7/

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1983 W. of 10th August 1895

B/- Civil works

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Proceedings, Judicial Dept. (G.O. No. 1612 d/ 1 Aug: '95)

48

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer I Circle who will arrange to put in hand the construction of the police huts at Thallapalem for which an allotment of Rs. 1450 is made from the Provincial reserve.

2. The budget grant of Rs. 1,780 for the second class police station house at Lakshmipuram will be surrendered to the Financial Department in view to the amount being placed at the

(40—1,000—14-3-95.)

6134

Govt. of (1895) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 10. August 1895 No. 1983 W.

Police huts at Thallapalem

Pro: Judicial Dept. entrusting the P.W.D. with the construction of —, Vizagapatam Dt. and directing the Police Dept. to build the station house at Lakshmipuram as well as the Police huts, Comtd. to S.E. I Circle, ~~with remarks~~ and the budget grant for the Station house at Lakshmipuram surrendered to the Financial Dept.

—

90

1-5

5

INDEXED

26/4/8

Executive Engineer's Office
Regr. No. ....
Jun. 15
Duggirala.
Kistna Western

Information required from Military Upper Subordinates of the Public Works Department in connection with their applications for the Exchange Compensation allowance

	Questions.	Answers.
I.	(1) Where was applicant's father born?	At Cambridge England
	(2) Did he come out to India?	No
	(3) If so what was the period of his residence in India?	—
	(4) Where does he at present reside if living?	Cambridge.
	(5) What was his domicile at the time applicant was born?	Cambridge
II.	(1) Where was applicant born?	Cambridge,
	(2) Where was he Educated?	Cambridge
	(3) Has he any remittances to make to his family in England?	Occasionally
	(4) Is he married?	Widower
	(5) If so what is the nationality of his wife?	Born of English parents at Tasmania Australia.
	(6) Where was he married?	Madras.
	(7) Has he any children?	Three
	(8) If so where have they been or are they being Educated	At present in Ootacamund

F. W. Cornwell Sergeant.
Supervisor 2nd Grade
13th June 1895.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 1/6114
20 JUL. 95
FIRST CIRCLE

Pws 5.2
5-832/95

No. 85
13. 6. 95

From

Sergt. F. W. Cornwell
Supervisor Main Canal

To

The Executive Engineer
Kistna Western Div

Sir,

Under the provisions of G.O. No. 3195-W. dd 13. 12. 94, I am entitled to Exchange Compensation Allowance on the difference between Rs 108. 9. 3* and my Military pay for the month which is paid in Sterling at the official rate of Exchange.

*Staff pay Rs 100
Ration money 8.9.3
Rs 108.9.3

(2) The G.O. has retrospective effect from the 1st of July 1894

(3) I beg therefore respectfully to request that the compensation due may be drawn and forwarded to me at an early date.

The Statement required by G.O. No. 1354 W of 31st May 1894 is attached.

(True Copy)

I am &c
"Signed"
F. W. Cornwell Sergt
Supervisor

Assistant Engineer
K. W. D.

16 JUL. 95
20/1617
O.W. 1617.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No 2/6814
20 JUL. 95
FIRST CIRCLE.

Pr E.1
5832/55

No. 941 G.D.

FROM

A H Garrett Esqr.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures, 2

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 24 7 1895.

Despd. 27.7.95

SIR,

I have the honour to submit herewith an application and a statement in the form given in G.O. no. 1354 Wd/31.5.94 from Sergeant F.W. Cornwell Supervisor lately transferred to the Godavari Western Dn. putting forth his claim for Exchange Compensation allowance under G.O. no: $\frac{3195 W}{13.12.94}$ and to request sanction for the claim being admitted from 1st July 1894.

The Supervisor did not apply before as he was ignorant of the G.O in question.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt.

Supdg Engineer 1st Circle

E.G. 25/7

P. W. CURRENT No. 5832

S. E. I.
6—500—31-7-94

FROM A. H. Garrett Esqr.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
FIRST CIRCLE,

TO
THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

DATED 24th
DESPATCHED 27th } July 1895
RECEIVED

Enclos 2
Recd: 30-7-95

No 1981 W, dated 10th August 1895

B / Sec No 3

NO. 941 G.D.

Sergeant F. W. Cornwells title to the exchange compensation allowance is admitted

ENCLOSURES, 2.

SUBJECT.

Exchange Compensation allowance claimed by F. W. Cornwell, Supervisor — Submits an application and a Statement for the — for favor of sanction.

13.12.94 No 3185 W.
31.5.94 1356 S.
2.11.93 2787 S.

G 25

INDEXED

7.12.8

S. E. I Circle
S. E. I Circle
Secy PWD

[G. / 53]
1,000—21-6-95.

Educational Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~papers~~

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 15th July 1895, No 2289 C, on letter from the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, dated 6th July 1895, No 370 G. N.

Order, dated 24th July 1895, No 599.

The following temporary exemptions are sanctioned:—

P. Gavariah — from 27-6-95 to 31-12-95.
N. Venkata Rao from 18.6.95 to 31.12.95.
Shaik Taziddeen Sahib from 5.6.95 to 31.12.95.

(True Extract)

Lionel Davidson
Ag: Under Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.

To
The Public Works Department.

[G./15]

Govt. of { 18 } Madras.

No 2299 cd/ 15-7-95

To

The Public Works Department

Proceedings, dated 24th July 1895.
No. 599.

Subject.

Exempting P. Garavayya, N. Venkata Row and Shaik Faziddeen Sahib, who hold temporary appointments in the Rushikulya Division from the Examination rules for certain periods.

DOCUMENT No. 5922

Recd: 1.8.95

No. 1979 W of 10th August 1895.

Communicated to the Supdg: Engr. I Circle.

6/8 95

DESPATCHED
AUG 95

INDEXED

R. 12/8

S. E. I Circle
Exr. P.W.D.

71 R 8/8

Superintending Engineer's Office,

No. 948 K.I.

II CIRCLE,
Dated 27th July 1895.

From,

Major W. L. C. Baddeley ~~Esquire~~, R. E.
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department
Madras.

Sir,

In reference to G. O. No. 1526 W of 21st June 1895 I have the honour to report as follows:-

2. The channels referred to in para 3(a) of the Sanitary Commissioner's report are in a most filthy condition, but it is of no use to cut off the irrigation water that now partially flushes them, unless the Municipality are prepared either to fill them up and make new lines of drains, or else line the existing channels with masonry and strictly conserve them. The Channels are of very old standing, as they were originally the field channels from

from the tank which was abandoned when the Ellore Canal was made. One channel has been closed for some time at the head, but its condition is no better than that of the Channels through which irrigation is carried on.

3. It is not impossible to close all the Channels and arrange otherwise for the irrigation, but the arrangement will be expensive and the Sanitation of the town will not be improved thereby unless the old Channels are properly treated. The proper remedy in my opinion is to line the Channels with masonry rigidly exclude all rubbish, or prohibit their being used as latrines and make them serve, as at present, the double purpose of surface drains and irrigation Channels. None of the cost of these improvements should be debited to Irrigation funds.

4. Although I have not been asked to report on para (3) d, I may mention that I am about to send in proposals for closing the boat harbour or creek, and arrangements

have

have been made to remove the timber merchants from the creek to some plots higher up the Canal. This will prevent the practice of leaving bamboo rafts to season in the creek and will, I hope, prove a benefit to the town. Cutting off the Canal from the creek will, however, be of little use, unless the Municipality take steps to keep the bed properly drained and attend to its conservancy. At the end of the creek, there is a foul tank, which I believe, is used for drinking purposes, this should be put in order. If the money can be found, it would be advisable to fill up both the tank and the creek with Sand or clean earth.

5. As regards 4 (a), I can trace no correspondence last year on the subject with the Sanitary Commissioner, perhaps the matter was referred to the Supg Engineer, I Circle; the question is, however, one of long standing.

I am unable to agree with the Sanitary Commissioner that it is an easy matter to alter the mooring place for the boats; the present

site

Site extends from a point 500 yards above the bridge to 300 yards below, and above the bridge there are a large number of houses, many of which are connected with the trade carried on by the boats. Below the bridge there are no houses on the Canal bank and no suitable sites for building, the Canal also is shallow, and to widen it for the accommodation of the boats will lead to increased difficulties from silting. In short the removal of the boats will result in very serious obstruction to navigation, and the dislocation of the trade now carried on by means of boats. The only argument in favour of the removal of the boats is that the boat crews foul the water; but considering that the Canal in the neighbourhood is daily used by large numbers of persons for washing themselves and their clothes, I am convinced that the removal of the boats would have no appreciable effect in improving the water supply to the tank referred

referred to by the Sanitary Commissioner.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servant

Capt R.E.
for Supg Engineer

26.7.

From

The Supdg Engineer,
II Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

No. 948 I.S.

Dated 27th July '95.

Ellore Municipality Reports on the Conditions of irrigation channels that pass through the town & water supply of the ——— in reference to G.O. No. 1526 W d/ 21st June '95

P. W. CURRE[illegible]

5793

19.7.95 No. 1678 W
2.6.95 - 1526 W
28.3.94 . 828 W

From, Recd: 29.7.95

The Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The

Dated } 18

Received }

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No. 1977 W d/ 10th August 1895

Communicated to the Local & Muni. Dept. with reference to G.O. dated 6 May 1895, No. 801 M.

Communicated to the Local & Muni. Dept. with reference to G.O. dated 6 May 1895, No. 801 M.

L & M Dept

L & M Dept

13/8 [illegible]

refused

Endt. No. 1759
d/ 30.7.95

With reference to his letter dated 20th July '95, No. 1574, the Supdt. of Stationery is informed that the plan & estimate referred to therein has not been received.

Plan & Estimate Recd 1/8/95.

WCS 29.7.95

To

the Supdt. of Stationery (Endt. only)

Des. 30/7

30/7

Desp. 6113/95

No. 1574
Fin.

From

The Supdt. of Stamps & Stationery

To

The Chief Secretary
to Govt. Fin. Dept.

Dated 20th July 1895
Recd. 22
Regd. 23
Regd. Fin. 24/7

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 JUL 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Enc. Plans & estimate not recd.

Subject

Requesting sanction to erect a partition wall between the Stables and the Stamp and Stationery office Store rooms of the Old High Court buildings.

The plan & estimate referred to has not been received.

25/7 not Comm. dept

Tell Supdt.

are carried out the necessary improvements

From

The Supdtg Engineer,
II Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department.

No 948 Ks.

Dated 27th July 95.

Ellore Municipality
Reports on the conditions of irrigation channels that pass through the town & water supply of the —
in reference to G.O. No 1526 W d/21st June 95

P. W. CURRE

5793

9.7.95 No 1678 W
21.6.95 1526 W
28.3.94 828 W

From, Recd: 29.7.95
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.
To,
The

Dated
Received } 18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No 1977 W d/ 10th August 1895

Communicated to the Local & Muni: Dept with reference to G.O. dated 6 May 1895, No 801 M.

