at present drawn by the occupants of the bungalows is as follows:–

	Rs	
Inspector of Points & Crossings	275	30
Supt. of Engineering Workshops	225	22
Supt. Telegraph Workshops	225	22
Inspector of Weigh bridges	300	35
	1042·5	109

The maximum pay of the first and last named appointments is Rs 300 and Rs 350 respectively. The total assessed rent is Rs 114 per mensem or Rs 28½ for each bungalow.

2. With reference to the second para. of your letter, the information given in para 2 of my letter of the 7th ultimo was based on information supplied to me by the Chief Engineer

Engineer. A further explanation was given by that Officer in his letter of the 24th ultimo a copy of which was sent to you with my letter $6\frac{409}{71}$ of the 27th idem.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Agent & Manager.

No. 641
Recd. 11-2-96

MADRAS RAILWAY.

From
The Agent and Manager.

To
The Consulting Engineer
for Railways.

Dated 188
Recd.
No.

FORM No. 21.
500—3-6-95.

EXAMINER,
Regr. No. 52
13 FEB. 96
Enclosures
RAILWAY ACCOUNTS, MADRAS

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER FOR RAILWAYS,

Madras, 12th February 1896.

No. 461

OFFICE NOTE.

Letter from the Agent ~~,~~ Manager Madras Railway, No. $\frac{E409}{114}$ dated 10th February 1896, forwarded to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for favour of remarks.

for E. R.

12/2

Write precis of case for sanction of L.G. saying that the excess cost is very nearly met by the pay of the tenants 17/2

EXAMINER'S REPLY.

15/2

The assessed rent of the 4 buildings is Rs 114 per mensem, and the rents recoverable from the subordinates would be as follows:—

	on minimum salary	on present salary	on maximum salary
Inspr. of Points & Crossings	Rs 25 - 8	27 - 8	28 - 8
Supt. of Engg. Workshops	10 - 0	22 - 8	22 - 8
Supt. of Telegraph Workshops	20 - 0	22 - 8	25 - 0
Inspr. of Weigh bridges	28 - 8	28 - 8	28 - 8
	Rs 84 - 0	Rs 101 - 0	Rs 104 - 8

Para 3 of Agents No $\frac{E.511}{6}$ of 7.1.96 was therefore not quite correct, as full rent will be recoverable only in the case of the 1st & last of the above subordinates

E. H. Walker
C.R. 15/2

ER
15/2

C.R.
to see

~~Inform Agent that para 3 of $\frac{E511}{6}$ of 7-1-96 is not quite correct and that 10% should be charged on the present & future pay of the tenants~~ 17/2

His Excellency the Governor.
~~Honorable Mr. Bliss.~~
~~Honorable Mr. Gross~~.

In G.O. No. 470 R., dated 6th September 1894 the Local Government -- sanctioned an estimate amounting to Rs. 13,736 chargeable to Capital for constructing four bungalows for Upper Subordinates at Arkonam.

The Agent and Manager, Madras Railway now submits a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 18,324-4-3 which is rendered necessary by the cost of putting on a tiled roof of Managalore tiles.

The rent of Rs. 114 per mensem will be very nearly met by the 4 --- tenants under the rule of a maximum of 10% on monthly pay.

The estimate may be sanctioned.

W/ 21 Feb

FMcC
R.
20/2 96

H.E.
H. [illegible]/2 R 22/2

Revised estimate for Construction of 4 Bungalows for Upper Subordinates at Arkonam Amounting to Rs 18,324-4-3

(R.) Form No. 3. A. 167 R d/ ~~24~~ February 18

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
RAILWAY BRANCH.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Circle

180 Letter from the Agent & Manager Madras Ry } H.E. No. E511/6 d/ 7 Jany. 96

280 Letter from do do — — 409/71 d/ 27 do —

3 P

The revised estimate amounting to Rs 18,324-4-3 chargeable to Capital for the construction of 4 bungalows for Upper subordinates at Arkonam is sanctioned.

2. This sanction which is in supersession of the sanction communicated in G.O. No: 470 R d/ 6 Septr 1894 has been registered as No 41 R of 1895-96.

FMM
22/2

R

To
The Agent & Manager Madras Ry & Mis Copy to Am.
" — Ex G.A. Ry Accts:

A. No 167 R of 24 Feb 1896

6th September 1894, No. 470 R., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

Read the following paper :—

From the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, dated 23rd Aug. 1894, No. E. 409/669.

Abstract.—Submitting for sanction an estimate, amounting to Rs. 13,736, chargeable to Capital, for constructing four bungalows for upper subordinates at Arkonam.

Order—dated 6th September 1894, No. 470 R., Public Works.

Sanctioned.

2. This sanction has been registered as No. 11 R. of 1894–95.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. R. UPCOTT,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway.
„ Examiner, Guaranteed Railway Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

RAILWAY.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 6th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 6th Sept. 1894, No. 470 R.

SEPTEMBER.

Bungalows for Upper Subordinates

Estimate of Rs. 13,736 for constructing four — at Arkonam, Madras Railway, sanctioned.