C No. 1950

Govt. of 1895 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Urgent

Dated 13/3

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 16/3 1895, No. 639/640 W

20/3

11/3

Executive & Assistant

Engineers

Resolution of the Govt: of ndia fixing revised cadre umbers & limiting scales or regulating the promotion — for 1895, commd: to he Examiner P. W. Accts: ith remarks.

—

— do —

orwarding copy of Res: on e subject of revised cadre umbers & limiting scales r the promotion of — for 1895, and

and requesting decision on the questions raised as to the exact limit up to which tempy: promotion to Executive ~~Engrs~~: Engr: 3 grade may be made, & the inclusion of Engineers under-going a course of practical training in England in the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale".

~~and requesting decision on the questions raised as to the exact limit up to which tempy~~

T. C.
16

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General,
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

Resolution of the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), dated Calcutta, 20th February 1895, No. 524 G.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 11th March 1895, No. 877-A.

[Your office-note No. 845 C. of 6th March 1895.]

As permanent promotions admissible under Government of India Resolution No. 2523 G., dated 28th September 1893, paragraph 6, clause (4), have already been made in the place of Mr. J. J. Whiteley, Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, lent to the Mysore State, that officer does not count against the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale."

2. Mr. Edge, Assistant Engineer, 3rd grade, is now undergoing a course of practical training in England and stands posted to Madras. In my opinion he counts against the limiting scale unless the Government of India decide that Assistant Engineers under practical training in England do not count against limiting scale until their course in England is completed. On this point I would invite your attention to the decision of the Government of India communicated with G.O., No. 1515 W., dated 7th June 1893, and would suggest that the present question be referred to the Government of India for orders.

3. Lieutenant A. J. Close, R.E., has only been temporarily placed at the disposal of the Madras Government, and cannot, in my opinion, be counted against the limiting scale for purposes of Government of India Resolution No. 524 G., dated 20th February 1895.

4. Excluding therefore Mr. Whiteley and Lieutenant A. J. Close, and including Mr. Edge, there will be 69 Executive and Assistant Engineers who constitute the active strength charged against the limiting scale 72 allowed for Madras. One-half of this number is 34½, and as the Government of India Resolution does not say whether a fraction (one-half) in arriving at the number should be neglected or whether it should be taken as one, it is not clear whether the limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, may be made, should be taken as 34 or 35. On this point also I would suggest a reference to the Government of India.

5. Including the temporary promotions to Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, of Messrs. J. S. Wilson and T. W. S. Smyth, with effect from 12th and 14th February 1895, respectively, there are at present 35 Executive Engineers permanent and temporary rank on duty.

Order—dated 16th March 1895, No. 639 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

2. With reference to the rule in paragraph 2 of the resolution it is observed that, excluding Mr. Whiteley in whose place a permanent promotion has been made, and

Lieutenant A. J. Close, R.E., whose service is only temporary, but including Mr. Edge undergoing practical training in England, there will be 69 Executive and Assistant Engineers to constitute the active strength charged against the limiting scale of 72 allowed to Madras. Half of the former number is 34½, and the limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, may be made will thus be either 34 or 35 according to whether the fraction of one-half is taken as one or is neglected.

3. The resolution is silent on this point, and a reference to the Government of India will accordingly be made.

4. The question as to whether Engineers undergoing a course of practical training in England should be included in the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale" will also be referred for decision.

5. Pending a reply the limit will be fixed at 34.

[Here enter No. 640 W., dated 16th March 1895.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 16th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Mar. 1895, No. 639 W.

MARCH.

Executive and Assistant Engineers

Resolution of the Government of India fixing revised cadre numbers and limiting scales for regulating the promotion of — for 1895, communicated to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts with remarks.

16th March 1895, No. 640 W., Public Works.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Letter—from

Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

Adverting to the resolution of the Government of India, No. 524 G., dated 20th February 1895, fixing revised cadre numbers for 1895 for regulating promotions of Executive and Assistant Engineers, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Proceedings of this Government, No. 639 W., dated 16th March 1895, and to request the decision of the Government of India on the two questions therein raised.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt , P.W.D.

Fort St. George,
16th March 1895.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Date March, 16th.

Recd. Encl.

16th March 1895, No. 640 W.

MARCH.

Executive and Assistant Engineers

Forwarding copy of Proceedings on the subject of revised cadre numbers and limiting scales for the promotion of — for 1895, and requesting decision on the questions raised as to the exact limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, may be made, and the inclusion of Engineers undergoing a course of practical training in England in the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale."