L & M Dept

DESPATCHED
13/8 C. 12/8

supply
refered

Re: 7.8.95
Encl 4
+ 1 plan

Financial Depth
C. No 1761   Endt No 1819

1875

Approved and transferred to the Public Works Department for favour of early action

Frederick Price
Chief Secretary

S.
2.8.95

Ootacamund
6th August 1895 }

No 1976 W d/ 10th August 1895

Communicated to the Consulting Architect who will arrange for the early execution of the work.

T/B

C 885
7/8 95

The C.A. with encls.
„ Finl: Dept.

DESPATCHED
INDEXED
AUG. 95

Re 12/8

c 272.

passage for carriages on the western side
facing

P.W. CURRENT No. 6113

Encls 4 (1 Plan)
Recd: 9. 8. 95

---

an carry out the necessary improvements.
house. In G.O. No 1282 Wdy 2nd
May 1882 all [illegible]

CURRENT No. [illegible]
1761

Stationery Office,
Madras, 20th July 1895.

No. 1574

From
W. J. H. Le Fanu Esq., C.S.,
SUPERINTENDENT OF Stamps & STATIONERY,
MADRAS,

To
The Honorable Mr. J. F. Price C.S.I., C.S.I.
Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that the stables attached to the old High Court buildings, in which the Stamp and Stationery offices are now located are very close to and open towards the store-rooms of stamps and stationery articles. The stables afford accommodation to carriages and horses of the officials of the several offices mentioned in the margin and as such several coachmen, grooms and other servants have free access to the site next to the store-rooms, rendering their condition quite unsafe.

1. Collector's Office
2. Sea Customs Office
3. Office of the Protector of Emigrants
4. Presidency Post-office
5. Office of the Dy Conservator of Ports
6. —"— Agent for Govt. Consignments
7. Stamp Office
8. Stationery Office
9. Tamil Translator's Office.
10 Madras Dy Collector's office

The Consulting Architect to Government who had been consulted on the subject suggests that a partition wall may be erected between the stables and the store-rooms, opening a passage for carriages on the western side facing

May 1888 all the Clerks of the Distt

facing the public street and estimates the work at Rs 927. The proposed alteration would render the position of the store-rooms more safe. The plan and estimate of the Public Works Department are enclosed.

I therefore request that the Government will be pleased to accord its sanction for immediate construction of the work proposed by the Public Works Department at the estimated cost of Rs 927.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Superintendent

HRI

No. 1574
Finl

From

The Supdt. of Stamps & Stationery

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt. Fin. Dept.

Dated 20th July 1895

Read. 22
Regd. 23
Regd. Finl. 24/7

CHIEF SECRETARY'S ... 22 JUL 1895 ... OF MADRAS

Enc. Plans & estimate not recd.

Subject

Requesting sanction to erect a partition wall between the stables and the Stamp and Stationery office store rooms of the old High Court buildings.

Endt. No. 1759 dt 30.7.95

With reference to his letter dated 20th July '95, No. 1574, the Supdt. of Stationery is informed that the plan & estimate referred to therein had not been received.

29.7.95

Plan & Estimate Recd 1/8/95.

To the Supdt. of Stationery (Endt. only)

Desp 30/7

6113/95

The plan & estimate referred to has not been received

25/7 not come in yet

24/7

T.M. Supdt. Madras

---

house. In G.O. No 1282 W dt 2nd May 1888, all the Clerks of the Kistna Eastern Dn and the Supg Engineers Offices were allowed ~~the~~ similar concessions and even very recently vide G.O. No. 663 W dt 9.3.1894, Mr M. Ramaswami Sastri was allowed similar concession.

4. I agree to the rules laid down in App. [illegible] of the P.W.D. Code in regard to the recovery of the advance and mortgaging the house &c. to sign the necessary bond. I request ~~that~~ that the advance may be given in one lumpsum.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obdt Servt:

Bezwada
19.7.1895

Ch. Venkata Krishna Rao
Clerk Supdg Engrs Office, II Circle.

S.E. ENGINEER'S OFFICE NO. 1 JUL 95 P.W.D. SECOND CIRCLE

[illegible] 5729/95

Recommended

M. 19.7

The application is recommended —

19.7.95

Endt. No. 1759
Dt 30.7.95

With reference to his letter dated 20th July '95, No. 1574, the Supdt. of Stationery is informed that the plan & estimate referred to therein has not been received.

Plan & Estimate Recd 1/8/95.

To
the Supdt. of Stationery (Endt. only)

30/7

30/7

Desp. 1
6113/95

No. 1574
Fin.

From

The Supdt. of Stamps & Stationery

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt. Fin.l Dept.

Dated 20th July 1895

Recd. 22
Regd. 23
Regd. Fin. 24/7

CHIEF SECRETARY
22 JUL 1895

Enc. Plans & Estimates not received

Subject

Requesting sanction to erect a partition wall between the stables and the Stamp and Stationery office store rooms of the old High Court buildings.

The plan & estimate referred to has not been received

15/7
Not come in yet
18/7

Tell Supdt.

From

The Supdg. Engineer, II Circle

To

The Chief Engineer, P. W. Deptt., Madras.

No. 923 Ks.
Dt. 23rd July 1895
Enclo: 1

Advance for purchasing a house to Ch. Muktheswara Row Clerk S.E.'s office. Requests to obtain sanction of Govt. for ....
No. 51 W d/ 24-4-94.
663 W d/ 9-3-94
1624 W d/ 21-6-89
973 W d/ 12-4-87
1282 W d/ 2-5-88
2205 W d/ 17-8-87
1516 W d/ 6-6-87

P. W. CURRENT No. 5729

Enclo 1

From,

Recd. 25.7.95

The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The

Dated }
Received } 18

Enclosures.

DESPATCHED
NO.
10 AUG 95
INDEXED

SUBJECT.

No. 1971 W dt 9th August 1895

B

The grant of an advance of 6 months pay or Rs 180 to Ch. Muktheswara Row clerk in the office of the Supdg. Engr. II circle is sanctioned under the rules in appendix Q vol I P.W. code

Supdg. II Circle Engr
Exam. P.W.A.

CHAS
30/7/95

O. L. S. 258 R 1/8

Superintending Engineer's Office.

No. 923 K.I.

II CIRCLE,

Dated 23rd July 1895.

From,

Major W. L. C. Baddeley ~~Esquire~~, R.E.

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,

P. W. Department,

Madras.

Enclosure

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith an application for an advance of 6 months pay, viz, Rs 180 from Ch. Mukteswara Row Clerk 4th Class, 2nd Grade, of my office for purchasing a house in Buckinghampett Bezwada. He requests that the amount be paid in full in one instalment. As for the necessity for the advance please see G. O. No. 1282 W dy 2nd May 1888 in which a similar indulgence was granted by Government to other clerks of my office. I recommend that the advance be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your Most obt. Servant,

W L C Baddeley

Major R.E.

Supg Engr, II Circle

S. E.'S ENGINEER'S OFFICE
NO.
95
P. W. D.
SECOND CIRCLE

Through the Manager.

Major W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.

Supg Engineer

II Circle

Bezwada

Sir,

I humbly beg to bring to your kind notice much difficulty ~~that~~ is experienced by me to procure a suitable house for me ~~there~~ in the Buckinghampett ~~near the~~ office and that I am now obliged to live in a very unsuitable building, paying a high rate of rent quite incommensurate with the accommodation provided.

2. A house already constructed by a private individual is now offered for sale in the Buckinghampett, the building, with some improvements which the owner is not at present willing or able to carry out himself, will be most suitable for me to live in. This is very near the office.

3. I therefore request your honour will be pleased to recommend and obtain sanction of Government for an advance of 6 months pay to enable me to purchase the house.

and carry out the necessary improvements
house. In G.O. No 1282 W dated 2nd May 1888, all the clerks of the Kistna Eastern Dn and the Supg Engineers Offices were allowed ~~the~~ similar concessions and even very recently in G.O. No. 663 W dated 9.3.1894, Mr M. Ramaswami Sastri was allowed a similar concession.

4. ~~I agree~~ to the ~~rules~~ laid down in ~~App.~~ ~~IX~~ of the P.W.D. Code in regard ~~to the~~ recovery of the ~~[illegible]~~ advance and to sign the necessary bond mortgaging the house etc. I request ~~that the advance may be given in one lump.~~

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obdt. Servt.
Ch. [illegible] Rao
Clerk Supdg. Engr's
Office, II Circle.

Bezwada
19.7.1895

S. E. ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No.
1 JUL 95
P.W.D.
SECOND CIRCLE

Recommended

The application was recommended —

M.C.W.
19.7.95.

19/7

Pust
5729/95

E. No. 27.
500-2-11-94.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.
dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1895. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 26th July 1895.

OFFICE NOTE No. 2653 A

To

W.

I forward herewith, for safe custody, the sale deed of the Club house at Bezwada purchased under the sanction accorded in G.O. No. 2926 W. dated 15th November 1894, and to request an acknowledgment of its receipt.

26/7
C.

26/7.

2079

[illegible] the necessary improvements.

No 2926 W d/ 15-11-94

P. W. CURRENT No. 5808

EXAMINED
P. W. ACCOUNTS
23 JUL. 1900
No.........

Encl: 1

Recd: 29-7-95

No 1970 W d/ 9th August 1895

B
Ser

The document will be forwarded to the General Record Dept for safe custody

the document will be forwarded to the General Record Dept for safe custody

C.H.W.S
1/8 95

DESPATCHED
10 AUG 95
INDEXED

C. 12/8

PWA

eral Records

Supdg Engr

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
V CIRCLE, MADRAS,
Camp [illegible] anicut
~~Chepauk~~ 23 July 1895.
Desp 26/7/95

No. 272. W.

From Lieutt: Col: C. C. Rawson R.E.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P.W.D

~~Enclosures.~~

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G. O. No 1264. W. dated 24th May 1895, I have the honour to inform you that the Consulting Architect to Government is in charge of the Teacher's College at Saidapet, and to request that that officer may be desired to prepare and submit the plans and Estimate for the new Students Home.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

C. C. Rawson
23/7/95
Lt Col R.E.
Supg: Engineer V Circle

500-12-10-94.

B 30.7.95

and carry out the necessary improvements.

P. W. CURRENT No. 5752

From
Lieutt Col: C. C. Rawson R.E.
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
V CIRCLE,

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. Deptt.

Dated 23
~~Desp~~ Recd. 25 } July 1895.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 1264 W. of 24-5-95
1907 W. of 1-8-94
2466 W. of 5-9-92

No. 272 W.

Plan & Estimate for the new students Home.

Requesting that the Consulting architect to Govt. may be desired to prepare & submit

Recd: 26.7.95

No 1969 W. of 9th August 1895

G. O. No: 1264 W of 24 May 1895 will be communicated to the Consulting Architect in view to the preparation of the plan and estimate called for in para 2.

