

Forward H

P. W. CURR

7203

From,
The Suptg Engineer,
The Circle,
Bezmada.

Encls 2
29.10.95
Recd: 23.9.95

No. 2880 C of 5th October
1895

To.
The Chief Engineer,
O.W. Dept.
Madras.

To C
7/7/10
your favour of
remains.

Dated 19th September 1895.
Despd 21

No. 220 BC

CHB
25/9/95
SW

No. 3772 D of 20.10.95

Enclosures. 2.

Subject.


Buckingham Canal
— Requesting to obtain
sanction of Govt for the
delay in Mr Smyth's
taking over charge of the
as a special case

338 N of 7-6-95

To W.

As Mr. Smyth explains that he continued doing the special duty in connection with the Coum Improvement Scheme Estimates during the time he acted as Dy. Chief Engineer for Irrigation and that he simply reverted to his "special duty" in connection with the Coum Estimates on the termination of his duties in Chief Engineers (for Irrigation) office on 7th. June 1895 forenoon it appears that he was on special duty from 7th. June 1895 forenoon to 15th. June 1895 forenoon, the latter being the date on which he joined the Buckingham Canal Division to take over charge during Mr. Russell's absence on

0 L. 5. 399 230/9



Letter of
Buckingham from the Executive Engineer,
Superintendent Canal Division, to the
No. 1373 day Engineer, 2nd Circle,
dated 14th September 1895.

I have the
an extract of honour to forward herewith
list from the on the Examiners dis-
requiring to salary bill for June 1895.
the delay sanction of Government for
taking over that occurred in the
Canal Division of the Buckingham
from Mr. Sison, and an application
of delay and explaining the cause
of Government asking for sanction
requisite to and to request that the
orders may be obtained

Enclosures 2.

No. $\frac{220}{21.9, 03C}$
95
Jr

Public Work awarded to the Chief Engineer,
of obtaining Department, for favour
ment as the sanction of Govern-

2. G. 000 a special case.

2 1338 W. dated

ordering Mr Smyth, Executive
Engineer, to take over the Charge
of the Buckingham Canal Division,
was received in this office on the
10th and was communicated to
the Executive Engineer, on the 13th June 1895.

A. L. Murrell
Officiating Supt. Engineer,
H. C. C. C.
19. 9. 95

120-9-95

privilege leave and under these cir-
cumstances sanction of Government to
extention of joining time will not
be necessary.

del

C.

CP
28/10

forward this as my application.




Copy
(True extract)

J. Laet captain
Offg. Executive Engineer
Buckingham canal Co.

Can
12.1x.95

Olds. 1
7203/55
8328

Letter No 202 dated 1st September 1895 from Mr.
T. W. S. Smyth to the Executive Engineer, Bucking-
ham Canal Division.




In reply to your No ¹²⁴⁹_{29.8}, I have
the honor to state that the G. O. posting me
to the charge of the Buckingham Canal Division
was not communicated to me until the 13th June.
I saw the Gazette in the Madras Mail and was
waiting for orders as it is not customary for trans-
fers to take place without orders being communi-
cated.

I only allowed one day to intervene between the
date on which I received orders to take over the
Division and on which I did so.

When ordered to act as Deputy Chief Engineer
for Irrigation, I was on special duty preparing
the Coorn Improvement Scheme Estimates.
I continued doing this work all the time. I held
the appointment and at its termination simply
reverted to my former work.

Under these circumstances, I do not understand
why the Examiner proposed to cut my pay for the
days which were not as he says spent in join-
ing the Buckingham Canal Division without
special duty.

However if the sanction of Government is
necessary, I shall be obliged if you will
forward



Order
No 8328/95

Extract from the rejoinder sheet to accompany
list of disallowances from the Salary bill of the
Buckingham canal Division for June 1895.

243. Please state why Mr. Sinyth took eight days
(7th June 95^{forenoon} to 15th June 1895 forenoon) to join the division
from the office of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation,
while under C.S.R article 194, he was entitled
to only one day's ~~joining~~ time. Mr. Sinyth's pay
for the period in excess of one day will have
to be retrenched, unless sanction of Government
to the extension of joining time is obtained under
C.S.R article 198.

(True extract)

J. Rao Captani
Offg: Executive Engineer
Buckingham canal Div.

a.s.v.
10.11.95

Govt. of Madras.
1895.