C No. 1950
– 1980

W

For orders.

Whether the two questions raised by C (C. No 1950) are to be referred to the Govt. of India. Unless the limit up to which temporary promotions to Ex. Engr. can be raised to 35, the promotion of R. Kalyanarama Aiyer cannot be made – he will have to be gazetted to the "charge" of the division.

R. S.
13 Mar 95.

139
640 3 W

13/3 95

Yes

Meantime K. A. must be gazetted to the charge of the Division [illegible]

General
Establishment

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General
Establishment

No: 639 W- d/16 March 1895.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Resolution of the Govt. of India (H.E. No. 524 G d/20 Feby 1895)
Office note from the Exr. P.W.A. (" " 877 H d/ 11 March ")

3 P

Communicated to the Examiner P.W. Accounts.

w
15 mar

2. With reference to the rule in para 2 of the Resolution it is observed that excluding Mr. Whiteley in whose place a permanent promotion has been made, and Lieut. A. J. Close, R.E., whose service is only temporary, but including Mr. Edge undergoing practical training in England, there will be

(40—1,000—21-4-94.)

69 Executive and Assistant Engineers to constitute the active strength charged against the limiting scale of 72 allowed to Madras. Half of the former number is $34\frac{1}{2}$, and the limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer 3rd grade may be made will thus be either 34 or 35 according to whether the fraction of one half is taken as one or is neglected.

3. The Resolution is silent on this point, and a reference to the Government of India will accordingly be made.

4. The question as to

To,
The Examiner
" C.E. for I.
" C.E. for Rys.

DESPAT
26 MAR. 95

whether Engineers undergoing a course of practical training in England should be included in the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale" will also be referred for decision.

5. Pending a reply the limit will be fixed at 34.

(P.E. No. W.d/
No: 640 W.-d/16th March 1895)

To,
The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Public Works Dept.

Sir,

to
15 mar

Adverting to the Resolution of the Govt. of India No. 524 G. dated 20th February 1895 fixing revised cadre numbers

H.G.

for 1895 for regulating promotions of Executive and Assistant Engineers, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the Proceedings of this Government No. 639 W dated 16th March 1895 and to request the decision of the Govt. of India on the two questions therein raised.

I have &c.,

Revised Cadre numbers for Executive & Asstt. Engineers

W.

Comms 14/3/95

DESPATCHED
26 MAR. 95

---

6 MAR 1895
P. W. ACC.

18

No. 524 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Calcutta, the 20th February 1895.

Engineer Establishment. Revised cadre numbers for 1895 for regulating promotions of Executive and Assistant Engineers.

Read—

Public Works Department Resolution No. 2523 G., dated the 28th September 1893.

OBSERVATIONS.—In para. 9 of the resolution read above, the Government of India published a series of cadre numbers and limiting scales for the promotion of Executive and Assistant Engineers attached to the several lists; and decided that these cadre numbers and scales should take effect from the 16th August 1893; and should, subject to reconsideration, under certain circumstances which were explained, regulate the promotions on each list up to the end of 1894. Under this proviso the cadre number and limiting scale of the lists shewn in the margin were revised during the year.

Railway.
Bengal.
Punjab, and
Local Administrations.

RESOLUTION.—The Governor General in Council is now pleased to fix the cadre numbers and limiting scales of the several lists for the year 1895, or pending further orders, as below—

Lists.	Cadre Number for 1895.	LIMITING SCALE.					
		EXE. ENGINEERS.			ASST. ENGINEERS.		
		1	2	3	1	2	3
Madras	72	18	11	7	14	11	11
Bombay	78	19	12	8	16	11	12
Bengal	52	13	8	5	10	8	8
North-Western Provinces and Oudh	86	21	13	9	17	13	13
Punjab	109	27	17	10	22	17	16
Local Administrations	120	30	18	12	24	18	18
Railways	194	48	30	19	39	29	29

2. The following rule is also laid down for regulating temporary promotions to the 3rd grade of Executive Engineers under the above scales; namely, that no more promotions of that class shall be made, than suffice to bring up the total strength of Executive Engineers present on duty to half the actual strength charged against the above limiting scales, or to the number of posts, in the sanctioned scale of each list, allotted for Executive Engineers, as shewn in the margin; whichever of these two numbers is the greater.