DESPATCHED
10 AUG. 95
INDEXED

To
The C.A. to Govt: with copy of G. O. No. 1264 W of 24-5-95.
" S. E. V Circle.

O. L. S. 260
R 3/8

[9/53]
1,000—21-6-95.

Marine Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

Border at Madras.

Proceedings of the Public Works Department dated 26th June 1895 No. 1558 W.

Order 20th July 1895 No. 220.

In paragraph 10. appendix

of his report which will shortly be under

consideration, Mr Ashpitel has raised the whole question of protection of light-houses from lightning. The best opinions obtainable will be sought and it does not therefore seem advisable to refer isolated questions connected with the subject.

(True Extract)
Francis
Ag Under Secretary
for Chief Secretary.

To
The Public Works Department
" Presidency Port Officer
" Ashpitel Esqr.

and carry out the necessary improvements.

P. CURRENT No. 5782

Govt. of 1895 Madras

Recd: 29.7.95

To

The P. W. Department

No. 1968 W. 9th August 1895

B

Recd 26-7-95

Communicated to the Supdg. Engineer II circle with reference to his letter No. 585 K.S. dated 7th June 1895.

G. O. of 20th July 1895 No. 220

Subject

No. 1558 W. of 26-6-95
815 W. of 2-4-95

31/7/95

DESPATCHED
9 AUG. 95
INDEXED
20.12.95

S. E. II circle

B. L.S. 26
R 3/2

carry out the necessary improvements.

Statement showing the proposed changes in the personnel of the Establishment of Accountants

Names	Present grade	Proposed grade	Grounds for promotion	Remarks
M. R. Ry V. Subarayudu Pantulu	Accountant 5 Grade, permanent	Accountant 4 Grade temporary rank	See remarks against this accountant in my office Note No. 4799C dated 6 December 1894. The only other accountant senior to V. Subarayudu, Viz. A. Seshachillam Mudalyar, is considered sufficiently paid at his present rate (Rs 150). This is only a repromotion to 4 Grade from which the accountant had to revert in consequence of the permanent incumbent having returned from leave.	With effect from 6 June 1895
M. R. Ry V. S. Rajagopalachariar	Accountant 5th Grade permanent	Accountant 4th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority	For the period from 2 July 1895 to 5th August 1895 both days inclusiv
Mr. E. L. Taylor, B.A	Accountant 6 Grade permanent	Accountant 5th Grade Temporary rank	See remarks against this accountant in my office Note No. 4277C dated 24 October 1894. This is only a repromotion to 5 Grade from which he had to revert in consequence of the return of the permanent incumbent.	With effect from 6 June 1895
M. R. Ry. T. Kondiah Chetty	Accountant 6th Grade permanent	Accountant 5th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority	With effect from 2 July 1895
M. R. Ry. A. Ayodyaraminah Pantulu	Accountant 6th Grade permanent	Accountant 5th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority except in reference to Mr M. Ryan who is temporarily superseded for the reasons given in my office Note No. 4277C dated 24th October 1894	For the period from 15 July 18 to 5th August 1895 both days inclu
M. R. Ry. A. Balasoondram Pillai	Accountant 7th Grade permanent	Accountant 6th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority. This is only a repromotion to 6th Grade from which he had to revert in consequence of the return of the permanent incumbent.	With effect from 2nd July
M. R. Ry P. Bashiem Naidu	Accountant 7th Grade permanent	Accountant 6th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority	With effect from 15 July 1
Mr. D. Jeremiah Mr. J. E. D'Rozario	Accountants 8th Grade permanent	Accountants 7th Grade Temporary rank	Efficiency combined with Seniority	With effect from 2nd a 15 July 1895 respectively
Mahomed Lateef Hussain Saib Mr. E. A. La Fontaine B. A.	Clerks in Examiner's Office	Accountants 8th Grade Temporary rank	This is only a repromotion to Accountant grade from which they had to revert owing to retransfer of one Accountant from foreign Service and the return from leave of another.	

Note

The above arrangements are proposed in place of Mr Read on sick leave and

M. R. Ry S. V. Baliah Naidu Garu
" V. Ragavendra Char
" M. Govindarajulu Naidu
" V. A. Sundaravadivaloo Mudalyar } on furlough.

M. 2692 C Submitted for the approval of Government, with reference to G. O. No. 2706 W dated 19th Septembe
1892 and Office Note No. 3214 C dated 19th October 1894.

Madras
31st July 1895 }

J. S. Sherlock Hubbard
Examiner, P. W. Accounts,
Madras

and carry out the necessary improvements.

No. 5881

No. 1398 W d/ 12-6-95
532 W d/ 7-3-95
3177 W d/ 11-12-94
2782 W d/ 1-11-94
3214 C d/ 19-10-94
2706 W d/ 29-9-92

Recd: 31-7-95

No. 1967 W d/ 9 August 1895

B

Approved.

CNRS
3/8 95

DESPATCHED
10 AUG. 95
W. 12

INDEXED

Exr. P.W. Accts.

O.L.S. 268
R 6/8

C. A. / 1. 500—13-12-94.

[G. / 53]
500—25-4-95.

Educational Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~paper~~:—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, d/ 9th July 1895, No. 2239 C. on letter from the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, d/ 28th June 1895, No. 723 G.D., forwarding for favorable consideration a memorial from G. Sivabharanam, Clerk in the Godavari Eastern Division for exemption from the Examination rules so that he might be promoted to the next higher grade.

Order: 26th July 1895, No. 616.

Government sees no reason to grant the petitioner's request.

(True Extract)

Lionel Davidson
30-7
Ag: Under Secretary
for Chief Secretary

To
The Public Works Dept.

C.L.

P. W. CURRENT No. 5974

Govt. of Madras
189~~6~~5.

Recd: 3.8.95

No. 1966 W d/ 9th August 1895

Communicated to
the Supdg. Engineer
I circle.

To

The Public Works Dept.

G.O. 26/7/95, No. 615.

Subject

Declining to exempt G. Siva-charanam clerk in the Godavari Eastern Division from the Examination rules.

DESPATCHED
8 AUG. 95

INDEXED

S.E. I circle
S.E. I Circle

G.A. 1. 500—13-12-94.

[4]
500—25-4-95.

Educational Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following ~~paper:~~ ~~Proceedings~~ Endorsement

of ~~From~~ the Public Works Department, d/ 19th July 1895, No. 2332 C.

ORDER dated the 25th July 1895 No. 605

The exemption from the Examination Rules of K. Guruswami Aiyar Temporary clerk, No. II, Periyar Division from the 31st March 1895 to the 30th June 1895 is sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Lionel Davidson
29/7
Ag: Under Secretary
for *Chief Secretary.*

To

The Public Works Dept.

and carry out the necessary ind... ...ements,

P. W. CURRENT No. 595 4/C

Govt. of {189 } Madras.

Educational Department.

19-7-95 No- 2332 C
15-6-95 No 1447 W
17-4-95 .. 1551 C
6-5-95 .. 1748 C

Recd: 2-8-95

To

THE Public works Department

No 1965 W dd 9th August 1895

B

Communicated to the Suptg. Engr. VI circle with reference to his letter No 336 m.D. dd 13th July 1895.

G. 10

Proceedings, dated 25th July 1895.

No. 805

DESPATCHED
9 AUG. 95

Sanctioning the exemption from the Examination Rules of K. Guruswami aiyar Temporary Clerk No II Deriyar Dn from the 31st March 1895, to the 30th June 1895.

S.E. VI circle ✓
Exr-P.W. Accts ✓
✓
INDEXED
2? 12/8

G.A. 1. 500—13-12-94.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.
Chepauk, Madras,
25th July 1895.

No. 1643

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

Enclosures.

This was brought forward by the Surgeon General in his list of works and included in the budget. vide files put up with G.O. No 283 W dd 5-2-95 at Ooty.

As the sum of Rs 3000 provided in the current years Provincial Budget for "alterations to the Surgical sheds of the "General Hospital" (Item No 90) is not required, the work having been completed during 94.95 I have the honour to request that the above amount may be resumed and re-appropriated for the construction of a new "Police Station "house at Royapettah", sanctioned for execution when funds are available in G.O. No 724 W dd 23rd March 1895.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant
C.E. Lawson Lt Col R.E.
22/7/95
for Ch Consulting architect to G.

P. W. CURRENT No. 5733

Public Works Department.

From
THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

Reces: 25. 7. 95

To
THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 1643

Dated 25th } July 1895.
Received

No. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Requesting to resume the sum of Rs 3000/ provided in the Provl: Budget for 95-96 ~~to~~ appropriate the same for the construction of a new Police station house at Royaputta, Madras

B

O.L.S. 256 R 31/7

B
List

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1962 W. dated 9th August 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Consulting Architect to Government No. 1643 d/25.7.95

In the circumstances stated, the sum of Rs 3,000 provided for alterations to the surgical sheds of the General Hospital, Madras, is withdrawn and added to the Provincial Reserve.

2. An allotment of Rs 5,130 is made from the reserve for the construction of a new police station house at Royapettah, Madras.

DESPATCHED
AUG. 95

CHWS
29/7 95

To The Consg: Architect to Govt
& Examiner P. W. accounts

(40—4,000—14-3-95.)

23.3-95 No. 724 W

Reappropriation of grant C.A. Divn

No. 1962 W. of 9th August 1895

BCW

INDEXED.

E 12/8

Nos. $\frac{1667}{1668}\frac{1669}{1670}$-B.

Office of Quarter Master General in India,
ARMY HEAD QUARTERS;
Simla, 3rd June 1895.

Accounts—Budget.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL
COMMANDING THE FORCES, $\frac{\text{BOMBAY.}}{\text{MADRAS.}}$
THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL COMMANDING THE
FORCES, $\frac{\text{PUNJAB.}}{\text{BENGAL.}}$

SIR,

With a view to establishing uniformity of procedure in bringing forward estimates for new major works for the annual Budget in the four commands, the Director General of Military Works was asked in this office letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895,* to formulate what would in his opinion be a suitable procedure for all four commands.

* Copy attached.

2. The reply is contained in his letter No. 1499-B., dated 22nd May 1895,* and with the general tenor thereof, so far as it makes local Standing Barrack Committees the origin of all such applications, and provides for their transmission to Government through General Officers Commanding districts, commands, and the Director General of Military Works, His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief concurs.

3. It is not, however, quite apparent what would be the advantage of the course proposed in paragraph 4 of the Director General of Military Works' letter, *viz.*, sending up a schedule from each Superintending Engineer's circle instead of one for the whole command, thus making seven lists instead of four, and necessitating the submission of the original proceedings of Standing Barrack Committees, on which the Chief Engineer of the command is to note the command order of urgency, to the Director General of Military Works.

4. The following modification of the procedure suggested by the Director General of Military Works appears to His Excellency as likely to tend to simplicity and saving of clerical labor, and I am to solicit the favor of an expression of $\frac{\text{Your Excellency's}}{\text{your}}$ opinion as to whether you consider the following, or the procedure indicated in the Director General of Military Works' letter, to be the preferable.

