

Suptg. Engineer will now ascertain from the Collector and other local Heads of depts. what they wish ^{to be} done with the building, and on receipt of the views of the several officers consulted final orders will be passed with regard to its disposal of the building.

2. The Govt. is satisfied after full consideration of the correspondence that the Suptg. Engineer did not intend the slightest discourtesy to the Collector, and hopes that the latter officer will therefore withdraw this allegation, made in his letter, though it is to be regretted that he was not consulted as required laid down in G.O. No 157 W dated 29th May 1877.

3. With regard to the Collector's remarks as to the manner in which G.O. No 320 W dated 14th Decr. 1894 was communicated

communicated to him, it ^{appears} ~~is clear~~ that ~~the~~ ^{the} order in question was ^{forwarded} ~~communicated~~ through the usual channel to the Revenue Dept., and but had not reached him that dept. will explain why when he wrote his letter it was not communicated by the 25th January last to the Collector.

CHD
29/4/95
W

S
m

To
The Revenue Dept.
29 APR. 95
Supts. Engr., Circle

(1)
Correspondence relative to the
transfer of the old Dy. Collector's
office at Venkonda to the
Registration Dept.

N^o. 992 W-d/29-4-95

The Secy. to Govt., Revenue Dept.
for favour of counter initials.

13/5/95
Please see separate note
suggesting modifiⁿ of
para. 3. S.K. 19.4.5

INDEXED
2.30/4 Not recommended
C.M.
495/5-10-1

N^o. 6 S.E. 5 d/9th April 1895

From - J. W. H. Ellis Esqr: Jnl: Supt: P.W. Workshops
To - The Superintending Engineer & Circle

Sir,
With reference to para 5 of the Chief Engineer's
Memo N^o. 2992 C dated the 27th September 1894, Communi-
cated with your endorsement N^o. 284 P.W.W. d/6th October
1894, I have the honour to report, that Mr. T. J. Rozario
continues to give me entire satisfaction in the discharge
of his duties as Foreman of the Instrument Department,
I therefore, bring forward his case again, for favorable
consideration, in view to his being promoted to the maxi-
mum pay of his appointment viz: Rs 120 per mensem
as promised to him.

I have &c
Signed J. W. H. Ellis
Jnl: Supt: P.W. Workshops

N^o. 136 W

Copy to the Chief Engineer P.W. Department for
favourable consideration with reference to para 5
of his Memo N^o. 2992 C d/27th September 1894.

18 April
1895 }

Ch. Engr
18/4/95
Lt Col. R.E.
Supt. Engineer & Circle

The Budget Estimate of *Public Works in charge of Civil Officers* included 3.85 for grants to Municipalities on account of drainage and water-supply projects. But on information received at the time of the preparation of the Revised Estimate, it was reduced to 2.58, of which 1.90 only was actually utilized during the year. On the other hand, the provision for *Communications* was exceeded, chiefly in the Vizagapatam district.

ABSTRACT.

Revenue.				Madras.	Expenditure.			
Ac- counts. 1892-93.	1893-94.				Accounts. 1892-93.	1893-94.		
	Budget.	Revised.	Ac- counts.			Budget.	Revised.	Accounts.
Provincial.								
270.82	270.04	276.98	280.47	Revenue	73.04	74.63	74.78	74.62
.85	1.59	1.61	1.79	Interest	1.43	2.00	1.82	1.79
.01	...	...	...	Post Office, Telegraph and Mint.	.79	.80	.82	.82
19.17	18.92	20.10	20.05	Civil Departments ...	140.98	140.71	144.03	145.18
2.87	2.88	3.00	2.91	Miscellaneous ...	22.47	22.67	22.93	23.25
...	...	...	...	Famine Relief ...	2.44	3.00	.03	.02
...	...	...	...	Construction of Rail- ways, &c.	9.19	6.75	6.64	6.40
...	...	...	...	Miscellaneous Railway Expenditure.	...	...	.11	.11
1.60	1.55	1.77	1.86	Irrigation	33.32	32.00	31.50	31.42
1.36	2.00	1.66	1.77	Buildings and Roads...	25.38	29.01	26.50	26.41
5.54	9.63	7.16	7.13	Transfers from and to Local.	9.09	2.34	2.67	2.60
302.22	306.61	312.28	315.98	Total	318.13	313.91	311.83	312.62
41.91	24.30	26.00	26.00	Opening and closing balance.	26.00	17.00	26.45	29.36
344.13	330.91	338.28	341.98	Grand Total ...	344.13	330.91	338.28	341.98

The variations under Civil Revenue and Service heads have been already explained in their proper places, and therefore those appearing under the adjusting head, *Transfers between Provincial and Local* alone require explanation here.

Large contributions from *Provincial to Local* were sanctioned in 1892-93 in view to remove deficits caused by the large sums spent on Famine Relief operations by Local Boards in the affected districts. In the year under review only 20 was paid to the District Board, North Arcot, on account of the Famine of 1891-92. Under *Transfers from Local to Provincial*, the outlay on the construction of the Mávavaram-Muttupet Railway was less than was anticipated in the Budget, but the Village Service Fund of the Madura district repaid a loan of 73 taken from Provincial revenues in previous years. These two facts were taken into account in the Revised Estimate. In 1892-93 there were several large repayments of temporary aid rendered in previous years.

The total receipts of *Provincial Services* amounted to 315.98 and the expenditure to 312.62 resulting in a surplus of 3.36 for the year. The net result of the transactions of 1892-93 was a deficit of 15.91. The receipts of 1893-94 show an increase over those of 1892-93 under every head of revenue, chiefly under the principal heads, in which it was not less than 9.65. And moreover in 1892-93, the expenditure due directly or indirectly to famine was considerable, and larger amounts than in 1893-94 were spent on the construction of the Mávavaram-Muttupet Railway and on Irrigation Works.

Statement showing Exchange Compensation Allowances paid under each Major head Provincial.

Major Heads.	1893-94.		
	Provincial.		
	Budget.	Revised.	Accounts.
3. Land Revenue	...	.85	1.01
5. Salt	...	.03	.03
7. Excise	...	.01	.01
9. Customs	...	.02	.02
11. Forest	...	.11	.09
18. General Administration	...	.27	.29
19-A. Law and Justice— Courts of Law	...	.80	.60
19-B. Law and Justice—Jails.	...	.07	.06
20. Police	...	.39	.36
22. Education	...	.19	.19
24. Medical	...	.45	.43
25. Political	...	.03	.04
26. Scientific, &c., Depts. ...	...	.06	.06
30. Stationery and Printing ...	...	.02	.02
32. Miscellaneous	...	...	...
Total	...	3.30	3.21

The Budget did not anticipate the grant of this allowance, which was sanctioned in August 1893 with retrospective effect from 1st April 1893. At the time of the preparation of the Revised Estimate, many claims were still under consideration and the data were insufficient to admit of great accuracy in the Estimates.