Madras	31
Bombay	31
Bengal	26
North-Western Provinces and Oudh	34
Punjab	45
Local Administrations	54
Railways	86

P. W. CURRENT No. 1460

8.2

1550/55

This rule is not intended to prevent the promotion of officers to officiate as Executive Engineers for those absent on privilege leave or deputation, when necessary.

Nor will it be enforced in cases where it would operate to prevent the promotion of men qualified for promotion to Executive Engineer, 3rd grade, under the time rule. In such cases promotions may be made up to the full number allowed by the limiting scale.

In cases where temporary promotions made up to the end of 1894 are in excess of those allowed by this rule, no further promotions should be made until the temporary scale is adjusted in accordance with the rule; but officers already promoted will not be reverted in consequence of the rule.

ORDER.—Ordered, that the above resolution be circulated to the Local Governments and Administrations and officers noted on the margin for information and guidance, and that it be published in Part I of the *Gazette of India*.

The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, General and Railway Branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam, and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Rajputana, Central India, and Baluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Assam.
The Director General of Telegraphs.

G. K. Walker

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

35 C
Recd: 26.2.95

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Madras
Public Works Department

With 350 +

+ For both Genl. & R Branches

---

550 A. 6 MAR 1895 P. W. ACCOUNT

No. 845 C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Madras, 6th March 1895

OFFICE NOTE.

Govt. of India Res: No. 524 G dated 20.2.95.

From
W.

6/4

To
C.

For favor of opinion as to the number which should now be assumed as the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale". Under para 2 of the Resolution temporary promotions to Executive Engineer must, it will be seen, be restricted to half this number. If Mr. Whitley on other duty, and Mr. Edge in England, be included the number would come to 70, and

and half of this would give us 35 as the limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer 3rd Grade could be made.

Please say whether you agree.

Lieut. Close is not included in the calculation.

The total number of Executive Engineers Permanent and temporary at present on duty is 35. The scale is thus full.

OW.S.A
1550/95

639
1403 W

5/3/95

DW.

500—2-11-94.

KEEP-WITH
ed 189 .

CURRENT NO.

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1895. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 11 March 1895.

OFFICE NOTE NO. 877 A

28

To

W.

Your office note No.845 C.of 6th. March 1895.

As permanent promotions admissible under Government of India Resolution No.2523 G.dated 28th.September 1893,para 6,clause (4),have already been made in the place of Mr.J.J.Whiteley,Executive Engineer,3rd.Grade,lent to the Mysore State,that officer does not count agains the "actual strength charged against the limiting scale".

2. Mr.Edge,Asst.Engineer,3rd.Grade is now undergoing a course of practical training in England and stands posted to Madras. In my opinion, he counts against the limiting scale unless the Govt. of India decide that Asst. Engineers under practical training in England do not count against limiting scal until their course in England is completed. On this point,I would invite your attention to the decision of the Govt. of India communicated with G. O. No.1515 W.dated 7th.June 1893 and would

P. L. CURRENT No. 195

Encls 2

Recd: 12-3.

suggest that the present question be referred to the Govt. of India for orders.

3. Lt. A.J.Close R.E. has only been temporarily placed at the disposal of the Madras Government, and cannot, in my opinion, be counted against the limiting scale for purposes of Govt. of India Resolution No.524 G. dated 20th. February 1895.

4. Excluding therefore Mr. Whiteley and Lt. A.J.Close, and including Mr. Edge, there will be 69 Executive and Asst. Engineers who constitute the active strength charged against the limiting scale 72 allowed for Madras. One half of this number is 34½, and as the Govt. of India Resolution does not say whether a fraction (one-half) in arriving at the No. should be neglected or whether it should be taken as one, it is not clear whether the limit up to which temporary promotions to Executive Engineer 3rd. Grade may be made, should be taken as 34 or 35. On this point, also, I would suggest a reference to the Government of India.

5. Including the temporary promotions to Executive Engineer, 3rd. Grade of Messrs. J.S.Wilson & T.W.S.Smyth with effect from 12th. & 14th. February 1895 respectively, there are at present 35 Executive Engineers permanent & temporary rank on duty.

C.