5. The proceedings of the Standing Barrack Committees to be forwarded through the General Officers Commanding districts, who, after consultation with the Superintending Engineer of the circle, if the latter is not also the Chief Engineer of the command (in which case the Executive Engineer at district head-quarters would act for him), will record their opinions in the column set apart for the purpose and send them on to the head-quarters of the command, where the Chief Engineer will compile, under the orders of the Lieutenant General Commanding, one list of major military works in order of urgency, entering thereon, as well as on the proceedings of the annual Standing Barrack Committee, any remarks by the Lieutenant General Commanding. The former will then be sent to the Director General of Military Works, who would prepare one list for all India for approval by His Excellency the Commander-

in-Chief, and the latter be returned through General Officers Commanding to the various stations whence they originally came, for future guidance, and these proceedings might only be prepared in original.

6. As regards stations under the Public Works Department, a statement embodying all the information on the Station Committee proceedings for the whole command might be prepared in the office of the Assistant Quarter Master General, and sent to the Public Works Secretary of the Local Government with the Lieutenant General's remarks, and the order of urgency of each work, as though it stood on one list with Military Works, entered against it. The Committee's proceedings to be returned to stations.

7. To enable the proper number in scale of urgency to be given to works in the Public Works Department, these latter should be entered in red ink and without any detail in their order of urgency in the list of Military Works prepared by the Chief Engineer of the command.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your Excellency's most obedient servant,

E. R. ELLES, *Colonel*,

Offg. Quarter Master General in India.

No. -B.

Copy to Director General of Military Works for information, with intimation that it will be impossible to give effect to the new rules this year as suggested by him.

The enclosures of his letter are returned.

G. HENRY, *Colonel*,

for Quarter Master General in India.

No. 9-C. $\frac{\text{Accounts—Budget}}{\text{Barrack Dept. Regns.}}$, dated Simla, the 2nd January 1895.

From—The Quarter Master General in India,
To—The Director General of Military Works in India.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to invite an expression of your opinion as to the procedure which should in future be adopted in bringing forward the barrack and hospital requirements of the troops; uniformity of procedure in the four armies, as well as reduction of clerical labor, being in his Excellency's opinion essential.

2. *Bengal.*—In the Bengal Presidency such requirements are brought forward by Standing Barrack Committees, one copy of each of the Committee's reports being submitted to the Quarter Master General accompanied by a list prepared by the General Officer Commanding (in communication with the Superintending Engineer) showing the order of urgency of the whole of the above requirements in his command. These reports and lists of urgency (except for stations under the Provincial Public Works Department) are then sent to the Director General, Military Works, who prepares therefrom, for his Excellency's consideration and for the sanction of Government, a preliminary schedule of demands which forms the foundation of the ensuing year's Budget. The reports and lists of urgency from stations not under the Military Works Department are sent to the Local Government or Administration, who prepare and submit for the sanction of the Supreme Government their own Provincial estimates of military works expenditure. It has been suggested that on the inauguration of the four commands on 1st April 1895, the Committee reports and lists of urgency should be sent to the Lieutenant Generals Commanding the forces, Bengal and the Punjab, who would discuss the Budget requirements with the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command, the Quarter Master General being consulted on the whole Budget by the Director General of Military Works before the Commander-in-Chief finally approves. The above suggestion is based on the supposition that the Director General of Military Works will, as at present, frame one Budget Estimate of Imperial Works for all India.

3. *Madras.*—In the Madras Presidency the present arrangements are practically the same as those above proposed for the Bengal and Punjab commands, Standing Barrack Committee reports being submitted to Head Quarters annually, by whom general lists of urgency are sent with the Commander-in-Chief's recommendations to (1) the Chief Engineer, Military Works, in the case of stations under the Military Works Department, and (2) to the Local Government in the case of stations under the Provincial Public Works Department. The Standing Barrack Committee reports, however, do not appear to be sent to the above authorities as proposed for Bengal and Punjab Commands.

4. *Bombay.*—In the case of Bombay, however, the present procedure is entirely different to the above; the requirements, instead of being brought forward annually by Standing Barrack Committees, are brought to the notice of the Quarter Master General from time to time as considered necessary. The Quarter Master General then prepares *annually* a list of requirements classed in order of urgency, which is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, for inclusion in his schedule of demands for the ensuing year.

5. Amongst the points in favor of the procedure above sketched out for the Bengal and Punjab commands may be mentioned the following, *viz.*:—

(*a*) The whole of the requirements are annually brought to notice by the station authorities with little or no correspondence.

(*b*) General Officers Commanding districts and the local Military Works Officers are kept fully informed as to *all* requirements.

(*c*) Lieutenant Generals, Chief or Superintending Engineers of commands, and the Director General of Military Works will be kept fully informed of the actual requirements in each command.

(d) A real order of urgency for each command is secured and the works able to be dealt with in such order.

(e) There is no difficulty in utilising funds should there be any hitch in the execution of any work specifically provided for in the Budget.

6. Amongst the objections which may be mentioned as attaching to the present procedure in Bombay are apparently the following:—

(1) The advantages mentioned in (a) to (e) above are absent, a large amount of correspondence being involved, and there being no guarantee that the most important work will be carried out first.

(2) There being no real record as to the whole of the requirements of the command, these requirements being brought forward in a more or less haphazard way.

(3) Delay in carrying out small requirements which might otherwise be disposed of with little or no difficulty.

7. Another point on which I am to invite an expression of your opinion is whether you consider any alteration necessary in the present method of bringing forward departmental building requirements, with particular reference to the altered position of the Commissaries-General of commands as defined in the Special India Army Circular dated 26th October 1894.

8. The favor of an early reply is requested.

No. 1499-B., dated Simla, the 22nd May 1895.

From—The Director General of Military Works in India,

To—The Quarter Master General in India.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895, regarding the procedure to be followed in the four army commands with respect to the bringing forward of local demands for new military buildings.

2. This question occupied my attention and that of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and Quarter Master General, Madras, in 1890, when the Military Works Department was extended to Madras and I was Chief Engineer, Military Works, and I do not think the system then introduced in Madras can be improved upon in the Punjab, Bengal and Bombay commands, which are now under exactly similar conditions.

3. The system was that the Standing Barrack Committee should meet at each station and should submit its report. The report, instead of being on paper of all sorts of sizes, had to be on foolscap sized paper, and the entries had to be made so as to be read down the page without turning it a quarter round; there was a column for the Committee's remarks, another for the General Officer Commanding's remarks, and the last one on the right for the Commander-in-Chief's remarks.

The Committees submitted their reports to the General Officers Commanding the districts, who submitted them to the Quarter Master General.

When all were received the Quarter Master General and the Chief Engineer, Military Works, went through all the reports with the Commander-in-Chief, and His Excellency's remarks were entered in the column of the report intended for that purpose, and if there were omissions the Quarter Master General or Chief Engineer brought them to His Excellency's notice.

The Quarter Master General then transferred the reports to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, who prepared his schedule of demands from them, taking the Commander-in-Chief's remarks as ruling the order of urgency.

4. This system I would make uniform in all four commands, only, instead of the Chief or Superintending Engineers of the command preparing one schedule of demands, it would be for the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command to prepare his own, and for the Superintending Engineer of the circle to prepare his.

The Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command would send to the Superintending Engineer of the circle the Standing Barrack Committee reports which concerned the circle and contained the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks enfaced.

5. The Chief or Superintending Engineers would, as at present, submit the schedules of demands to the Director General, Military Works, and I think it would be as well if the Committee's reports were submitted to the Director General, Military Works, at the same time, as in these reports should be found the order of urgency in which the Lieutenant General Commanding placed the demands in the command as a whole.

6. When the Director General, Military Works, was in receipt of the schedules of demand from all commands and circles, he would consult the list of past demands whose order of urgency had already been considered, and would submit an amalgamated schedule of demands to the Quarter Master General in India for the consideration of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

7. So far for stations under the Military Works Department. For stations under the Public Works Department I would insist on the same identical form of report *; this might come through the General Officer Commanding the district to the Assistant Quarter Master General of the command, and after the entry of the Lieutenant General's (Commanding) remarks it would be sent to the Public Works Department Secretary of the Local Government, and if the Lieutenant General Commanding would assign these works a number in the order of urgency of the Military Works Department works, it would simplify the future selection of which works to enter in the Budget.

When the Public Works Department Secretaries of Local Governments submit their schedules of demands to the Government of India in the Military Department, I suggest that the original reports and the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks should go with them. I make this suggestion because the Military Department now call on the Director General of Military Works, before the schedules are considered, to assign a number of urgency to each work, either Public Works Department or Military Works Department; the Local Government schedules would be referred to the Director General of Military Works by the Military Department, and if they were supported by the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks his task would be rendered easier.

8. For the Ordnance and Commissariat works I would substitute the Ordnance Officer, and the Executive Commissariat Officer for the Standing Barrack Committee (using the same form). Their reports might go to the Inspector General of Ordnance and the Commissary General, who could make their remarks in the General Officer's Commanding column; and the Inspector General of Ordnance and Commissary General, after entering the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks, could submit the reports to the Director General of Ordnance and Commissary General in Chief for transmission to the Government of India.

9. My suggestions involve the recasting of paragraphs 32, 217 and 218 of the Bengal Barrack Regulations, and I would urge the omission of all mention of class "C" works.

---

* Say for Mangalore, Wano, Deesa, or Rangoon.

Out of the schedules of demands submitted by the Director General of Military Works and Local Governments a certain number of works will find places in the budgets as "A" and "B" works and the remainder would necessarily be class "C" works.

10. In conclusion it might be an injunction to the Standing Barrack Committees, after considering all the reports which are submitted to them by Commanding Officers and Medical Officers (as laid down in paragraph 217 of Bengal Barrack Regulations), only to report on such works as they consider "urgent" or "very desirable;" if they only consider a work "desirable" it should not be entered in their report. This procedure will render these returns more manageable.

11. I have procured the 1894-95 and 1895-96 reports from Madras and I submit them for consideration (return requested). If we would get all the returns for this year, including Bombay, in this shape, it would be very desirable.

List of new Buildings, &c., for the troops in the ——— District, proposed by the Standing Barrack Committee to be included in the Budget List of Major Works for 189 -9 .

District.	Station.	Description of buildings, &c., and for what Corps or Department needed.	Approximate estimate of cost.	Remarks by the Standing Barrack Committee as to the necessity of the buildings, references to former applications, orders of Government, &c.	Remarks by General Officer Commanding District.	Remarks by Lieutenant General Commanding the Forces.

No: B.

To
The ______________________

____

____

Accounts - Budget:

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence× marginally noted regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major Military works in the four Commands.

× Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd. June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd. June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b). That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd. June 1895, modified as above,

were

were followed as closely as possible this year in connection with the Provincial Military Works Estimates for 1896-97.

