

No. 238 G

Superintending Engineer's Office

Bezwada

II CIRCLE,

Dated 30 August 1895

From,

Major W. C. Baddeley, R.E. Esquire,

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your notice an ambiguity in the wording of para 9. Chapter V. Volume I. of the Government of India, Public Works Department Code, which I think should be removed.

2. Officers of Royal Engineers are not placed on half pay or retired under the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, but under the Royal Warrant for pay and promotion, in force at date of retirement, the latest being 1894.

3. The intention of the Code rule referred to above is obviously to embody the provisions of para 8 of the Royal Warrant of 20th February 1886, but it would be advisable to make the rule more distinct by adding the words "of Royal Engineers" between "Officers" and "placed" line 4, and eliminating the words "20th Feb 1886," and inserting in their place ~ "1894 or Subsequent Warrants" which is nearly the wording adopted in Military Department 1197 A. S. of 6th September 1886. which relates to ^{not need} this subject.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
Wm Baddley Major, R.E.

Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

29/9

From
The Suptg Engineer,
II Circle.

To,
The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department,
Madras.

Dated 30 August 1895.

No 238 Gb

Subject.

Para 9 Chapter V Vol I
of Govt of India P. W. Code
— Bringing to notice
the ambiguity in the
wording of & suggesting
certain modifications

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,
The *Recd: 2.9.95*

Dated } 18
Received }

Enclosures.

No.

SUBJECT.

No 2299 W of 16 Sept 1895

B. H.

*Ordered to be
forwarded to the Govt
of India for consider-
ation.*

*AL 2300 W of 16 Sept 1895
forwarded*

RECEIVED
15 SEP 95

D

INDEXED

2299 W of 16 Sept 1895