

Public Works (1895) Department.

G.O., No. 215W

DATED 30 Jan'y: 1895

[Despatch Abstract.]

Latrines for Taluk offices Establishments  
and sub jail prisoners.

Pro: Judicial Dept, stating that there is  
no necessity to construct separate  
unless the demand be a special one,  
Comm. to officers of the P.W.D. for  
information & guidance.

In reply to the endorsement of Government, dated 28th November 1894, Mis. No. 4668, on memorandum from the Public Works Department, dated 20th November 1894, No. 3695 C., the Board begs to state that it is unnecessary to construct two latrines in the compound of every taluk cutcherry—one for the use of the taluk office establishment and the other for sub-jail prisoners. As observed in Board's Proceedings, dated 2nd September 1882, Mis. No. 6818, printed in G.O., dated 20th September 1882, No. 2440 W., the number of prisoners usually confined

in sub-jails is small, and latrines are but rarely used for the purpose for which they are intended. In taluk cutcherries sanctioned subsequent to the issue of the Government order quoted above, sub-jail latrines have not been constructed and no complaints appear to have been made. The Board considers that a latrine constructed according to the revised standard design, approved in G.O., dated 9th July 1894, No. 1709 W., would be sufficient both for the taluk establishment and sub-jail prisoners, if proper arrangements are made to keep the latrine clean.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. M. WYNCH,  
Secretary.

Mis. No. 4985.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with an expression of concurrence in the Board's remarks.

FORT ST. GEORGE,  
18th December 1894.

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,  
Under Secy. to Government.

G.O., dated 23rd January 1895, No. 152, Judicial.

Read—endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 20th November 1894, No. 3695 C.

Read—the following letter from the Inspector-General of Prisons, dated 6th December 1894, No. 2127 C.:—

In returning the paper received under Mr. Davidson's endorsement No. 2398, dated 1st December 1894, I have the honour to state that the number of female prisoners, whether remanded or convicted, admitted into the subsidiary jails of the presidency averages less than ten per jail per annum, and this number is swollen by the large sub-jails, such as that at Calicut, where separate latrines for females exist. In these circumstances, I do not consider that a separate latrine for women is ordinarily necessary in a sub-jail.

2. I do not think I can offer any useful opinion as to whether separate latrines for taluk establishment and for prisoners is necessary, but I incline to think that separate latrines are desirable. In any case, however, the standard plan sanctioned in G.O., dated 9th July 1894, No. 1709 W., or some similar simple plan of latrine should be adopted for whatever latrine is built in cutcherry compounds in place of the large and ill-ventilated buildings generally built hitherto.

Read—endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 22nd December 1894, No. 4207 C.

Order—dated 23rd January 1895, No. 152, Judicial.

The letter received from the Inspector-General of Prisons will be forwarded to the Public Works Department with the remark that, in the opinion of Government, there is no necessity to construct separate latrines for taluk office establishments and sub-jail prisoners throughout the presidency. Should the local officers make a demand for them in specific cases, the question would be considered with reference to the funds at the disposal of Government at the time.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,  
Chief Secretary.

ORDER—dated 30th January 1895, No. 215 W., Public Works.

Communicated to officers of the Public Works Department for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt, P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.

„ the Consulting Architect to Government.  
„ the Revenue Department.  
„ the Judicial

Exd. Shaik Karim,  
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.  
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.  
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated January, 30th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 30th Jan. 1895, No. 215 W.

JANUARY.

Latrines

Proceedings, Judicial Department, stating that there is no necessity to construct separate — for taluk office establishment and sub-jail prisoners, unless the demand be a special one, communicated to officers of the Public Works Department for information and guidance.

[G.  
15]

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Recd 28-1-95  
cu (P)

Proceedings, dated 23<sup>rd</sup> Jan'y 1895,  
No. 152

Subject.

Forwarding to the P. W. D letter from the Inspector Genl of Prisons with the remark that in the opinion of Govt there is no necessity to construct separate latrines for taluk office and sub jail prisoners throughout the Presidency, but should local officers make a demand for them in specific cases, the question would be considered with reference to the funds at the disposal of Govt at the time.

Letter from the Superintending  
Engineer II circle, No. 1348 K.S. dated 14<sup>th</sup>  
September 1894: —

In reference to G.O. No. 1709 W  
of 9<sup>th</sup> July 1894, I have the honor to  
observe that subjail latrines have  
been disallowed, vide G.O. No. 4462 Revenue,  
dated 31<sup>st</sup> July 1888, which is referred  
to in the papers printed with G.O. No.  
2865 W of 7<sup>th</sup> November 1893.

2. Personally, I do not see how subjail  
latrines can be dispensed with as the  
taluk office establishment must  
have separate accommodation from the  
prisoners.

3. The collector of Distra has  
asked me whether the latrine proposed  
to be constructed in connection with  
the Ludwada taluk office is for the  
use of the office establishment or for  
prisoners, and therefore, it is necessary  
that some decision should be arrived  
at upon the subject.

EnBP 26-9-88  
5788

No. 36950

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Endorsement.  
MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 20<sup>th</sup> November 1894.

From the Superintending Engineer II circle  
No. 1348 K.S dated 14<sup>th</sup> September 1894.

Referred, with advertence to the  
Proceedings marginally noted, to the  
Revenue and Judicial Departments,  
for consideration whether separate  
latrines should be provided for  
taluk office establishments and for sub-jail  
prisoners.

2. It is observed that separate accom-  
modation for females has not been provided  
in the design circulated with G.O. No. 1709 W  
dated 9<sup>th</sup> July 1894, and the opinion of the  
Inspector General of Prisons will be  
requested as to whether such separate  
accommodation is necessary.