4. As regards stations under the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &ca; but — as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. M. Elles Colonel

Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

Nos. $\frac{1667}{\frac{1668}{\frac{1669}{1670}}}$-B.

Office of Quarter Master General in India,

Army head Quarters;

Simla, 3rd June 1895.

Accounts—Budget.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL
COMMANDING THE FORCES, $\frac{\text{BOMBAY.}}{\text{MADRAS.}}$

THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL COMMANDING THE
FORCES, $\frac{\text{PUNJAB.}}{\text{BENGAL.}}$

Sir,

With a view to establishing uniformity of procedure in bringing forward estimates for new major works for the annual Budget in the four commands, the Director General of Military Works was asked in this office letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895,* to formulate what would in his opinion be a suitable procedure for all four commands.

2. The reply is contained in his letter No. 1499-B., dated 22nd May 1895,* and with the general tenor thereof, so far as it makes local Standing Barrack Committees the origin of all such applications, and provides for their transmission to Government through General Officers Commanding districts, commands, and the Director General of Military Works, His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief concurs.

3. It is not, however, quite apparent what would be the advantage of the course proposed in paragraph 4 of the Director General of Military Works' letter, *viz.*, sending up a schedule from each Superintending Engineer's circle instead of one for the whole command, thus making seven lists instead of four, and necessitating the submission of the original proceedings of Standing Barrack Committees, on which the Chief Engineer of the command is to note the command order of urgency, to the Director General of Military Works.

4. The following modification of the procedure suggested by the Director General of Military Works appears to His Excellency as likely to tend to simplicity and saving of clerical labor, and I am to solicit the favor of an expression of $\frac{\text{Your Excellency's}}{\text{your}}$ opinion as to whether you consider the following, or the procedure indicated in the Director General of Military Works' letter, to be the preferable.

5. The proceedings of the Standing Barrack Committees to be forwarded through the General Officers Commanding districts, who, after consultation with the Superintending Engineer of the circle, if the latter is not also the Chief Engineer of the command (in which case the Executive Engineer at district head-quarters would act for him), will record their opinions in the column set apart for the purpose and send them on to the head-quarters of the command, where the Chief Engineer will compile, under the orders of the Lieutenant General Commanding, one list of major military works in order of urgency, entering thereon, as well as on the proceedings of the annual Standing Barrack Committee, any remarks by the Lieutenant General Commanding. The former will then be sent to the Director General of Military Works, who would prepare one list for all India for approval by His Excellency the Commander-

---

* Copy attached.

in-Chief, and the latter be returned through General Officers Commanding to the various stations whence they originally came, for future guidance, and these proceedings might only be prepared in original.

6. As regards stations under the Public Works Department, a statement embodying all the information on the Station Committee proceedings for the whole command might be prepared in the office of the Assistant Quarter Master General, and sent to the Public Works Secretary of the Local Government with the Lieutenant General's remarks, and the order of urgency of each work, as though it stood on one list with Military Works, entered against it. The Committee's proceedings to be returned to stations.

7. To enable the proper number in scale of urgency to be given to works in the Public Works Department, these latter should be entered in red ink and without any detail in their order of urgency in the list of Military Works prepared by the Chief Engineer of the command.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Excellency's most obedient servant,

E. R. ELLES, *Colonel,*

Offg. Quarter Master General in India.

No. -B.

Copy to Director General of Military Works for information, with intimation that it will be impossible to give effect to the new rules this year as suggested by him.

The enclosures of his letter are returned.

G. HENRY, *Colonel,*

for *Quarter Master General in India.*

No. 9-C. $\frac{\text{Accounts---Budget}}{\text{Barrack Dept. Regns.}}$, dated Simla, the 2nd January 1895.

From—The Quarter Master General in India,

To—The Director General of Military Works in India.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to invite an expression of your opinion as to the procedure which should in future be adopted in bringing forward the barrack and hospital requirements of the troops; uniformity of procedure in the four armies, as well as reduction of clerical labor, being in his Excellency's opinion essential.

2. *Bengal.*—In the Bengal Presidency such requirements are brought forward by Standing Barrack Committees, one copy of each of the Committee's reports being submitted to the Quarter Master General accompanied by a list prepared by the General Officer Commanding (in communication with the Superintending Engineer) showing the order of urgency of the whole of the above requirements in his command. These reports and lists of urgency (except for stations under the Provincial Public Works Department) are then sent to the Director General, Military Works, who prepares therefrom, for his Excellency's consideration and for the sanction of Government, a preliminary schedule of demands which forms the foundation of the ensuing year's Budget. The reports and lists of urgency from stations not under the Military Works Department are sent to the Local Government or Administration, who prepare and submit for the sanction of the Supreme Government their own Provincial estimates of military works expenditure. It has been suggested that on the inauguration of the four commands on **1st April 1895**, the Committee reports and lists of urgency should be sent to the Lieutenant Generals Commanding the forces, Bengal and the Punjab, who would discuss the Budget requirements with the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command, the Quarter Master General being consulted on the whole Budget by the Director General of Military Works before the Commander-in-Chief finally approves. The above suggestion is based on the supposition that the Director General of Military Works will, as at present, frame one Budget Estimate of Imperial Works for all India.

3. *Madras.*—In the Madras Presidency the present arrangements are practically the same as those above proposed for the Bengal and Punjab commands, Standing Barrack Committee reports being submitted to Head Quarters annually, by whom general lists of urgency are sent with the Commander-in-Chief's recommendations to (1) the Chief Engineer, Military Works, in the case of stations under the Military Works Department, and (2) to the Local Government in the case of stations under the Provincial Public Works Department. The Standing Barrack Committee reports, however, do not appear to be sent to the above authorities as proposed for Bengal and Punjab Commands.

4. *Bombay.*—In the case of Bombay, however, the present procedure is entirely different to the above; the requirements, instead of being brought forward annually by Standing Barrack Committees, are brought to the notice of the Quarter Master General from time to time as considered necessary. The Quarter Master General then prepares *annually* a list of requirements classed in order of urgency, which is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, for inclusion in his schedule of demands for the ensuing year.

5. Amongst the points in favor of the procedure above sketched out for the Bengal and Punjab commands may be mentioned the following, *viz.*:—

(*a*) The whole of the requirements are annually brought to notice by the station authorities with little or no correspondence.

(*b*) General Officers Commanding districts and the local Military Works Officers are kept fully informed as to *all* requirements.

(*c*) Lieutenant Generals, Chief or Superintending Engineers of commands, and the Director General of Military Works will be kept fully informed of the actual requirements in each command.

(*d*) A real order of urgency for each command is secured and the works able to be dealt with in such order.

(*e*) There is no difficulty in utilising funds should there be any hitch in the execution of any work specifically provided for in the Budget.

6. Amongst the objections which may be mentioned as attaching to the present procedure in Bombay are apparently the following :—

(1) The advantages mentioned in (*a*) to (*e*) above are absent, a large amount of correspondence being involved, and there being no guarantee that the most important work will be carried out first.

(2) There being no real record as to the whole of the requirements of the command, these requirements being brought forward in a more or less haphazard way.

(3) Delay in carrying out small requirements which might otherwise be disposed of with little or no difficulty.

7. Another point on which I am to invite an expression of your opinion is whether you consider any alteration necessary in the present method of bringing forward departmental building requirements, with particular reference to the altered position of the Commissaries-General of commands as defined in the Special India Army Circular dated 26th October 1894.

8. The favor of an early reply is requested.

No. 1499-B., dated Simla, the 22nd May 1895.

From—The Director General of Military Works in India,

To—The Quarter Master General in India.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895, regarding the procedure to be followed in the four army commands with respect to the bringing forward of local demands for new military buildings.

2. This question occupied my attention and that of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and Quarter Master General, Madras, in 1890, when the Military Works Department was extended to Madras and I was Chief Engineer, Military Works, and I do not think the system then introduced in Madras can be improved upon in the Punjab, Bengal and Bombay commands, which are now under exactly similar conditions.

3. The system was that the Standing Barrack Committee should meet at each station and should submit its report. The report, instead of being on paper of all sorts of sizes, had to be on foolscap sized paper, and the entries had to be made so as to be read down the page without turning it a quarter round; there was a column for the Committee's remarks, another for the General Officer Commanding's remarks, and the last one on the right for the Commander-in-Chief's remarks.

The Committees submitted their reports to the General Officers Commanding the districts, who submitted them to the Quarter Master General.

When all were received the Quarter Master General and the Chief Engineer, Military Works, went through all the reports with the Commander-in-Chief, and His Excellency's remarks were entered in the column of the report intended for that purpose, and if there were omissions the Quarter Master General or Chief Engineer brought them to His Excellency's notice.

The Quarter Master General then transferred the reports to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, who prepared his schedule of demands from them, taking the Commander-in-Chief's remarks as ruling the order of urgency.

4. This system I would make uniform in all four commands, only, instead of the Chief or Superintending Engineers of the command preparing one schedule of demands, it would be for the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command to prepare his own, and for the Superintending Engineer of the circle to prepare his.

The Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command would send to the Superintending Engineer of the circle the Standing Barrack Committee reports which concerned the circle and contained the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks enfaced.

5. The Chief or Superintending Engineers would, as at present, submit the schedules of demands to the Director General, Military Works, and I think it would be as well if the Committee's reports were submitted to the Director General, Military Works, at the same time, as in these reports should be found the order of urgency in which the Lieutenant General Commanding placed the demands in the command as a whole.

6. When the Director General, Military Works, was in receipt of the schedules of demand from all commands and circles, he would consult the list of past demands whose order of urgency had already been considered, and would submit an amalgamated schedule of demands to the Quarter Master General in India for the consideration of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

7. So far for stations under the Military Works Department. For stations under the Public Works Department I would insist on the same identical form of report *; this might come through the General Officer Commanding the district to the Assistant Quarter Master General of the command, and after the entry of the Lieutenant General's (Commanding) remarks it would be sent to the Public Works Department Secretary of the Local Government, and if the Lieutenant General Commanding would assign these works a number in the order of urgency of the Military Works Department works, it would simplify the future selection of which works to enter in the Budget.

* Say for Mangalore, Wano, Deesa, or Rangoon.

When the Public Works Department Secretaries of Local Governments submit their schedules of demands to the Government of India in the Military Department, I suggest that the original reports and the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks should go with them. I make this suggestion because the Military Department now call on the Director General of Military Works, before the schedules are considered, to assign a number of urgency to each work, either Public Works Department or Military Works Department; the Local Government schedules would be referred to the Director General of Military Works by the Military Department, and if they were supported by the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks his task would be rendered easier.

8. For the Ordnance and Commissariat works I would substitute the Ordnance Officer, and the Executive Commissariat Officer for the Standing Barrack Committee (using the same form). Their reports might go to the Inspector General of Ordnance and the Commissary General, who could make their remarks in the General Officer's Commanding column; and the Inspector General of Ordnance and Commissary General, after entering the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks, could submit the reports to the Director General of Ordnance and Commissary General in Chief for transmission to the Government of India.

