2. I am to say that the Government of India consider that, in addition to good conduct and seniority, fitness for departmental promotion should be a factor in awarding military promotion beyond the rank of Conductor, and they have therefore decided that in future no warrant officer shall receive military promotion to the rank of Deputy Assistant Commissary unless he is, at the time of the occurrence of a vacancy for his promotion to that rank, also considered fit for departmental promotion to the Sub-Engineer class, and steps will be taken before awarding promotion to honorary commissioned rank, to ascertain that the persons concerned or considered fit, both for military promotion and to occupy the departmental position which such promotion involves.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. H. D. WALKER,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No 1013 G.

Copy forwarded to the Military Department for information.

By Order.

G. H. D. WALKER,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Documents accompanying.

Nil.

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 137 P. W. D.—30-4-69.—1224.

875.

No. 1012 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE JOINT SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, RAILWAY BRANCH.

THE SECRETARIES TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, GENERAL AND RAILWAY BRANCHES.

THE SECRETARIES TO THE GOVERNMENTS OF BENGAL, THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES, BURMA, ASSAM, AND COORG.

THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA, RAJPUTANA, AND BALUCHISTAN.

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF MILITARY WORKS.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RAILWAYS.

THE CONSULTING ENGINEERS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR RAILWAYS, CALCUTTA, LUCKNOW, AND ASSAM.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.

Simla, the 28th April 1896.

SIR,

In Public Works Department Code, Volume I, Chapter V, paragraph 37, it is laid down that Sergeants are eligible for promotion to the rank of Sub-Conductor from which rank well conducted warrant officers will rise by seniority as vacancies occur unless sufficient ground for supersession exists.

When a warrant officer is promoted to honorary commissioned rank, he is also under paragraph 72, Chapter II, Volume I, Public Works Code, made an Assistant Engineer on the ground that it is undesirable that any commissioned officer should occupy the position of a subordinate in the Department. To enable him to hold the rank of Assistant Engineer, he must, also under the rule quoted above, be in the Sub-Engineer class, and, if not already in that class, it is necessary that he should be promoted into it departmentally.

Hitherto unfitness for departmental advancement has not generally been considered a sufficient reason for justifying supersession in military rank, and cases have occurred in which it has been found necessary under the circumstances just referred to, to promote to the Sub-Engineer class a subordinate who had been considered unfit for departmental promotion to that class in the ordinary course, and who had been passed over.

2. I am to say that the Government of India consider that, in addition to good conduct and seniority, fitness for departmental promotion should be a factor in awarding military promotion beyond the rank of Conductor, and they have therefore decided that in future no warrant officer shall receive military promotion to the rank of Deputy Assistant Commissary unless he is, at the time of the occurrence of a vacancy for his promotion to that rank, also considered fit for departmental promotion to the Sub-Engineer class, and steps will be taken before awarding promotion to honorary commissioned rank, to ascertain that the persons concerned or considered fit, both for military promotion and to occupy the departmental position which such promotion involves.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. H. D. WALKER,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No 1013 G.

Copy forwarded to the Military Department for information.

By Order.

G. H. D. WALKER,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Documents accompanying.

Nil.

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 137 P. W. D.—30-4-69.—1224.

No. 1012 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE JOINT SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, RAILWAY BRANCH.

THE SECRETARIES TO THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, GENERAL AND RAILWAY BRANCHES.

THE SECRETARIES TO THE GOVERNMENTS OF BENGAL, THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH, AND THE PUNJAB, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF THE CENTRAL PROVINCES, BURMA, ASSAM, AND COORG.

THE RESIDENT AT HYDERABAD.

THE AGENTS TO THE GOVERNOR GENERAL FOR CENTRAL INDIA, RAJPUTANA, AND BALUCHISTAN.

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF MILITARY WORKS.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF RAILWAYS.

THE CONSULTING ENGINEERS TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA FOR RAILWAYS, CALCUTTA, LUCKNOW, AND ASSAM.

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TELEGRAPHS.

Simla, the 28th April 1896.

SIR,

In Public Works Department Code, Volume I, Chapter V, paragraph 37, it is laid down that Sergeants are eligible for promotion to the rank of Sub-Conductor from which rank well conducted warrant officers will rise by seniority as vacancies occur unless sufficient ground for supersession exists.

When a warrant officer is promoted to honorary commissioned rank, he is also under paragraph 72, Chapter II, Volume I, Public Works Code, made an Assistant Engineer on the ground that it is undesirable that any commissioned officer should occupy the position of a subordinate in the Department. To enable him to hold the rank of Assistant Engineer, he must, also under the rule quoted above, be in the Sub-Engineer class, and, if not already in that class, it is necessary that he should be promoted into it departmentally.

Hitherto unfitness for departmental advancement has not generally been considered a sufficient reason for justifying supersession in military rank, and cases have occurred in which it has been found necessary under the circumstances just referred to, to promote to the Sub-Engineer class a subordinate who had been considered unfit for departmental promotion to that class in the ordinary course, and who had been passed over.

called for for scrutiny during the inspection of Divisional offices by Account Officers, it is necessary that the officers in charge of the parties should know the remarks made by inspecting Account Officers on their inspections of Divisional offices, so far as they relate to the bills & which the parties prepare I, therefore, request that, in future, 7 copies of Govt. orders containing notes of inspection of Account Officers of their inspection of Divisional offices may be furnished to this office for being supplied to the I. R. L. parties for their information & guidance

For Ed 7/5

I.

..V. CURRENT No. 4422

26

Desp: 13.5.96

B/Sec No. 1577W, dy 22nd May 1896.

Ordered according

Ordered accordingly

16/5/96

Ch Ds 16.5.96.

DESPATCHED 3

Ch. E. for I. R. L. 20/5

Ch. E. for I. W. S.

Despatcher to note

He to note 18/5

O.L.S.99 R 18/5/96

T/II.—100—18-1-96.

GOVT, OF MADRAS. } 1896. { P. W. DEPT.

TANK RESTORATION SCHEME.

Madras. 12th May 1896.

OFFICE NOTE.

No 706.T.

To	From	Despatch Date.	Receipt Date.
Do	E.I. / T.	12.5.96	

KEEP-WITH.

No. dated 189 .

As Tank Restoration parties prepare their salary, travelling allowance, and contingent bills & submit them to the office of the Executive Engineer of the Division in which they work, and record in their offices, the journals of lower subordinates & office establishment which are called