To  
The Revenue Department with  
copies of G.O. No. 2440 W  
of 20.9.82, 2056 W of 10.8.93 and  
1709 W of 9.7.94.

For Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.  
Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

Ans. 2  
617/95

4  
G.O. No. 2692/94

4  
3  
6845

|                                                                    |                                                                    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1894<br>REVENUE DEPARTMENT.<br>Enclosure No. 4<br>Current No. 8691 | 1894<br>REVENUE DEPARTMENT.<br>Enclosure No. 4<br>Current No. 9123 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.  
(Land Revenue)

Dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 1894.

N<sup>o</sup> 6713 Mis.

Read endorsement of the Under Secretary to Govt.  
R. D., dated 28<sup>th</sup> November 1894, N<sup>o</sup> 4668 Mis.

Resolution.

In reply to the Endorsement of Government, dated 28<sup>th</sup> November 1894, N<sup>o</sup> 4668 Mis: on Memorandum from the P. W. Department, dated 20<sup>th</sup> November 1894, N<sup>o</sup> 3695 E, the Board begs to state that it is unnecessary to construct two latrines in the compound of every Taluk Cutcherry - one for the use of the Taluk Office establishment and the other for sub-jail prisoners. As observed in Board's Proceedings, dated 2<sup>nd</sup> September 1882, Mis N<sup>o</sup> 6818 printed in G.O. dated 20.9.82, N<sup>o</sup> 2440 W, the number of prisoners usually confined in sub-jails is small, and latrines are but rarely used for the purpose for which they are intended. In Taluk Cutcheries sanctioned subsequent to the issue of the G.O. quoted above, sub-jail latrines have not been constructed and no complaints appear to have been made. The Board considers that a latrine constructed according to the revised standard design, approved in G.O. dated 9.7.94, N<sup>o</sup> 1709 W. would be sufficient both for the Taluk establishment and sub-jail prisoners, if proper arrangements are made to keep the latrine clean. (True Extract)

(Signed) L. M. Wynne.  
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Govt R.D.,  
with P.W.D. Encl<sup>s</sup> & encl<sup>s</sup>  
returned.

Dec 1/94  
6845

4  
Jan  
2  
6845

P. W. CURRENT No. 9819  
5,000-7-9-93.  
(1894) Eu. 7  
P.W. No. 612/15  
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

ABSTRACT.

Current Number. 9123.  
9819

Endorsement No. 4985-mis.

From  
The Board of Revenue, Land Revenue.  
Transferred to the  
Public Works Department  
with an expression of  
Concurrence in the Board's  
remarks.

Recd. 21.12.94

Dated 5<sup>th</sup> December 1894.  
Received 7<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1894.  
Enclosures 5.

No. 6713 Mis. Fort St George }  
18<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1894 }

SUBJECT.

Reporting to Govt that it is  
unnecessary to construct separate  
latrines in the Taluk Cutcherry  
Compounds for the use of the  
Taluk office establishment and  
subjail prisoners.

To the P.W. Dept<sup>r</sup> with encl<sup>s</sup> ✓  
Copy to the Board of Rev. (L.R.).

WV.  
19.12.94

[ G ]  
[ 53 ]  
2,500-9-11-94.

Judicial Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

Endorsement  
Proceedings of the Public Works  
Department, dated 20<sup>th</sup> November 1894  
No. 3695 C.

Letter from the Inspector General  
of Prisons, dated 6<sup>th</sup> December 1894 No. C/2127.

In returning the paper received under  
Mr. Davidson's endorsement No. 2398 dated  
1<sup>st</sup> December 1894, I have the honor to state  
that the number of female prisoners, whether  
remanded or convicted, admitted into the  
Subsidiary Jails of the Presidency averages  
less than ten per jail per annum, and this  
number is swollen by the large subjails,  
such as that at Calicut, where separate  
latrines for females exist. In these circum-  
stances, I do not consider that a separate  
latrine for women is ordinarily necessary  
in a subjail.

2. I do not think I can offer any  
useful opinion as to whether separate  
latrines

Latrines for taluk establishments and for prisoners is necessary, but I incline to think that separate latrines are desirable. In any case, however, the standard plan sanctioned in G.O. 9<sup>th</sup> July 1894 No. 1709 M. or some similar simple plan of latrines should be adopted for whatever latrine is built in cutcherie compounds in place of the large and ill-ventilated buildings generally built hitherto.

Endorsement of the Public Works Department dated 22<sup>nd</sup> December 1894 No. 4207 C.

Order 23<sup>rd</sup> January 1895 No. 152.

The letter received from the Inspector General of Prisons will be forwarded to the Public Works Department with the remark that in the opinion of Government there is no necessity to construct separate latrines for taluk office establishments and district prisoners throughout the Presidency. Should the local officers make a demand for them in specific cases, the question would be considered with reference to the funds at the disposal of Government at the time.

(True Extract)

David Davidson  
for Chief Secretary.


To The Public Works Department with enclosure  
to Inspector General of Prisons -  
to current No. 6845

No. 215 W. d. 30 January 1895. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.  
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

- is. From the Superintending Engineer II Circle No. 1348 H.S. d/ 14-9-'94
- Memorandum in the P.W. Department No. 3695 C d/ 20-11-'94
- Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (L. Rev.) No. 6713 d/ 5-12-'94
- Endorsement in the Revenue Department No. 4985 M.S. d/ 18-12-'94
- Proceedings in the Judicial Dept. No. 152 d/ 23-1-'95

Communicated to officers  
of the P.W. department for  
information and guidance.



Suptg: Engineers.  
Consq: Architect to Govt  
Revenue Dept.  
Judicial Dept.

(40-1,000-25-11-94.)

4207C of 22-12-94  
2040W of 20-9-82  
3695C of 20-11-94  
1709W of 9-7-94

T ~~X~~  
B *Cut*