9. My suggestions involve the recasting of paragraphs 32, 217 and 218 of the Bengal Barrack Regulations, and I would urge the omission of all mention of class "C" works.

Out of the schedules of demands submitted by the Director General of Military Works and Local Governments a certain number of works will find places in the budgets as "A" and "B" works and the remainder would necessarily be class "C" works.

10. In conclusion it might be an injunction to the Standing Barrack Committees, after considering all the reports which are submitted to them by Commanding Officers and Medical Officers (as laid down in paragraph 217 of Bengal Barrack Regulations), only to report on such works as they consider "urgent" or "very desirable;" if they only consider a work "desirable" it should not be entered in their report. This procedure will render these returns more manageable.

11. I have procured the 1894-95 and 1895-96 reports from Madras and I submit them for consideration (return requested). If we would get all the returns for this year, including Bombay, in this shape, it would be very desirable.

List of new Buildings, &c., for the troops in the ______ District, proposed by the Standing Barrack Committee to be included in the Budget List of Major Works for 189 -9 .

District.	Station.	Description of buildings, &c., and for what Corps or Department needed.	Approximate estimate of cost.	Remarks by the Standing Barrack Committee as to the necessity of the buildings, references to former applications, orders of Government, &c.	Remarks by General Officer Commanding District.	Remarks by Lieutenant General Commanding the Forces.

Office of Quarter Master General in Ind

Nos. 1667/1668/1669/1670-B.

Office of Quarter Master General in India,

ARMY HEAD QUARTERS;

Simla, 3rd June 1895.

Accounts—Budget.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL
COMMANDING THE FORCES, BOMBAY/MADRAS.
THE LIEUTENANT GENERAL COMMANDING THE
FORCES, PUNJAB/BENGAL.

SIR,

With a view to establishing uniformity of procedure in bringing forward estimates for new major works for the annual Budget in the four commands, the Director General of Military Works was asked in this office letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895,* to formulate what would in his opinion be a suitable procedure for all four commands.

* Copy attached.

2. The reply is contained in his letter No. 1499-B., dated 22nd May 1895,* and with the general tenor thereof, so far as it makes local Standing Barrack Committees the origin of all such applications, and provides for their transmission to Government through General Officers Commanding districts, commands, and the Director General of Military Works, His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief concurs.

3. It is not, however, quite apparent what would be the advantage of the course proposed in paragraph 4 of the Director General of Military Works' letter, *viz.*, sending up a schedule from each Superintending Engineer's circle instead of one for the whole command, thus making seven lists instead of four, and necessitating the submission of the original proceedings of Standing Barrack Committees, on which the Chief Engineer of the command is to note the command order of urgency, to the Director General of Military Works.

4. The following modification of the procedure suggested by the Director General of Military Works appears to His Excellency as likely to tend to simplicity and saving of clerical labor, and I am to solicit the favor of an expression of Your Excellency's/your opinion as to whether you consider the following, or the procedure indicated in the Director General of Military Works' letter, to be the preferable.

5. The proceedings of the Standing Barrack Committees to be forwarded through the General Officers Commanding districts, who, after consultation with the Superintending Engineer of the circle, if the latter is not also the Chief Engineer of the command (in which case the Executive Engineer at district head-quarters would act for him), will record their opinions in the column set apart for the purpose and send them on to the head-quarters of the command, where the Chief Engineer will compile, under the orders of the Lieutenant General Commanding, one list of major military works in order of urgency, entering thereon, as well as on the proceedings of the annual Standing Barrack Committee, any remarks by the Lieutenant General Commanding. The former will then be sent to the Director General of Military Works, who would prepare one list for all India for approval by His Excellency the Commander-

in-Chief, and the latter be returned through General Officers Commanding to the various stations whence they originally came, for future guidance, and these proceedings might only be prepared in original.

6. As regards stations under the Public Works Department, a statement embodying all the information on the Station Committee proceedings for the whole command might be prepared in the office of the Assistant Quarter Master General, and sent to the Public Works Secretary of the Local Government with the Lieutenant General's remarks, and the order of urgency of each work, as though it stood on one list with Military Works, entered against it. The Committee's proceedings to be returned to stations.

7. To enable the proper number in scale of urgency to be given to works in the Public Works Department, these latter should be entered in red ink and without any detail in their order of urgency in the list of Military Works prepared by the Chief Engineer of the command.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your Excellency's most obedient servant,

E. R. ELLES, *Colonel*,

Offg. Quarter Master General in India.

No. -B.

Copy to Director General of Military Works for information, with intimation that it will be impossible to give effect to the new rules this year as suggested by him.

The enclosures of his letter are returned.

G. HENRY, *Colonel*,

for *Quarter Master General in India.*

Office of Quarter Master General in Ind.

No. 9-C. $\frac{\text{Accounts—Budget}}{\text{Barrack Dept. Regns.}}$, dated Simla, the 2nd January 1895.

From—The Quarter Master General in India,

To—The Director General of Military Works in India.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to invite an expression of your opinion as to the procedure which should in future be adopted in bringing forward the barrack and hospital requirements of the troops; uniformity of procedure in the four armies, as well as reduction of clerical labor, being in his Excellency's opinion essential.

2. *Bengal.*—In the Bengal Presidency such requirements are brought forward by Standing Barrack Committees, one copy of each of the Committee's reports being submitted to the Quarter Master General accompanied by a list prepared by the General Officer Commanding (in communication with the Superintending Engineer) showing the order of urgency of the whole of the above requirements in his command. These reports and lists of urgency (except for stations under the Provincial Public Works Department) are then sent to the Director General, Military Works, who prepares therefrom, for his Excellency's consideration and for the sanction of Government, a preliminary schedule of demands which forms the foundation of the ensuing year's Budget. The reports and lists of urgency from stations not under the Military Works Department are sent to the Local Government or Administration, who prepare and submit for the sanction of the Supreme Government their own Provincial estimates of military works expenditure. It has been suggested that on the inauguration of the four commands on 1st April 1895, the Committee reports and lists of urgency should be sent to the Lieutenant Generals Commanding the forces, Bengal and the Punjab, who would discuss the Budget requirements with the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command, the Quarter Master General being consulted on the whole Budget by the Director General of Military Works before the Commander-in-Chief finally approves. The above suggestion is based on the supposition that the Director General of Military Works will, as at present, frame one Budget Estimate of Imperial Works for all India.

3. *Madras.*—In the Madras Presidency the present arrangements are practically the same as those above proposed for the Bengal and Punjab commands, Standing Barrack Committee reports being submitted to Head Quarters annually, by whom general lists of urgency are sent with the Commander-in-Chief's recommendations to (1) the Chief Engineer, Military Works, in the case of stations under the Military Works Department, and (2) to the Local Government in the case of stations under the Provincial Public Works Department. The Standing Barrack Committee reports, however, do not appear to be sent to the above authorities as proposed for Bengal and Punjab Commands.

4. *Bombay.*—In the case of Bombay, however, the present procedure is entirely different to the above; the requirements, instead of being brought forward annually by Standing Barrack Committees, are brought to the notice of the Quarter Master General from time to time as considered necessary. The Quarter Master General then prepares *annually* a list of requirements classed in order of urgency, which is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, for inclusion in his schedule of demands for the ensuing year.

5. Amongst the points in favor of the procedure above sketched out for the Bengal and Punjab commands may be mentioned the following, *viz.* :—

(*a*) The whole of the requirements are annually brought to notice by the station authorities with little or no correspondence.

(*b*) General Officers Commanding districts and the local Military Works Officers are kept fully informed as to *all* requirements.

(*c*) Lieutenant Generals, Chief or Superintending Engineers of commands, and the Director General of Military Works will be kept fully informed of the actual requirements in each command.

(*d*) A real order of urgency for each command is secured and the works able to be dealt with in such order.

(*e*) There is no difficulty in utilising funds should there be any hitch in the execution of any work specifically provided for in the Budget.

6. Amongst the objections which may be mentioned as attaching to the present procedure in Bombay are apparently the following:—

(1) The advantages mentioned in (*a*) to (*e*) above are absent, a large amount of correspondence being involved, and there being no guarantee that the most important work will be carried out first.

(2) There being no real record as to the whole of the requirements of the command, these requirements being brought forward in a more or less haphazard way.

(3) Delay in carrying out small requirements which might otherwise be disposed of with little or no difficulty.

7. Another point on which I am to invite an expression of your opinion is whether you consider any alteration necessary in the present method of bringing forward departmental building requirements, with particular reference to the altered position of the Commissaries-General of commands as defined in the Special India Army Circular dated 26th October 1894.

8. The favor of an early reply is requested.

No. 1499-B., dated Simla, the 22nd May 1895.

From—The Director General of Military Works in India,

To—The Quarter Master General in India.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 9-C., dated 2nd January 1895, regarding the procedure to be followed in the four army commands with respect to the bringing forward of local demands for new military buildings.

2. This question occupied my attention and that of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief and Quarter Master General, Madras, in 1890, when the Military Works Department was extended to Madras and I was Chief Engineer, Military Works, and I do not think the system then introduced in Madras can be improved upon in the Punjab, Bengal and Bombay commands, which are now under exactly similar conditions.

3. The system was that the Standing Barrack Committee should meet at each station and should submit its report. The report, instead of being on paper of all sorts of sizes, had to be on foolscap sized paper, and the entries had to be made so as to be read down the page without turning it a quarter round; there was a column for the Committee's remarks, another for the General Officer Commanding's remarks, and the last one on the right for the Commander-in-Chief's remarks.

The Committees submitted their reports to the General Officers Commanding the districts, who submitted them to the Quarter Master General.

When all were received the Quarter Master General and the Chief Engineer, Military Works, went through all the reports with the Commander-in-Chief, and His Excellency's remarks were entered in the column of the report intended for that purpose, and if there were omissions the Quarter Master General or Chief Engineer brought them to His Excellency's notice.

The Quarter Master General then transferred the reports to the Chief Engineer, Military Works, who prepared his schedule of demands from them, taking the Commander-in-Chief's remarks as ruling the order of urgency.

4. This system I would make uniform in all four commands, only, instead of the Chief or Superintending Engineers of the command preparing one schedule of demands, it would be for the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command to prepare his own, and for the Superintending Engineer of the circle to prepare his.

The Chief or Superintending Engineer of the command would send to the Superintending Engineer of the circle the Standing Barrack Committee reports which concerned the circle and contained the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks enfaced.

5. The Chief or Superintending Engineers would, as at present, submit the schedules of demands to the Director General, Military Works, and I think it would be as well if the Committee's reports were submitted to the Director General, Military Works, at the same time, as in these reports should be found the order of urgency in which the Lieutenant General Commanding placed the demands in the command as a whole.

