

P. W. CURRENT No. 3065

From
The Supt Engineer
Fifth Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department.

Dated 19th April 1895.
Recd.

Encls.

no 3461 c 8 6. 10. 86
" 3252 w 8 8. 12. 86
" 18 c 8 7. 1. 87
" 291 c 8 10. 3. 87
" 1255 c 8 1. 4. 87
" 1213 w 8 4. 5. 87
" 2500 c 8 6. 6. 87
" 1472 w 8 2. 6. 87
" 3255 c 8 2. 6. 87
" 3732 c 8 3. 8. 92
" 2450 c 8 24. 8. 92
" 30456 w 8 17. 12. 92
" 3916 c 8 21. 12. 93
" 2600 c 8 21. 4. 94
" 2500 c 8 20. 8. 94
" 2317 c 8 13. 9. 94
" 2992 c 8 27. 9. 94
" 2230 c 8 22. 1. 95

No. 136 W.

Mr. J. J. Rozario Fore-
man Instrument Deptt.

Forwarding copy of letter
No. 6865 dated 9th April/95
from the General Supt
P.W. Workshops recom-
-ding - for maximum pay
for favorable consideration

M. 25-12-25/24

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 99/W. d/29 April 1895.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Superintending Engineer, Circle, No. 136 W. d/18 April 1895.

With reference to G.O. No.
2317 W. dated 13th September
1894, the pay of Mr. J. J.

Rozario, Foreman, Instrument
Department, Presidency, P.W.
Workshops, will be increased
to Rs 120 per mensem with
effect from the 1st April 1895.

2. This sanction has been
registered as Provincial
No. 9 W of 1895-96, and
classified as Establishment
Permanent.

To
The Suptg. Engineer Circle
Examiner, P.W. account
(40-4,000-14-3-95.)

S

Cu

22/4/95

Letter from the District Registrar
of Assurances, Krishna, to the Supy
Engineer, D^o Cerele, of Masulipatam
16th November 1894, N^o. 3205

In forwarding herewith a copy
of the letter of 12.11.94, N^o. 4463, re-
ceived from the Collector, Krishna, I
have the honour to request that you
will be so good as to permit the
Sub Registrar of Vinconda to take
possession of the building in which
the General Dy Collector's Office
is now located at Vinconda, after
the removal of that office to
Narasarowpet. The Sub Registrar
whose office is now held in a
very inconvenient portion in the
local Taluk Cutchery, very often
complains of the unsuitability of
the portion allotted to him in the
Taluk Office.

(Enclosure)

From the Collector and Magistrate,
Krishna, to the Registrar of Assurances,
Krishna, of 12.11.94, N^o. —

Referring to your letter of 30.10.94,
N^o. 3013, I have the honour to inform
you

2

1019 C of 12th March 1895, I have the
honour to inform you that there is no
record in my Office of any wish
on the part of the present Collector
of Distra to provide accommodation
for a Local Fund Dispensary in
the building at Vinukonda now
occupied by the General Dy Collector.
2. When the Registrar of Assurances
Masaipataw applied to me for
the use of the building in question,
when vacated, he forwarded a
copy of a letter from the Collector
and I would submit that if
the Collector had any objections
to

the building being handed over
to the Registration Department,
Some expression of his views might
with advantage have been given
in that letter. I enclose copies
of the letters and I venture to say
that I was perfectly justified
in believing, as I did, that the
Collector had no objection to the
Registrar's proposal. The Registrar,
of course took that view also, or
he would not have forwarded
the Collector's letter and made
an application for the building.