No. 3376 W d/9-12-87
" 5510 C " 27-12-92
" 1088 C " 8-3-93
" 1385 C " 23-3-93
" 3823 C " 2-11-87
" 4018 C " 11-11-87
" 4086 C " 15-11-87
" 4214 C " 21-11-87
" 4221 C " 21-11-87
" 622 D " 1-11-92
" 634 D " 5-11-92
" 4871 C " 21-11-92
" 5144 C " 5-12-92
" 3421 W " 14-12-92
" 979-[illegible] W " 11-3-93
" 1515 W " 7-6-93
" 2604 W " 13-10-93
" 1607/A W " 28-6-94
" 521 D " 5-7-94
" 713 D " 7-8-94
" 962 D " 5-9-94

16th March 1895, No. 638 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads. Civil Works.

Read—the following papers :—

From J. HANNAN, Esq., Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Coimbatore, 5th February 1895, No. 52 W.

I have the honour to submit herewith, for sanction of Government, plan and estimate, amounting to Rs. 5,820, for renewing the superstructure of the Birchinhulla bridge of three spans, each 21 feet, in the 59th mile of road No. 2 in the Uppinangadi taluk, South Canara district.

2. The estimate, as received from the President, amounted to Rs. 6,110 which was reduced in this office to Rs. 5,820.

3. The President, District Board, reports that the bridge in question received petty repairs at different times during the past four years and the timber is now quite used up and decayed and its renewal is found essential. The road is an important line of communication between Mysore and Canara, having a large cart traffic over it.

4. A copy of the District Board's resolution approving the estimate is enclosed.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

The estimate is passed on the presumption that there is sufficient space between flood level and bottom of girders. No hydraulic details are given and there is no flood mark shown to indicate the level to which the water rises.

2. The rate of Rs. 400 per ton exclusive of erection charges for the steel girders seems excessive. Messrs. Massey and Company charge at Madras Rs. 8-8-0 per cwt. or Rs. 170 per ton. As it is thought that these rolled steel girders might be obtained from Bombay more cheaply and shipped to Mangalore whence they could be carted to site of work, Rs. 220 a ton would seem to be a fair rate.

27th February 1895. (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Order—dated 16th March 1895, No. 638 W., Public Works.

The estimate, amounting to Rs. 5,820, for renewing Birchinhulla bridge in the South Canara district, is sanctioned.

2. Attention is drawn to the Chief Engineer's note recorded above.

3. This sanction has been registered as Local No. 21 W. of 1894-95.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Local and Municipal Department, with three enclosures.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 16th

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 16th Mar. 1895, No. 633 W.

MARCH.

Birchinhulla Bridge

Estimate of Rs. 5,820 for renewing — in the South Canara district, sanctioned with remark.

No 82 / W

Supdg: Engr Office 4th C/.
Coimbatore 5th February 1895.

From.

J. Hannan Esqr
Supdg: Engineer 4th Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

Enclosures 4
1 Plan.
1 Estimate
1 Report
1 Copy of resolution.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction of Government plan and estimate amounting to Rs 5,820 for renewing the superstructure of the Birchinhalla bridge of three spans, each 21 feet, in the 59th mile of road No 2 in the Uppinangady Taluq South Canara District.

2. The estimate as received from the President amounted to Rs 6110 which was reduced in this office to Rs 5,820

The

3. The President District Boards reports that the bridge in question received petty repairs at different times during the past 4 years and the timber is now quite used up and decayed and its renewal is found essential. The road is an important line of communication between Mysore and Canara having a large cart traffic over it.

4. A copy of the District Board's resolution approving the estimate is enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
H Hummel
Supdg. Engineer 4th C.

P. W. CURRENT No. 923

From

The Supg. Engineer
4th Circle,

Dated 5th }
Despd. 6th } February 1895
Recd: 8.2.95

No. 52/W.

Enclos: 4. (1 Plan)

Birchinhalla Bridge
on Road No. 2 in Uppinangadi
Talug, South Canara District.

Submits for sanction of Government, Plan & estimate amtg. to Rs 5,820 for renewing the superstructure of the ——

8/2/95

P.W.D

2P

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D

The estimate is passed, on the presumption that there is sufficient space between flood level and bottom of girders. No hydraulic details are given, and there is no flood mark shewn to indicate the level to which the water rises.

2. The rate of Rs 400 per ton exclusive of erection charges for the steel girders seems excessive. Messrs. Massey and Company charge at Madras Rs 8. 8. - per cwt or Rs 170 per ton. As it is thought that these rolled steel girders might ~~can~~ be obtained from Bombay more cheaply ~~cheaper~~ and

and shipped to Mangalore whence they could be carted to site of work. Rs 220 a ton ~~appears to~~ ~~would~~ would seem to be a fair rate.