P. W. CURRENT No. 8270

Recd: 28.10.95

N^o 2766

N^o 2766 Wd 1st Nov. 1895

B

Commnd: to the
Suptg: Engrs. VI
circle for submission
of the requisite estimates.

CCRB
13/10/95

G. O. No. 2152, dt 21-9-95.

Subject

approving the Supt. Engr's proposals
for the construction of sub-registry
offices at Pullamleadi & Uppiliya
peram, Trichinopoly District.

24-7-88 N^o 2230 W

DESPATCHED
1- NOV. 95

Sd/- T. Criles

26/11



Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Inspector General of Registration, Madras,
d/ 27th September 1895, No. 474, requesting sanction for the
construction of sub-registry offices at Pullambadi and Uppiliya-
puram, Trichinopoly District on the terraced standard design
approved in G. O. 2230W. d/ 21st July 1888.

O R D E R .— 21st October 1895, No. 2152.

The Inspector General's ^{proposals} are approved and
the necessary orders for the preparation of plans and estimates
will be issued in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)

Donald Macdonald
Ag. Under Secretary. 22.10
For Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department. ✓
,, , , Inspector General of Registration.

P. W. CURRENT No. 830.

Recd: 30.10.95

The Supt. Engineer
VI circle.

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No 2767 d/ 1st Nov 1895

B. 11/10/95

Sanctioned
Sanctioned

No 592 TN


Dated 20th October 1895.

Recd - 29/-

20/10/95

Scavenging fee of Rs 3 for
the year 1895-96.

Requesting sanction
of Government to the —
billed for by the Palamedottah
municipality —



S. E. Leitch
Secy. P. W. D.
22/10/95

INDEXED

22/10

Letter from the Secretariat
 Municipal Division, & the Supt Engineer
 VI Circle, No 3310 dated 26th October 1895

I have the honor to request
 you will be good enough to obtain
 sanction of Government & the
 Scavenging fee of Rupees (3) Three
 only for the year 1895-96, i.e. at the
 rate of Rs 1.8.0 per half year ending
 30th September 1895 dated 31st March/96
 as billed for by the Municipality -

No 592 TN.

Copy submitted to the Chief
 Engineer, P.W.D. for sanction of
 Government.

Supt Engineer
 Trichinopoly.
 20th October 1895.

W. Hughes
 Supt Engineer
 Circle.

Govt. of 1895 Madras.

Local and Municipal Department.

Recd: 30.10.95

To

The Public Works Dept.

3.10.95 Rs 288.82
 16.1.95 - 275.22
 7.9.95 - 940.10
 4.8.95 - 2066.40
 17.8.95 - 287.87
 26.7.95 - 314.00
 14.2.95 - 105.82
 26.9.95 - 1082.00
 3.4.95 - 25.22
 10.11.95 - 2077.25
 18.12.95 - 3064.61

Proceedings, dated 25th October 1895,
 No. 1754 in this

Subject.

Lathe & intercepting sewer

Approving with remarks, the
 proposal of the Chief Eng^r P.W.D.
 to transfer the whole work
 in connection with the _____
 to that Department, and stating
 that the estimates for filling
 the upper portion of the lathe
 should be forwarded to Govt
 as soon as they are completed.

+ 888353
 30-10-95

No 2768 of 1st November 1895

Recorded.

CHM
 30/10/95

LS

INDEXED

the proceedings suggesting that a special officer should be appointed and it was accordingly understood that he approved of that course. - As, however, it now appears that the proposal is open to objection, the suggestion in para. 2 of his note read alone will be approved.

3. The estimates in question should be forwarded to Government as soon they are completed in order that the question of the provision of funds may be considered.

(True Extract)

W. Francis
of Under Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.

+ Smearred -
new C. 110 8353
J.S.
30 Oct 95



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

From the Public Works Department, dated 7th September 1895 N^o 941 D, transferring note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. regarding the preparation of the estimate for filling the upper portion of the lake at Cota camun.

Order N^o 1757, M. Mis. d/25th October 1895.

The intention of Government in para. 2 of the order read alone was not that an officer of the Public Works Department should be placed under the orders of the Sanitary Engineer to prepare estimates for and carry out the improvements to the Lake and to the intercepting sewer but that a special officer should be selected from that department to execute this work under the usual departmental control.

1. The Chief Engineer was present at the meeting of the Sanitary Board on 1st of the

There is no order in the note, but 1390 M. d/25th Oct 95 apparently correct.