871.

Govt. of Madras. 1895

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Rec'd. Encl.

G.O., 16/11 1895, No. 2924 W

Value of Stores supplied to Contractors.

Extracts from Proceedings No 2864 in P.W. Dept. 2nd Nov 1895 on the subject of charging value of stores to Contractors — Counter Q. Mr. Nash and others queries considered.

170/8

Copied

29.10.95 No 3084 C
15-7-95 - 1742 W
4-4-95 - 2864 W

From...

The Supg Engineer
Second Circle

To The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department

Through The Examiner
P.W. Accounts.

Dated 3
Despd 7 } November 1895

No. 436 M

Stores supplied to Contractors — Percentage charges on — Forwards for favour of orders copy of Mllond Dr E&i letter requesting to know what final orders have been passed by Govt reg:—

Recd: 14.11.95

No. 3952 D/A 2713 W 95

To E.W.

Forwarded with reference to para I of Examiner's office note No 3855 B, dated 2nd. November 1895.

for C.

No. 2922 W/16 November 1895

Extracts from Proceedings No. 2864.W. dated 11th November 1895 will be communicated to the Superintending Engineer II Circle and to other officers concerned who have not already received the order.

CWMS 15/11 95

S.E. II Circle.
" I "
" III "
" IV "
" VI "
C.A. to Govt.
Supt. of Works P.P.

DESPATCH
29 NOV. 95

11 NOV 1895
ACCOUNTS

29. 10 95 No 3454
16. 7. 95. 1742 W

Letter from the Executive Engineer, Nellore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, No. 309 Sk., dt 29th Oct 95.

—

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me what final orders have been passed by Government on the subject of charging percentage on value of Stores supplied to Contractors, alluded to in para 8 of the rules of Inspection of the South Arcot Division Office, by the Deputy Examiner of Accounts (Vide G. Ord. No. 1742 W. dt 15th July 95).

436 No. —
No. 3 11. 95.
7

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for favour of orders.

No. 2864 Wdy 11th Nov. 1895

D 11/11

A. C. Russell
Offg Superintending Engr
II Circle.

11
7/95.

INDEXED

12 13/4

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No 2864 W dy 11th November 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Suptg: Engineer V Circle H.E. No:390 W dated 19th October 1895

" Examiner P.W.Accounts " " 3855 B " 2nd November 95

The Government agrees with the opinion expressed by the Suptg: Engineer, & by the Examiner in para 1 of his office note of 2nd November 1895, regarding materials issued to contractors for use on works. The rule seems perfectly clear that centage charges are to be added only in the case of private sales.

2. The irregular grants of leave referred to in the correspondence should be dealt with in accordance with paras 2 & 3 of the Examiner's note.

DESPATCHED
12 NOV. 95

Suptg: Engineer V Circle

Examiner P.W.Accounts.

(40—4,000—14-3-95.)

From
Lieut-Col: C. C. Rawlinson R.E.
Superintending Engineer
5th Circle.

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept.

No: 390 W.
Enc: 1
Dated 19th October 1895
Despd 21st "
Received 23rd "

Inspection Report on the accounts of the South Arcot Division pointed out G.O. No: 1742 W dy 15th July 1895.—

Forwarding Copy of letter from Exc: Engineer South Arcot Dn reporting on the —

No. 8400
8521
4.11.95
Rec'd 24.10.95
Enc: 1

No. 3184 Civ/ 29th October 1895

To
The Executive Engineer
South Arcot Division
Cuddalore

Your favour of yesterday

Copy forwarded for information

24/10/95

31 OCT 1895
W. ADDISON

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V Circle, Madras,
Chepâk, 19th October 1895.
Despd 24/10/95.

No. 390. W.

From *Lt. Col. C. C. Rawson R. E.*

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, V CIRCLE,

To

THE *Chief Engineer*

Public Works Department

SIR,

Enclosures./
1. Copy of letter.

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No. 1742 W dated 15th July 1895, I have the honour to forward for information, copy of letter No. 525 S.E. dated 3rd October 1895, from the Executive Engineer South Arcot, and to report as follows:—

Para 18 of Inspection report and
Para 15 of Examiner's note .....

Code Volume II, Chapter II, para 126, apparently refers to materials borne in the stock accounts of a division. In the South Arcot Division there are no such materials.

2- It would not therefore be advisable to charge any centage on materials obtained and issued to contractors, which is done more

As

to ensure despatch and the certainty of getting good materials, rather than for the benefit of the contractor.

3- Para 34 of Inspection note and Para 26 of Examiner's note. } In the circumstances explained by the Executive Engineer in his letter referred to above, it is requested that the 8 days' privilege leave granted in excess to Clerk, C. V. Ramiengar, may be treated as leave without allowances and the amount of salary for the period re-trenched.