6. When the Director General, Military Works, was in receipt of the schedules of demand from all commands and circles, he would consult the list of past demands whose order of urgency had already been considered, and would submit an amalgamated schedule of demands to the Quarter Master General in India for the consideration of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

7. So far for stations under the Military Works Department. For stations under the Public Works Department I would insist on the same identical form of report *; this might come through the General Officer Commanding the district to the Assistant Quarter Master General of the command, and after the entry of the Lieutenant General's (Commanding) remarks it would be sent to the Public Works Department Secretary of the Local Government, and if the Lieutenant General Commanding would assign these works a number in the order of urgency of the Military Works Department works, it would simplify the future selection of which works to enter in the Budget.

* Say for Mangalore, Wano, Deesa, or Rangoon.

When the Public Works Department Secretaries of Local Governments submit their schedules of demands to the Government of India in the Military Department, I suggest that the original reports and the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks should go with them. I make this suggestion because the Military Department now call on the Director General of Military Works, before the schedules are considered, to assign a number of urgency to each work, either Public Works Department or Military Works Department; the Local Government schedules would be referred to the Director General of Military Works by the Military Department, and if they were supported by the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks his task would be rendered easier.

8. For the Ordnance and Commissariat works I would substitute the Ordnance Officer, and the Executive Commissariat Officer for the Standing Barrack Committee (using the same form). Their reports might go to the Inspector General of Ordnance and the Commissary General, who could make their remarks in the General Officer's Commanding column; and the Inspector General of Ordnance and Commissary General, after entering the Lieutenant General's Commanding remarks, could submit the reports to the Director General of Ordnance and Commissary General in Chief for transmission to the Government of India.

9. My suggestions involve the recasting of paragraphs 32, 217 and 218 of the Bengal Barrack Regulations, and I would urge the omission of all mention of class "C" works.

Out of the schedules of demands submitted by the Director General of Military Works and Local Governments a certain number of works will find places in the budgets as "A" and "B" works and the remainder would necessarily be class "C" works.

10. In conclusion it might be an injunction to the Standing Barrack Committees, after considering all the reports which are submitted to them by Commanding Officers and Medical Officers (as laid down in paragraph 217 of Bengal Barrack Regulations), only to report on such works as they consider "urgent" or "very desirable;" if they only consider a work "desirable" it should not be entered in their report. This procedure will render these returns more manageable.

11. I have procured the 1894-95 and 1895-96 reports from Madras and I submit them for consideration (return requested). If we would get all the returns for this year, including Bombay, in this shape, it would be very desirable.

No: B.

To
The

Accounts-Budget.

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence marginally noted x re-garding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major military works in the four Commands.

x Quarter Master General's no: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd. June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd. June 1895 h been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b)- That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office nos: 1667-70. B. dated, 3rd. June 1895, modified as above

List of new Buildings, &c., for the troops in the ______________ District, proposed by the Standing Barrack Committee to be included in the Budget List of Major Works for 189 -9 .

District.	Station.	Description of buildings, &c., and for what Corps or Department needed.	Approximate estimate of cost.	Remarks by the Standing Barrack Committee as to the necessity of the buildings, references to former applications, orders of Government, &c.	Remarks by General Officer Commanding District.	Remarks by Lieutenant General Commanding the Forces.

were followed as closely as possible this year in connection with the Provincial Military Works Estimates for 1896-97.

4. As regards stations under the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command, for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &ca; but —, as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. R. Elles Colonel
Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

Accounts-Budget:

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters

No: B.

To
The

Accounts-Budget:

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence[x] marginally noted regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major military works in the four Commands.

[x] Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations, and

(b). That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the [illegible]iser of the General Officer [illegible]manding the D[illegible] [illegible]ther the former may

were

ment it has been arrang
ircles should submit thr [illegible] Chief or Superintending ngineer of the Command, for transmission to the Director eneral of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in rogress, Repairs, Tools and plant &ca; but — as regards tations under the Provincial Public Works Department the ommander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. R. Elles Colonel
Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

No. 19

The

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Accounts - Budget.

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence^x^ marginally noted regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major Military works in the four Commands.

x Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b)- That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895, modified as above, were

[illegible] as closely with the Provincial Military [illegible]

4. As regards stations [illegible] er the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command, for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &ca; but as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. H. Elles Colonel
Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

No. 19

No:

To
The

Accounts - Budget:

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence marginally noted^x^ regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major military works in the four Commands.

x Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b). That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895, modified as above, were

e followed as closely as possible this year in connection n the Provincial Military Works Estimates for 1896-97.

As regards stations under the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command, for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &c.; but as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should His Excellency consider, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. R. Elles Colonel

Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

No. 19

No: B.

To
The

Accounts-Budget.

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence[x] marginally noted regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major military works in the four Commands.

[x] Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b). That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895, modified as above, were

re followed as closely as possible this year in connection ith the Provincial Military Works Estimates for 1896-97.

4. As regards stations under the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &ca; but as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. M. Elles Colonel

Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

No. 19

No:

To
The

Accounts - Budget.

Office of Quarter Master General in India
Army Head Quarters
Simla the July 1895.

Sir,

I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to forward a copy of the correspondence[x] marginally noted regarding the adoption of uniformity of procedure in bringing forward new major military works in the four Commands.

[x] Quarter Master General's No: 1667-1670. B. dated 3rd June 1895 and enclosures.

2. The procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895 has been finally accepted by the Director General of Military Works and the Commander-in-Chief with the following modifications viz:-

(a). That new works should first be listed in the District Staff Office, the Standing Barrack Committee reports being then returned to stations; and

(b)- That the Superintending Engineer of the Circle should in all cases be the adviser of the General Officer Commanding the District whether the former may happen to be the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command or not.

3. The Commander-in-Chief would feel much obliged if, pending the sanction of the Government of India (who are about to be addressed on the subject) the procedure indicated in paragraphs 5 et seq: of this Office Nos: 1667-70. B. dated 3rd June 1895, modified as above, were

were followed as closely as possible this year in connection with the Provincial Military Works Estimates for 1896-97.

4. As regards stations under the Military Works Department it has been arranged that Superintending Engineers of Circles should submit through the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command for transmission to the Director General of Military Works, their own Schedules for works in progress, Repairs, Tools and plant &c.; but as regards stations under the Provincial Public Works Department the Commander-in-Chief does not consider it necessary for such schedules to be referred to the Chief or Superintending Engineer of the Command.

5. Any Departmental requirements should, His Excellency considers, be dealt with in the manner indicated in paragraph 8 of letter No: 1499. B. dated 22nd May 1895, from the Director General of Military Works in India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E. W. Elles Colonel

Offg: Q. M. G. in I.

105.C
OW 5722/95

1964 W
9-8-95

No. 19.

Enclosures 5 (Vide booklet)

KURNOOL.
District Board's Office,
Dated 29th June 1895.

FROM

A. C. Tate Esq M.A.C.S
PRESIDENT DISTRICT BOARD,
KURNOOL.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
FORT SAINT GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward here with index maps showing the roads recent completed and opened for traffic in this Dis trict duly revised with reference to the remarks of the Public Works Department communicated with G. O. No. 853x L. Mis date 13th May 1895.

x not communi

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Vice President
for President

1895

Kurnool District Board's Office.
CURRENT No. 1810

From

A. C. Tate Esqre M. A.
Collector and President,
District Board,
KURNOOL.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
MADRAS.

Dated 29th } June 1895.
Received

No. 19
Enclosures. 5 (by book Pat)
Subject.

orwarding index maps
howing the roads recently
mpleted and opened for
affic in the District

(with endorsement)

Recd: 9-7-95
Encls 5 plans.

No. 937

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

E G Todhunter
Ag Asst Secretary

V.R.
4/7/95

Fort Saint George }
8th July 1895 }.

To

The Public Works Department
with 5 enclosures.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1960 W dated 9th August 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Endorsement in the Local and Municipal Department on letter from the ~~Collector and~~ President, District Board Kurnool, No. 19 d/29. June 1895 } (H E No. 937 d/ 8th July 1895).

The maps will be forwarded to the Surveyor General, Calcutta.

To the L & M. Dept.

9/8

(H E No. 1961 W d/ 9th August 95)

To
The Surveyor General
Survey of India Deptt
Calcutta

Sir,

With reference to para 45, Chapter IX, Vol I P.W. Code, I am directed to forward index maps in 5 sheets showing roads recently completed and opened for traffic in the Kurnool District.

I have &c

Despd
9/8/95

(40—4,000—14-3-95.)

3

ஜூ 6.16

30.4.95 No 999 W
31.1.95 - 229 W
11.5.94 - 1177-8 W
12.3.94 . 690 W
2.2.94 . 486 C
22.12.93 . 3334-35 W
17.7.93 - 2631 C
17.7.93 - 1853 W

Road metal, Kurnool Dist

No. 1960-61 W 29th Aug 1895

INDEXED

from Athmakur to Nrol: Kuntla

5635

பொ. ப. புது எண். 26

——————— துறை.

குறிப்பிட்டவர் பொறுப்பிலிருக்கும் பதிவுக கட்டினின்றும் எடுக்கப்பட்ட தாள்.

அச்சு / பல்வகை / வாலாயம் எண் ———————, தேதி ——————— 19 .

எடுத்த தேதி.	எதன் பொருட்டு:			தாள் எடுக்கும் எழுத்தர் அல்லது சிற்றெழுத்தர் சுருக்கொப்பம்.	திருப்பிக் கொடுத்த தேதி.	சிற்றெழுத்தரின் சுருக்கொப்பம்.
	துறை.	நடப்பு எண்	ஆண்டு			

ஓ 6.16.

3

30.4.85 [illegible]
36.1.85 - [illegible]

[illegible]

பொ. ப. புத். எண். 24

குறிப்பிட்டவர் பொறுப்பிலிருக்கும் பதிவுக் கட்டிலின்றும் எடுக்கப்பட்ட தாள். ______ துறை.

அரசு / பல்வகை / வாரியம் எண் 1959 W தேதி ௮-௮-1895 198 .

எடுத்த தேதி.	எதன் பொருட்டு:			தாள் எடுக்கும் எழுத்தர் அல்லது சிற்றெழுத்தர் கையொப்பம்.	திருப்பிக் கொடுத்த தேதி.	சிற்றெழுத்தரின் கையொப்பம்.
	துறை.	நடப்பு எண்	ஆண்டு.			
	16-9-83	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
		Reply [illegible]				

from Athmakur to [illegible]
K. H.

8th August 1895, No. 1957 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 10th June 1895, No. 1385 W., Public Works.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

In accordance with the instructions contained in G.O., No. 1385 W. of 10th June 1895, I have inspected the spoil banks which it is proposed to remove, and have discussed the question with the Superintending Engineer, the Collector and the Chairman of the Municipality of Bezwada.

