

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 16534

DATED 2 June 96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Personal allowance — order de-
clining to sanction — of Rs 25
per mensem to Mahomed
Yacoub Sahib, a clerk of the
Examiner's office.

FURTHER PAPERS.							
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is not satisfied as to the necessity for the proposed increase. The Establishment must be worked within sanctioned limits, and the lapse due to Mr. Patton's death credited in full to Qd. as was contemplated when the scale was fixed in R.O. 2162 dated 22^d August 1893.

DESPATCHED

16. 2. 96

28/5/96

Note for H.E. the Governor.

With reference to His Excellency's inquiry dated 14th May 1896, the following information is submitted.

Mr. Patton, late chief clerk of the Examiner's office was in receipt of a salary of Rs. 200 per mensem.

The pay of his post was reduced to Rs. 100 per mensem by G.O. No. 2162 W dated 22nd August 1893, but Mr. Patton was permitted to continue in the service and to draw a personal allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem, so as to keep his pay at the former rate of Rs. 200.

Mr. Patton having recently died, the personal allowance of Rs. 100 lapses to Government, and the pay of the post becomes Rs. 100.

From the Examiner's note, now under disposal, it was not clear why, if it is proposed to appoint Mahomed Yacoob Sahib to Mr. Patton's place, a personal allowance of Rs. 25 is required in addition to his present pay of Rs. 75.

Inquiry was accordingly made in the Examiner's office, and it was ascertained that the intention is to give the promotion to Rs. 100 to

Conferred with Col. Campbell about this matter today. When I pointed out that the proposed promotion amounted to an increase of the cost of Mr. Patton's household, and that the proposed allowance was Rs. 75 p.m. His proposed ^{virtually} increase the pay of that office to Rs. 100, by transferring to it Rs. 25 from the post lately held by Mr. Patton. And then in order to increase

Yacoob Sahib, it is proposed that he should be paid the ^{higher} salary of ~~Mr. Patton's~~ ^{Mr. Patton's then reduced} post, plus a personal allowance of Rs. 25.

It seems to me that the only proper way of promoting the "senior man" is to put him into the late Mr. Patton's post. I cannot conceive any increase of Mr. Patton's household, effected in the manner proposed.

A. 24 25 96

CS 4196

Note for H.E. the Governor
with reference to the inquiry of 14.5.96
the following information is submitted.
Mr. Patton, late

Chief clerk of the office
was in receipt of a
salary of Rs. 200 per
mensem -

The pay of his
post was reduced to Rs. 100
per mensem by G.O. No.
2162 W of 22.8.93, but
Mr. Patton was permitted
to continue in the service
to draw a personal
allowance of Rs. 100 per m.
so as to keep his pay
at the former rate of
Rs. 200.

Mr. Patton having
recently died, the personal
allowance of Rs. 100
lapses to Govt., & the
pay of the post becomes
Rs. 100. The Examiner's

From G.O. note, it
was not clear why, if
it is proposed to

appoint Mahomed Yacoub
Sahib to Mr. Patton's
place, a personal allowance
of Rs 25 is required in
addition to his present
pay of Rs 75.

^{was running}
on inquiry ^{and Mr. Patton}
Ct Officer. I find that
the intention is to give
the promotion to the 100
to a senior man now
on Rs 75. This man is
working in a different
branch of the office, it
is not considered as
desirable to move him.

Mahomed Yacoub, his
junior on Rs 75. has
been ^{performing} the duties
of Chief Clerk during
Mr. Patton's absence,
it is desired to retain
him in those duties.

The recommendation
therefore is that
Mahomed Yacoub's
pay should be made
up to Rs 100 out of
the saving of Rs 100
which accrues to

Loof. by Mr. Patton's death
leaving a net saving to
Court. of \$75 per m.

Ch
8/5/96.

W

appears to be a
debit to the
place, a balance
of \$75 is required in
addition to the
balance of \$75
on account of
the interest is to be
the interest is to be
to a bank. This is
on the 75. This is
making in a different
bank of the office
is not considered
therefore to be
balanced. The
balance on the 75 is
from the
of Chief Clerk
Mr. Patton's
it is assumed to be
this is the
the balance
therefore is that
balance of \$75
for which he was
up to \$75. 100 out of
the balance of \$75
therefore

Cho 4196

W

H.E's remark. d/14-5-96.

Please see S.H.'s letter of
8. 5-96 which accompanied
his draft disposed -

My own opinion is that
there is no case for a
personal allowance in the
present instance. There
seems no reason why
the sanctioned scale
should not now be worked
to.

L.F.

15 May 96.

A copy of S.H.'s letter
which explains matters
may be sent to H.E.

It is proposed to provide a
allowance in order to pay a
of 75 to 100 but a Member
Jalut Khan will have to do
the rest of the work for
this is the way in which
it will be a personal allowance

15/5/96

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1896.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS,

Madras, 5 May 1896.

OFFICE NOTE No. 325

To

W.

In continuation of my letter No. 324 A dated 5th. May 1896 reporting the death of Mr. Patton, Head Clerk of my office, I beg to report the lapse to Government of the personal allowance of Rs. 100 a month sanctioned in para 2 of G.O. No. 2162 W. dated 22nd. August 1893.

2. To fill Mr. Patton's place I propose to appoint Mahomed Yacoob Sahib the Storekeeper who has been doing the work while Mr. Patton was on special duty, and on leave, during the past three years, and to request sanction of Government to his drawing a personal allowance of Rs. 25 in addition to his present pay of Rs. 75. This arrangement will result in a net saving of Rs. 75 to Government.

C.

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

dated

189 .

* already seen
by H.E. & returned
to Madras 11/5/96

N. 3152 w of 8-12-94 ✓
1338 w of 30-5-94 ✓
2162 w of 22-8-93 ✓

W. CURRENT No. 4196

Dec 5: 5: 96

W. CURRENT No. 4196
B
H
W. CURRENT No. 4196
14.5.96

Sanctioned.

11/5/96

Contd
12.12.96.

E. P. W. Aerts.

o. L. 5. 77 R. 1/6