CWM
27/2 95

Public Works Department.

Current No. 923
Letter No. 52/W
Dated 5th February 1895
From the Supg Engineer IV Circle

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Renewing Birchinhulla bridge in South Canara District

Amount of Estimate Rs 5,820

The plan & estimate under review amounting to Rs 5,820 provide for renewing Birchinhulla bridge in the 59th mile of road No. II connecting the Manjarabad Ghaut with Mani, via Seerady in the Uppinangadi Taluq, South Canara District.

The existing bridge is stated to have a wooden superstructure & is of 3 spans of 21 feet. During the last 4 years petty repairs have been executed to the platform at different times, the timber platform is now reported to be quite used up & decayed thereby rendering its renewal very essential. The estimate therefore provides for substituting a steel & iron superstructure in place of the decayed timber platform.

Over

over the existing masonry abutments & piers which are reported to be sound to bear the superstructure now proposed.

The roadway is to be 14 feet wide between kerb plates & carried on 4 steel girders placed 4' 10 2/3" apart, from centre to centre, these steel girders are to carry the flooring of Zores plates on which 6" of concrete & 3" of stone metal will be laid.

The superstructure is to rest on cast iron bed plates set in granite blocks built into the existing abutments & piers. The centre girders to be of a section 10"×5" weighing 32 lbs per foot & the 2 side girders 8"×4" weighing 20 lbs per foot, these sections appear to be ample for carrying the distributed load of 11 tons on the centre girders & about 6 tons on the side girders over ~~the~~ a clear span of 21 feet.

The weights entered in the estimate for rolled steel girders do not agree with Messrs. Dorman Long & Co. Handbook on steel & iron sections. They should be 38 lbs for a girder 10"×5" & 25 lbs for the side girders 8"×4" against 32 & 20 lbs respectively.

The rates for ~~the~~ masonry works are fair & those for iron & steel works cannot be checked but the rate of Rs 400 per ton

for the steel girders appears to be high. Messrs. Massey & Company charge at Madras Rs 8-8-.. per cwt, or Rs 170 per ton. These girders it is thought can be obtained from Bombay ~~at a~~ cheaper ~~cost~~ & shipped to Mangalore from whence they could be carted to site of work. Rs 220 a ton appears to be a fair rate against Rs 400 entered in estimate.

The plan & estimate have been countersigned by the President Dist. Board & approved in Dist. Boards Proceedings dated 10th Decr. 94.

Note yte.
The Estimate ~~may~~ is to be passed presuming that there is sufficient space between flood level & bottom of girders. No hydraulic details are given, and there is no flood mark shown to indicate the level to which the water rises. The rate of Rs 400 per ton inclusive of erection charges for the steel girders seems excessive.
CWM 4.5

No hydraulic details have been submitted as to the drainage area of the river &c. at site of bridge so as to ascertain whether the waterway allowed is sufficient or not.
19.II.95

D.W.

16th March 1895, No. 643 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—again the following paper :—

G.O., dated 4th December 1894, No. 3106 W., Public Works.

Read—also the following papers :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 17th Dec. 1894, No. 4948 C.
Endorsement of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 25th January 1895, No. 1272 M.D., on letter from the Executive Engineer, Madura Division, dated 21st January 1895, No. 664 S.E.
Endorsement of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, dated 14th February 1895, No. 1342 M.D., on letter from the Collector of Madura, dated 9th February 1895, No. 370.

Order—dated 16th March 1895, No. 643 W., Public Works.

His Excellency the Governor in Council fails to see any reason why the Native Christian Cemetery at Kodaikánal should be fenced in at the public expense, and must therefore withdraw the sanction to the work accorded in G.O., No. 3106 W., dated 4th December 1894.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Revenue Department.
" Ecclesiastical Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 16*th*.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 16th Mar. 1895, No. 643 W.

MARCH.

Native Christian Cemetery at Kodaikanal

The sanction accorded to the fencing at the public expense of the — in the Madura district, withdrawn.

Letter from the Collector of Madura No. 370 of 9th February 1895, to the Supg Engineer, 6th Circle :—

"

With reference to your footnote dated 2nd February 1895 No. 1307 M.D. forwarding to me for remarks the Chief Engineer's Memorandum dated 30-1-95 No. 344 C Enquiring on what public grounds the fencing of the Native Christian Cemetery is proposed, I have the honor to state that the Cemetery has been placed outside the settlement for sanitary reasons. It is far from the inhabited parts and cannot be watched to prevent damage by Cattle &c. Any reasons that render it advisable to fence in the European Cemetery from public funds apply with equal force to the Native Christian Cemetery.