2. The result of this discussion has been to make it clear that the re-distribution contemplated in paragraph 2 of the G.O. quoted cannot be carried out; the area between the spoil banks has been laid out in regular plots and roads within the area have been constructed by the Municipality. Some of the land purchased in the first instance from the Municipality by the Court of Wards has been resold to private purchasers, and it would be practically impossible to cancel these sales and re-allot the area.

3. It is therefore certain that the direct return which could be obtained for the outlay incurred in removing the spoil banks would be limited to the value of the ground on which they now stand, and this value, from the position and shape of the land, would be but small; in point of fact, the only possible purchasers would be the holders of the plots now fronting on the spoil banks; in the majority of cases the Court of Wards.

4. From the information furnished to me at Bezwada, it appears that the demand for land in the neighbourhood is at present by no means so large as was expected, and taking all the facts into consideration, I do not think that more than a very insignificant fraction of the cost of clearance would be recovered by the sale of the land.

5. The Municipality are not willing to bear any portion of the cost of this clearance, which must, if carried out at all, be carried out from Provincial Funds for the benefit of the town on sanitary or æsthetic grounds.

6. I am unable to see that such grounds exist at all, or that if they exist, they are sufficiently strong to justify an expenditure of more than Rs. 50,000. The obstruction which the banks offer to the perflation of air is not a very serious evil; the less so as there already exists gaps in them at various places. Moreover, it appears that the earth of which they are formed is in considerable demand for the manufacture of bricks and tiles; a very appreciable portion of them has already been removed for this purpose, and the consumption would become much more rapid if building operations to any large extent were undertaken in the immediate neighbourhood.

7. In point of fact, it may be said that if houses in any number are built on the area between the banks, the latter will gradually disappear; if not, their existence is of no consequence.

8. On full consideration of all the circumstances, I am decidedly of opinion that there is no sufficient reason for incurring expenditure in the removal of these banks, and that for the present at all events, the subject may be allowed to drop; in this opinion the Collector concurs.

9. In connection with this subject the question of the location of the new Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry requires to be considered; a site for this building and for a new Sub-Judge's Court has been reserved in the area between the banks, and the Collector is of opinion that the extension of the town in this direction will depend largely upon whether these buildings be built upon this site or upon another which has been suggested, close to the existing Tahsildar's cutcherry. The choice of the site therefore becomes a matter of some importance.

10. Major Baddeley is opposed to the adoption of the Arundelpet site on account of the nature of the soil, but after inspection of the ground and examination of the levels, I do not consider that the engineering objections are very strong; the site looks bad at present, because it is undrained and after rain becomes waterlogged; but there would be no difficulty in properly draining it, and then the objections to the site would be reduced to the single one of cost; a building here would cost rather more than one on the other site on account of the cost of drainage, and because it would probably require rather deeper foundations and a higher basement than one on the other site.

11. A further addition to the cost might be caused by the necessity for the construction of a subsidiary jail which would not be required if the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry were built near that of the Tahsildar, but might be (the Collector is not certain on this point) if it were built at Arundelpet.

12. I do not think, however, that this question of cost should be allowed to weigh against considerations of administrative convenience, and the site may therefore be chosen with regard to these considerations alone.

13. On this question there appears to be some difference of opinion, the Collector being very strongly in favour of the Arundelpet site, while the Chairman of the Municipality is in favour of that near the Taluk cutcherry; the matter is not one for this department, or on which I am prepared to express an opinion.,

14. The construction of two other public buildings has been proposed, namely a Municipal hospital, a site for which has been reserved somewhat higher up than that for the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry, and a church which it is proposed to construct at the nose formed by the junction of the two banks. The latter of these certainly, and the former probably, would require the removal of a portion of the bank in the immediate neighbourhood, but in each case such removal may be dealt with separately as part of the cost of construction of the building. The Sanitary Commissioner should, I think, be consulted as to the proposed site for the hospital.

15. The whole of Arundelpet is, at present, entirely unfit for the construction of dwelling houses on account of the absence of surface drainage, and the Municipality must be prepared for some expenditure, which need not be very heavy in this direction, an expenditure to which it need not object as it has received good prices for the land.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Order—dated 8th August 1895, No. 1957 W., Public Works.

Under the circumstances stated by the Chief Engineer, the Government is not prepared to incur expenditure in the removal of the spoil banks in question. Should it be necessary to remove any portion of them in connection with the construction of public buildings, the cost of such removal will be included in the estimates for the buildings.

2. The question of the site for the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry, Sub-Magistrate's Court and Municipal hospital will be considered in the departments concerned.

3. In the meantime the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, will submit as soon as possible alternative estimates for the construction of the first-named building on the two sites proposed, noting clearly the additional expenditure which will be required if the construction of a subsidiary jail is necessary.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
" Revenue Department.
" Local and Municipal Department.
" Judicial Department.

Exd. A. Streeramooloo.
Spare Copies

25.6.16

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 8th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 8th Aug. 1895, No. 1957 W.

AUGUST.

Spoil Banks

Declining to incur expenditure in the removal of — between the head of the Ellore and Ryves' canals at Bezwada, referring to the departments concerned the question of the site for certain proposed buildings and calling for estimates for the construction of the Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at the station.

P. W. 2

Note by the Chief Engineer,
P. W. Department:—

In accordance with the instructions contained in G.O. No. 1385 W of 10th June 1895 I have inspected the spoil banks which it is proposed to remove, and have discussed the question with the Superintending Engineer, the Collector, and the Chairman of the Municipality of Bezvada.

2. The result of this discussion has been to make it clear that the redistribution contemplated in para 2 of the G.O. quoted cannot be carried out; the area between the spoil banks has been laid out in regular plots and roads within the area have been constructed by the Municipality. Some of the land purchased in the first instance from the

25.6.16.

the Municipality by the Court of Wards has been resold to private purchasers, and it would be practically impossible to cancel these sales and reallot the area.

3. It is therefore certain that the direct return which could be obtained for the outlay incurred in removing the spoil banks would be limited to the value of the ground on which they now stand, and this value, from the position and ~~slope~~ shape of the land would be but small; in point of fact the only possible purchasers would be the holders of the plots now fronting on the spoil banks; in the majority of cases, the Court of Wards.

4. From the information furnished to me at Bezwada it appears that the demand for land in the neighbourhood is

is at present by no means so large as was expected, and taking all the facts into consideration I do not think that more than a very insignificant fraction of the cost of clearance would be recovered by the sale of the land.

5. The Municipality are not willing to bear any portion of the cost of this clearance, which must, if carried out at all, be carried out from Provincial Funds for the benefit of the town on sanitary or aesthetic grounds.

6. I am unable to see that such grounds exist at all, or that if they exist they are sufficiently strong to justify an expenditure of more than Rs 50,000. The obstruction which the banks ~~form~~ offer to the perflation of air is not a very serious evil; the less so as there already

already exist gaps in them at various places. Moreover it appears that the earth of which they are formed is in considerable demand for the manufacture of bricks and tiles; a very appreciable portion of them has already been removed for this purpose, and the consumption would become much more rapid if building operations to any large extent were undertaken in the immediate neighbourhood.

7 In point of fact it may be said that if houses in any number are built on the area between the banks, the latter will gradually disappear; if not, their existence is of no consequence.

8 On full consideration of all the circumstances I am decidedly of opinion that there is no sufficient

reason

reason for incurring expenditure in the removal of these banks, and that for the present at all events, the subject may be allowed to drop; in this opinion the Collector concurs.

9 In connection with this subject the question of the location of the new Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry requires to be considered; a site for this building and for a new Sub Judge's Court has been reserved in the area between the banks, and the Collector is of opinion that the extension of the town in this direction will depend largely upon whether these buildings be built upon this site or upon another which has been suggested, close to the existing Tahsildar's Cutcherry. The choice of the site therefore

becomes

28.6.16.

becomes a matter of some importance.

10. Major Baddeley is opposed to the adoption of the Arundelpet site on account of the nature of the soil, but after inspection of the ground and examination of the levels, I do not consider that the engineering objections are very strong; the site looks bad at present because it is undrained and after rain becomes waterlogged; but there would be no difficulty in properly draining it, and then the objections to the site would be reduced to the ~~simpler~~ single one of cost; a building here would cost rather more than one on the other site on account of the cost of drainage, and because it would probably require rather deeper foundations and a higher basement than one on the other site.

11. A further addition to the cost might be caused by the necessity for the construction of a subsidiary Jail which would not be required if the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry were built near that of the Tahsildar, but might be (the Collector is not certain on this point) if it were built at Arundelpet.

12. I do not think however that this question of cost should be allowed to weigh against considerations of administrative convenience, and the site may therefore be chosen with regard to these considerations alone.

13. On this question there appears to be some difference of opinion, the Collector being very strongly in favor of the Arundelpet site, while the Chairman of

25.6.16.

of the Municipality is in favor of that near the Taluq Cutcherry; the matter is not one for this Department, or on which I am prepared to express an opinion.

14. The construction of two other public buildings has been proposed, namely a municipal hospital, a site for which has been reserved somewhat higher up than that for the Deputy Tahsildars Cutcherry and a Church which it is proposed to construct at the nose formed by the junction of the two banks. The latter of these certainly, and the former probably, would require the removal of a portion of the bank in the immediate neighbourhood but in each case such removal may be dealt with separately as part of the cost of construction of

of the building. The Sanitary Commissioner should I think be consulted as to the proposed site for the Hospital.

15. The whole of Arundelpet is at present entirely unfit for the construction of dwelling houses on account of the absence of surface drainage, and the Municipality must be prepared for some expenditure, which need not be very heavy, in this direction, an expenditure to which it need not object as it has received good prices for the land.

EW.

23-7

CNB
26/7 55

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 1957 W, 8th August 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

G.O. No. 1385 W d/ 10-June 1895. ~~READ the following~~ READ again

Read also

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D d/ 23rd July 1895

KS 2/8/95

JS 3/8

w/6 copy

Under the circumstances stated by the Chief Engineer the Government is not prepared to view expenditure in the removal of the spoil banks in question. Should it be necessary to remove any portion of them in connection with the construction of public buildings the cost of such removal will be included in the estimates for the buildings.

2. The question of the site for the Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry, Sub-Magistrate's Court and Municipal Hospital will

(40—1,000—4-2-95.)

will be considered in the Departments concerned.

3. In the meantime the Supdg: Engineer II Circle will submit as soon as possible alternative estimates for the construction of the first named building on the two sites proposed, noting clearly the additional expenditure which will be required if the construction of a subsidiary Jail is necessary.

227

28/7/95

CWBS 25/7/95

26/7/95

~~(3) H.E. the Governor~~

~~(1) The Hon. Mr. Bliss~~ C.I.E.

~~(2) The Hon Mr Grose~~

Spoil banks at Bezwada

The Hon the Chief Secretary } for favour
The Hon the Revenue Secty } of considerations –

DESPATCHED
20 AUG. 95

S. E. II Circle ✓
Rev. Dept ✓
L. & Mun. Dept ✓
D 25 Judl. Dept ✓

INDEXED

June