4- In the case of Clerk, Chempakesa-valu Naidu, the 14 days' leave should be treated as sick leave, as privilege leave is not admissible ~~to him~~.

5- As the above two irregularities are due to the Divisional Accountant's careless-ness, I think the defaulting accountant should bear his share of ~~it~~. the blame.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most Obedt. Servant.
C. Lamb Lt. Heal R.E.
19.10.95.
Superintending Engineer 5 Circle.

19.10.95

No. 3855 B 2.11.95

To W.

I agree with the Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer that percentages are not intended to be charged on Stock materials issued to Contractors to be used on works. The percentages are meant to be charged on sales to private bodies or persons, or to contractors etc. who purchased them independently of works on which they were engaged for the Department.

2. The whole period of leave granted to the clerk C.V.Ramiengar should be treated as furlough or leave on private affairs if after reference to his service book he is entitled to such leave, and the difference of allowance overdrawn recovered.

3. The absence of clerk Chempakesavalu Naidu was apparently due to ill health so sick leave should be granted and overdrawal refunded.

C.

2/11

From

Lieut-Col: C. C. Rawstorne R.E.
Superintending Engineer
5th Circle.

To The Chief Engineer
P. W. Dept.

No: 390 W.
Enc: 1
Dated 19th October 1895
Despd 24th "
Recd 28th "

Inspection Report
on the accounts
of the South Arcot
Division for the
G. O. No: 1742 W dd/15th
July 1895:—

Forwarding
Copy of letter from
Exc: Engineer South
Circle Dy reporting
on the ——

P. W. CORRESPONDENCE No. 8100

8521

4.11.95

Recd: 21.10.95

Enc: 1

No. 3184 Civil/29th October 1895

To :1:
C

For favour of
remarks

Copy
DW
24/10/95

13

P. W. Enc 1
8100/95
8521

division, and had not joined his new appointment; further more his service book had not been forwarded from the Negapatam Division - During the period of leave the clerk is stated to have been ill and his absence was accounted for by a discharge certificate from the Tanjore Hospital - After his return from leave and the receipt of his service book in this office, no steps were apparently taken for the verification of the leave due and no retrenchment therefore was made - From the papers I am unable to state why the clerk's period of absence was treated as privilege leave and he himself does not appear to have definitely applied for such leave - I think the leave should now be treated as sick leave and the necessary retrenchment be made - Looking to the carelessness which must have led to the error I think the defaulting accountant should bear his share of it -

(True Copy)

C. C. Rawstorne
29.10.95 Lt-Col R.E.

Supdg: Engineer 5th Circle -

as to the exclusion of the time previously spent on Privilege leave in calculating the amount due -

The Clerk from 2d December 1889 - 9th July 1894, put in 55 months' continuous service including the three months' Privilege leave of which he had availed himself and the error was in reckoning 5 months as the amount of leave earned instead of only 4 months and 22 days as regards the disposal of the case - The mistake having been due to a misunderstanding of a rule and not to any gross carelessness on the part of either clerk or accountant, I would suggest that instead of retrenching the amount and cancelling the whole of the privilege on leave that the matter may be adjusted by cancelling the 8 days granted in excess from the leave since earned by the clerk up to date or if that is impossible that the retrenchment should only be made with reference to the 8 days' excess -

Regarding the other case of excess leave that of R. Chempakesavalu Naidu, this man had just been transferred from the Negapatam division on contractor, covers the whole cost of issue to the work and the arrangement is not so much for the benefit of the contractors as to ensure despatch and the certainty of getting good material - The effect of charging centage on materials as issued would lead to stoppage of work in cases where the contractor was unable from want of credit or business knowledge to procure the materials required from outside the district - It would thus increase the difficulty already much felt of getting satisfactory contractors -

Upon any balance of material remaining after the completion of the work, it would be proper to charge centage if that balance were taken over by the contractor, but I would deprecate any interference with the present system which in addition to its convenience would appear to be unobjectionable according to the above quoted rule.

2 - Para 25 of the Examiner's note regarding the leave granted to clerk C. V. Ramiengar -

The mistake appears to have been due to a misunderstanding of C.S.R. article 277 as

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated November, 16*th*.

Read. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 2922 W., 16th Nov. 1895.

NOVEMBER.

Value of Stores supplied to Contractors

Extracts from Proceedings No. 2864 W., dated 11th November 1895, on the subject of charging percentage on —, communicated to Superintending Engineer, II Circle, and to other officers concerned.

From - J. S. Wilson Esquire, Executive Engineer South Arcot Division, to the Superintending Engineer II Circle, dated Cuddalore 4th October 1895, No. 525 S.E.

