

Public Works (1896) Department.

G.O., No.

/250

DATED

9/4/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sergeants employed on the Burma
Provincial Establishment—
Gooty didra's list transferring
the Promotion recommendation
roll of — for the period ending 31 Dec
95) Received.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

6.4.26 N^o 1245

Eurebo /

Dec: 4-4-96

Lo. 1250 L. at 9 April
1896

P3

Recorded

9/11/18

С.Н.В.В.
7.4.96

92

CKαΣ.

All communications
should be addressed
to the Secretary.

No. 786 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

Calcutta, the 26th March 1896.

The under-mentioned paper is with reference to Public Works Department Resolution No. 586 G., dated the 6th March 1896, transferred to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department.

By order,

C. Mulwally

Under Secretary.

Document referred to.

Promotion recommendation roll of Sergeants employed on the Burma Provincial Establishment for the period ending the 31st December 1895.

Burma P. W. Department.

*Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached list in the
Provincial and Railway Branches recommended
for promotion to the Warrant Grade for the
year ended the 31st December 1895.*

P.W.
Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Provincial and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1896.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Departmental rank.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(1) Mr. Goldrick J. M. X	February 1880	Novr: 1886	Novr: 1886	Supervisor 3 rd grade.	(a) Left the Division on transfer on 10/9/95. His conduct under me was good, and he bears generally a respectable character. My predecessor thought highly of him. (a) Recommended, as I consider he is deserving of the promotion both on account of professional efficiency and also on the grounds specified in para 7 of P.W.R. Circular No. 38 dated 14th July 1896. (b) Recommended. (c) He is a deserving Subordinate. His Subdivisional accounts are neatly kept, as I saw for myself when inspecting the Amherst Division. I recommended him for promotion.	Recommended
(2) Barry C. X	August 1873	Feby: 1887	Januy: 1887	Supervisor 3 rd grade.	(a) Sergeant Barry is a hardworking zealous and conscientious Subordinate. Strongly recommended for promotion. (b) Recommended.	Recommended

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Provincial and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1895.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Departmental rank.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(3) Clift. F.A. X	February 1883	March 1890	March 1890	Superior 2 nd grade.	(a) Conduct very good. Has displayed much tact and good temper in the difficult charge he holds (the Garrison Subdivision of this Division) I am quite satisfied; he is worthy of advancement to the Warrant rank. (b) Recommended.	Recommended.
(4) Curley J. R.E. X	June 1884	April 1891	April 1890	Overseer 1 st grade.	(a) I have never met this Sergeant and know nothing about him. (b) Sergeant Curley has not been in the Circle since I took charge of it in November 1894. He is, I believe, an excellent man and deserving of promotion. (c) Has only recently joined this circle.	Recommended.
(5) Walsh. R. X	Feb'y. 1888	March 1892	Jan'y 1892	Overseer 1 st grade.	(a) Sergeant Walsh has been on leave during my short charge of this D ^o so I cannot say anything about his qualifications. In last year's report the late Ex. Eng ^r . says "a very good subordinate" but he has not been sufficiently long in the grade to qualify for promotion to a Warrant officer. (b) Not yet recommended.	Recommended.

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Provincial and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1895.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Departmental rank.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(6) Maloney M.	April 1886	March 1892	March 93	over-see 1 st grade.	(a) Is very hardworking, steady, and of active habits. Length of service is insufficient for promotion. (b) Not recommended. Has not a good record for Subordination.	Not recommended.
(7) Russell C.	March 1887	March 1892	Jan'y: 1893	over-see 1 st grade.	(a) Conduct excellent while under me and respectability irreproachable. (b) Recommended.	Recommended.
(8) Timmiston W.J.	July 1882	Feb'y: 1887	Feb'y: 1887	Super-visor 1 st grade.	(a) Srgt. Timmiston has now been about 1 year and 8 months in this Division. I have found him energetic and hardworking. He is zealous & capable, conscientious trustworthy and thorough in the discharge of his duties. I cannot speak too highly of his character and conduct. (b) In every respect an excellent subordinate and strongly recommended for promotion.	Recommended.