3. I now find that in a letter to
the Board of Revenue of 20th
March 1891, No. 50 (Extra) the late
Collector of Pithura remarks "If
this building were vacated it might
be utilized for a dispensary as the
present one is small and inade-
quate". At the time I applied for
the sanction of Government to transfer
the building to the Registration Department,
I was quite unaware of this suggestion
of Mr. Trumdel's and even if there had
been some definite proposal, I
should have hesitated before asking
the present Collector in 1894 whether
he had overlooked the suggestions
made

made by his predecessor in 1891.
4. As regards the manner in which
G.O. 3205 W of 14.12.94 was communicated
to the Collector I must point out that
the order referred to two divisions &
was communicated to the Executive
Engineers concerned with my instructions.
The Engineer, Pithura & Northern Dist. seeing
that there was a letter in his office
containing a wish on the part of the
Collector to utilize the building as a
dispensary, as a matter of courtesy,
sent the G.O. to the Collector through
me. If on receipt of the G.O. the
Collector had simply mentioned to me
that his wishes had been overlooked,
I would at once have applied for so
much of the order as related to the
Vinnikonda building being cancelled.

5. From this explanation it will be seen
that the Collector's remarks accusing
me of cavalier conduct, and want of
courtesy are not warranted by the facts,
and in my opinion, they should be with-
drawn in the most unreserved manner.

6. As regards the state of the taluk office
building at Vinnikonda, I am well aware
that it is in very bad order. In August
last, I inspected the building and gave
orders for some necessary repairs. Since
then I have taken further steps to try &
repair the building as far as possible, and
I have also in my G.O. 2776 of the 3.3.95 re-
commended that the new catechist's built
at Vinnikonda, should be placed in the class A
list of works for 1895-96.

x 662 W of 19.3.95
put up with
C. No 2097 sent
to C. W. 6.10.95
W/3

I am, Sir, most respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
W. B. Baddeley
Major R.E.

From

The Suptg Engr
II Circle.

From,

The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

Encls 4
The
Recd. 20.3.95

Dated

Received

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No. 83 W of 16-1-93 ✓
" 1709 " 12-7-94 Judd. (coris. S.O.) ✓
" 2729 W " 26-10-94 ✓
" 3205 W " 14-12-94 ✓
" 906 C " 8-3-95 ✓

The Chief Engineer,
B.W.D.
Madras.

Encls: 4 (H.L.S.)

N.O. 2869 K.S.

Dated March/95.

Old Vinconda General
Dy. Collector's Office.
Explains how the —
was transferred to the
Registration Department.
~~and how the same was~~
~~referred to the~~

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Enclosurement in the Revenue Dept. N^o 910 M.S. d/1.3.95
on Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (S.R.) N^o 836
M.S. dated 18.2.95.
Letter from the Suptg Engr: II Circle N^o 2869 K.S. d/18 March 1895.

FOR ORDERS.

embodied in
para. 920 of the
Madras P.W. Code
copy of the Code
obtained from Genl. P.W.D.
sent up.

The Suptg. Engineer appears to me
to have brought all this trouble
about by not carrying out the
procedure laid down in the G.O.
of 1878 quoted by Mr. Atkinson (but
wh. is not put up.)

He seems to me to take a rather
absurd view when he supposes that
Mr. Atkinson would have laid
bare to the Suptg Registrar his own
views as to the disposal of this
building, in the correspondence wh.
went on between them. It does not
seem to me that this was the way in
wh. the Collector sh^d. have brought his
views to the notice of the Suptg Engineer,
and the Suptg Engineer in making
this allegation appears to me to be
trying to conceal his own failure to
have carried out the orders of Govt.
The S.E. had no business to jump
at the conclusion he drew — and if

It will be seen
from the correspondence
that when the Registrar
applied to the Collector
for the use of the building
in question the latter
officer referred him
to the Suptg Engineer
but expressed no objec-
tion on his own account
to the proposed transfer
on any desire for the
building to be put into
any other use. Under
the circumstances the
Suptg Engineer like

every one else naturally supposed that the Collector had no objection to the proposal.

2. The proposal to utilise the building as a dispensary, appears to have been little more than a vague suggestion made some four years ago, and never communicated to the Supt. Engineer.

3. With regard to the manner in which G.O. No. 3205 W of 16. December 1894 was communicated to the Collector it may be observed that orders of this kind are not usually communicated by officers of this Dept. to those of other Departments who are expected to receive them in their own

he had stuck to Red-tape, he would have avoided this mess.