2864 D
31 OCT 1895
W. ACCOUNTS

With reference to your No. 304 G, dated 1st August 1895 on Examiner's Inspection notes, G.O. No. 1742 W d/ 15th July 1895, I have the honour to explain as follows on the points noted.

1- Para 15 of Examiner's note. Code Vol. II, Chapter III, para 126 apparently applies to materials borne in stock account - In this Division however there are no such materials and the materials on which the Examiner proposes to charge centage would be those purchased locally or otherwise and charged direct to works. The contractors in this Division are mostly illiterate persons with small command of capital and no business connections outside the district - It is therefore most inconvenient for the arrangements for the supply of such materials as Bangalore tiles &c. to be procured departmentally and the cost deducted from the rates for work - The amount debited to the contractor

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General, Account.

READ—again the following paper :—

G.O. No. 2864 W., dated 11th November 1895.

Read—the following papers :—

From Lieutenant-Colonel C. C. RAWSON, R.E., Superintending Engineer, V Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 19th October 1895, No. 390 W.

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 1742 W., dated 15th July 1895, I have the honour to forward, for information, copy of letter No. 525 S.E., dated 3rd October 1895, from the Executive Engineer, South Arcot, and to report as follows :—

Paragraph 18 *of Inspection report, and paragraph* 15 *of Examiner's note.*—Code, Volume II, chapter II, paragraph 126, apparently refers to materials borne in the stock accounts of a division. In the South Arcot division there are no such materials.

2. It would not therefore be advisable to charge any centage on materials obtained and issued to contractors, which is done more to ensure despatch and the certainty of getting good materials, rather than for the benefit of the contractor.

* * * * *

ENCLOSURE.

From J. S. WILSON, Esq., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the Superintending Engineer, V Circle, dated Cuddalore, 4th October 1895, No. 525 S.E.

With reference to your No. 304 G., dated 1st August 1895, on Examiner's Inspection notes, G.O. No. 1742 W., dated 15th July 1895, I have the honour to explain as follows on the points noted.

Paragraph 15 *of Examiner's note.*—Code, Volume II, chapter II, paragraph 126, apparently applies to materials borne in stock account. In this division, however, there are no such materials and the materials on which the Examiner proposes to charge centage would be those purchased locally or otherwise and charged direct to works. The contractors in this division are mostly illiterate persons with small command of capital and no business connections outside the district. It is therefore most inconvenient for the arrangements for the supply of such materials as Mangalore tiles, &c., to be procured departmentally and the cost deducted from the rates for work. The amount debited to the contractor covers the whole cost of issue to the work and the arrangement is not so much for the benefit of the contractors as to ensure despatch and the certainty of getting good material. The effect of charging centage on materials as issued would lead to stoppage of work in cases where the contractor was unable from want of credit or business knowledge to procure the materials required from outside the district. It would thus increase the difficulty, already much felt, of getting satisfactory contractors.

Upon any balance of material remaining after the completion of the work, it would be proper to charge centage, if that balance were taken over by the contractor; but I would deprecate any interference with the present system which, in addition to its inconvenience, would appear to be unobjectionable according to the above quoted rule.

* * * * *

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 2nd November 1895, No. 3855 B.

I agree with the Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer that percentages are not intended to be charged on stock materials issued to contractors to be used on works. The percentages are meant to be charged on sales to private bodies or persons, or to contractors, &c., who purchased them independently of works on which they were engaged for the department.

* * * * *

Order—No. 2864 W., dated 11th November 1895.

The Government agrees with the opinion expressed by the Superintending Engineer and by the Examiner in paragraph 1 of his office-note of 2nd November 1895, regarding materials issued to contractors for use on works. The rule seems perfectly clear that centage charges are to be added only in the case of private sales.

* * * * *

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

READ—also the following papers:—

From the Executive Engineer, Nellore Division, to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, dated 29th October 1895, No. 309 S.E.

I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to inform me what final orders have been passed by Government on the subject of charging percentage on value of stores supplied to contractors, alluded to in paragraph 18 of the notes of Inspection of the South Arcot Division office by the Deputy Examiner of Accounts (*vide* G.O. No. 1742 W., dated 15th July 1895).

No. 436 N.L.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, through the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, for favour of orders.

3rd November 1895.

(Signed) A. S. RUSSELL,
Offg. Suptg. Engr., II Circle.

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 13th November 1895, No. 3952 D./A.

Forwarded with reference to paragraph 1 of Examiner's office-note No. 3855 B., dated 2nd November 1895.

ORDER—No. 2922 W., dated 16th November 1895.

Extracts from Proceedings No. 2864 W., dated 11th November 1895, will be communicated to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, and to other officers concerned who have not already received the order.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" " " I "
" " " III "
" " " IV "
" " " VI "
" Consulting Architect to Government.
" Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Spare Copies