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Prov. P. W. and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1895.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(9) Knox. J.	April 1880	March 1891	March 1891	over-seen 1 st grade (a) I have only known Sergeant Knox for a month. Recommended for promotion to Warrant rank in his hour. (b) Sergeant Knox is recommended.	Recommended.
(10) Taylor. H. J. D.	October 1887	March 1893	Feb'y: 1893	over-seen 1 st grade (a) Has only been too short a time in the Division to judge of him. Appears active, intelligent and well behaved. (a) Is a sober steady and respectful subordinate but a trifling ditatory in carrying out orders (b) I recommend Sergh Taylor for promotion. Recommended. He is well reported on.	Recommended.
(11) Johnson S. W.	August 1886	March 1891	February 91	over-seen 1 st grade (a) Has been sick for nearly the whole time he has been in the R th and in consequence I am not in a position to report fully on him, but from what I have seen he appears to be respectful and willing to carry out orders. b. Sergeant Johnson has nothing against him but illness and weak health, which no doubt he will overcome. He is recommended.	Recommended.

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Province of Ontario
the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1895.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.B.	Department.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(12) Cooper W.H.A.	+ April 1889	March 1893	Feb: 1893	Inspector 1 st grade	(a) Is a hardworking, intelligent smart subordinate and has given satisfaction since he has been in charge of the Hingdet Subdivision and elsewhere. Is recommended for promotion in his turn. (b) I concur, but he should be promoted after Sergeant Montgomery.	Recommended.
(13) Caldecourt G.F.H.	+ January 1882.	Novr: 1886.	Mar: 1887	Supervisor 2 nd grade	(a) Recommended for promotion. (b) I know very little of Sergeant Caldecourt. He worked under me for only a short time about 9 years ago. He is recommended for promotion by his Ex. Engineer, and I concur in that recommendation.	Recommended.

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Provisional and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1895.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Def. or Tal. men to.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
(14) Montgomery, M. *	October 1885	May 1891	March '91	Over- seer 1 st grade.	(a) Having just joined the Division I cannot form an opinion as to his character and capabilities of Sergeant Montgomery. My predecessor has verbally given me a good report of the overseer. (b) I knew Sergeant Montgomery when he was in the Shwabo Division. He is a very intelligent subordinate, willing and hard-working. I recommend him for promotion, not only as a deserving subordinate but as one who took a considerable amount of interest in his work.	Recommended.
(15) Farrington, G. *	Sept: 1882.	Jan'y: 1887	March 1887	Sup- ervisor 2 nd grade.	(a) No remarks. (b) No remarks. (just returned from furlough).	Recommended.

Roll of Sergeants on the Unattached List in the Province of P. W. and Railway Branches recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade for the year ended 31st December 1896.

Name.	Date of Enlistment.	Date of promotion to Sergeant.	Date of appointment to P.W.R.	Dep'tal men.	Remarks by (a) Executive Engineer. (b) Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Chief Engineer.
-------	---------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------	---	--------------------------------

(16) Garth, H. M. C. X

September 1886

March 1893

March 1893

Over-
18th grade.

(a) Steady and intelligent Subordinate, respectful and careful to carry out orders. Previous recommendation continued.

(b) Recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade.

Recommended.

(17) Hamilton, W. X

June 1884

March 1893

March 1893

Over-
18th grade.

(a) Excellent conduct and very superior qualifications.

(b) Recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade.

Recommended.

(18) Sutton, H. B. X

May 1889

March 1893

March '93

Over-
18th grade.

(a) Is a steady, reliable overseer and an excellent masonry supervisor.

(b) Recommended for promotion to the Warrant grade.

Recommended.

Done
17/3/96

J. P. Brown
Chief Engineer.

16-3-96.

068.1
3370/96