Mr. Atkinson was perfectly right in telling the S.E. to prefer his wish to the S.E. and the S.E. ought to have ascertained from each & every depart^{mt} what their wishes were regarding this building, & then taken the orders of Govt.

At the same time I do not think he can be held to have been wanting in courtesy to the Collector - but he is to blame for not having followed the procedure laid down.

As to the communication of the order - I sh^d. like to know why the Sec. Dept. did not communicate it to the Collector. Meanwhile the G.O. must be cancelled, and the S.E. must ascertain from the Heads of Depts. what they wish done with the building.

I am curious to know what the Collector will say as to its being made into a Taluk hutcherry - because if it will do for this, we shall save having to build a new one - and for a small outlay we can give the Sub-Registrar the accommodation he requires. However if it cannot be made into a serviceable Taluk hutcherry - it looks to me as if it

ought to be converted into one while the new one is being built, & when that is completed, it will have to be decided what use it should be put to. It appears to be much too large for the sub registrar, and it is a question whether this officer might not be provided for in the new Taluk hutcherry - and then this building might be made over for the local Fund dispensary which appears to be much wanted.

I have written this on the supposition that the old Taluk hutcherry is unsafe. But anyhow we ought to have the views of all the Heads of Depts. on the subject - and then decide between them.

I would tell the Collector that the Govt. after full consideration is satisfied that the S.E. did not intend the slightest discourtesy to him, & that it is to be regretted that he did not consult him as laid down in the G.O. of '78.

to
27 Mar

live Jagree.

Imagine the best thing is absolutely without foundation and should it is considered be unreservedly withdrawn.

29 28/3
28/3/95

Departments, and it was merely from an excess of courtesy that the Executive Engineer sent a copy of this order to the Collector.

4. It is observed that the draft was counter-initialed by the Chief Secretary and the order communicated in the usual way to both the Judicial and Revenue Departments, in neither of which was any objection raised.

5. It is clear from the above that the Collector's charge of "cavalier and discourteous treatment of himself and Mr. Arundel"

6. With regard to the manner

in which the building should be utilised it is considered that the requirements of Government must be met.

before it can be handed over to the District Board, and the only question is whether it should be used as a taluk cutcherry or a registration office.

7. An estimate for a new taluk cutcherry has been sanctioned and funds to the extent of Rs 20,000 provided in the budget for 1895-96, and any further amount that can be expended will be provided.

8. Under these circumstances it seems hardly worth while to move the Tahsildar's office from its present building (which is being put into repair) with the prospect of a further move in another year, and it is therefore considered that the original order transferring the building to the Registration Dept should stand.

- (1) His Excellency the Governor
- (2) The Hon. Mr. H. W. Blyth
- (3) The Hon. Mr. J. P. G. G. G.

FOR ORDERS.

Correspondence relative to the transfer of the old Dy. Collector's office at Virinkonda to the Registration Dept.

Office

Please note with reference to H.E.'s remark regarding the failure to communicate the C.O. to the J.O. -
S. H. 18/12/95

Sac

With reference to His Excellency's remark in the notes under P.W. Council No 2173 regarding the failure to communicate sp. 8/14 December '94 No 3205 to the Collector of Kistna, it is respectfully submitted that the order in question was communicated to the Board of Revenue in sp. 8/27 December '94 No 5102. Revenue, as such orders are usually communicated to the Collectors concerned by the Board of Revenue & not by Government. It appears from the Board's Vol for January 1895 that the above order was communicated to the

Collector of Kistna by the Board of
Revenue in its No. 215 January 1895
No 106. Probably the B.C. had not
reached the Collector when he wrote
his letter of 25 January 1895 now under
disposal.

L.D.
19/4.

Secy. P. W. D.

This note may go to A.C. for
information; and para 3
of the draft G.O. may be
modified, ~~and~~ the last
six lines to run to the following
effect: - "it appears that the
G.O. was forwarded through
the usual channels but had
not reached him when he
wrote his letter of the 25th
Jan. last"

S.H.

19/4/5

No
D 20