No. 1342 md.

Copy, submitted to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D. for information, with reference to his Memorandum No. 344 C dated 30th January 1895.

Supdt. Engrs office [illegible].
Trichinopoly.
14 February 1895.

W. Hughes
Supg Engineer 6th Circle

P. W. CURRENT No. 1165

From

The Supdt. Engineer
VI circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 1342 md,
Dated 14 February 1895
Despd 15/-

Recd: 16.2.95

Native Christian Cemetery at Kodaikanal.

Submits for information copy of letter from the Collector of Madura offering his opinion for fencing the — from public funds.

No. 2746W of 17-10-91 ✓
" 3346W - 11-12-91 ✓
" 572W - 20-2-92 ✓
" 2284W - 5-9-93 ✓
" 2599W - 13-10-93 ✓
" 3270W - 16-12-93 ✓
" 3106W - 4-12-94 ✓
" 4164C - 20-12-94 ✓
" 47C - 9-1-95 ✓
" 344C - 30-1-95 ✓

SE C No. 1165

For orders.

Whether the reason advanced may be accepted as sufficient to justify a reference to the Govt. of India for relaxation of rule. At the end of his letter the Collector says: "any reasons that render it possible to fence in the European Cemetery from public funds apply with equal force to the Native Christian Cemetery".

This argument cannot, it is submitted, be accepted. The rules must be taken as they stand – they provide for public expenditure on European Cemeteries, but not on Native cemeteries, & unless it can be shown that expenditure on the latter is in the public interest, it is not likely to be admitted, and I cannot see any ground ~~for reference~~

16 Feb. 95

As no reasons have been adduced to shew that the fence is necessary on public grounds the application cannot, I think, be admitted.

18/2/95 CN185

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

From the S. E. VI Circle No. 1342 M. D. d/ 14 Feby: 1895

FOR ORDERS. whether the reason advanced may be accepted as sufficient to justify a reference to the Govt. of India for relaxation of rule. At the end of his letter the Collector says "any reasons that render it advisable to fence in the European cemetery from public funds apply with equal force to the Native Christian Cemetery."

2. This argument cannot, it is submitted, be accepted. The rules must be taken as they

I must say that I fail to see from the papers put up how the public interests require that this particular cemetery should be fenced in at the public expense – that is to say – how the public will suffer if it is not fenced in.

I do not think that a reference to India can be made.

W

9 Mar

It is rather a hard case, though

14/3/95

stand — they provide
for public expenditure
on European cemeteries,
but not on native
cemeteries, and unless
it can be shewn that
expenditure on the latter
is in the public interest,
it is not likely to be
admitted, and I cannot
see any ground for
the reference to India.

W

6/3/95

H.C.

FOR ORDERS.

Fencing the native Christian cemetery at Kodaikanal

643 W

Buildings & Roads
Civil Works —

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No: 643 W. d/16th March 1895.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ again the following

G.O. dated 4th Decr. 94 No. 3106 W.

Read also: —

Office note from the Ex. P.W.A. (H.E. No. 4948 C d/17 Decr. 1894)

Endorsement of the Supdg. Engr. VI Circle on letter from the Executive Engr., Madura Dn. No. 664 S.E. d/21 Jany. '95 . . . (" " 1272 M.D. d/25 Jan: '95)

Endorsement of the Supdg. Engr. VI Circle on letter from the Collr. of Madura No. 370 d/ 9th Feby. 1895 . . . . (" " 1342 M.D. d/14 Feby ")

48

J.G. 15/3

His Excellency the Governor in Council fails to see any reason why the Native Christian Cemetery at Kodaikanal should be fenced in at the public expense, and must therefore

(40—1,000—4-2-95.)

withdraw the sanction to the work accorded in G.O. No. 3106 W dated 4th December 1894.

S

CABS
12/3/95

~~The Hon. Mr Bliss~~ C.I.E.
~~The Hon. Mr Grose.~~

Fencing the native Christian cemetery at Kodaikanal

T Am
B

DESPATCHED
26 MAR. 95

To,
The S.E. VI Circle
" E & P.W. Accts.
" Rev dept.
" Ecclesiastical dept.

R.S. Circ 13/3 R 16/3.

5