5569

Govt. of (1895) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

14

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 2/8/ 1895, No. 1923W.

materials

=

The sale of the — of the old Taluk office building at Masulipatam, Sanctioned.

—

90/4

12/8

Local and Municipal Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

From the Public Works Department, dated 10th July 1895, No. 2249C, transferring letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. dated 29th June 1895, No. 252 Dr, requesting early reply to the reference regarding the excessive tax assessed on the goods shed at Tuticorin.

Order, 18th July 1895, No. 1134 M. Mis.

The Collector of Tinnevelly will be requested to expedite the submission of his remarks, as called for in endorsement No. 725 dated 23rd May 1895.

(True Extract)

W. Francis

Ag: ~~Assistant~~ Under Secretary

for Chief Secretary.

To

The Collector of Tinnevelly.

Sent " Public Works Department.

5569

Govt. of (1895) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

14

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 2/8/ 1895, No. 1923 W.

Materials

The Sale of the — of the old Taluk office building at Masulipatam, sanctioned.

90/4

u/8

---

[25]
,000—23-5-95.

Local and Municipal Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Public Works Department, dated 10th July 1895, No. 2249C, transferring letter from the Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle, to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. dated 29th June 1895, No. 252 Dr, requesting early reply to the reference regarding the excessive tax assessed on the goods shed at Tuticorin.

Order, 18th July 1895, No. 1134 M. Mis.

The Collector of Tinnevelly will be requested to expedite the submission of his remarks, as called for in endorsement No. 725 dated 23rd May 1895.

(True Extract)

W. Francis

Ag: Under ~~Assistant~~ Secretary
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Collector of Tinnevelly.
Sent " Public Works Department. ✓

Govt. of 1895 Madras.

Local and Municipal Department.

Rd 23-7-95

To

The Public Works Department.

No. 1920 W. of 1st August 1895

Recorded.

Recorded

CKRS
25/7 95

Proceedings, dated 18th July 1895.
No. 1134.

Subject.

Assessment

Requesting the Collector of Tinnevelly to expedite the submission of his remarks in connection with the —— on the goods shed at Tuticorin.

No. 2249 C of 10-7-95
814 C of 4-3-95

21/95 W

Cn

O.L.3.245
R 29/7

Govt. of Madras.
Public. Department.
No. 552 Pub. of 5th July 1895

Order of 5th July 1895 No. 552 Public

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat in Continuation of G.O. of 31st July 1894, No. 560 and to all Heads of Depts under the Chief Secretariat for guidance.

2 The Govt. trusts that the instructions of the Govt. of India will receive particular attention from all officers Concerned. The precautions mentioned in paragraph 3 should invariably be adopted.

3 With reference to paragraph 6, it will be sufficient if the Department of the Sectt. Concerned Communicates the fact to other Departments, and to Heads of Departments under it. In Cases in which the Dismissal has been notified in the Gazette no further intimation need be given.

(True Extract)
W. Francis
for Chief Secy.

20

" " " Sectt.

P.T.O

Govt. of Madras.

Public Department.

No 552 Pub. 5th July 1895

Order on 5th July 1895 No 552 Public

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat in continuation of G.O. 21st July 1894 No 560 and to all Heads of Depts and to the Chief Secretariat for guidance.

2. The Govt think that the instructions of the Govt of India [illegible] receive particular attention from all officers concerned. The precautions mentioned in paragraph 3 should invariably be adopted.

3. With reference to paragraph 6 it is [illegible] the Department of the Secretariat concerned communicated the [illegible] to the Departments and to Heads of Departments [illegible] in which the [illegible] has been noticed in the Gazette no further information need be given.

(True Extract)

[illegible]

To
The Chief Eng for Irrigation

[illegible]

Govt. of Madras.

Public Works. Department.

Order thereon No. 1885 W., 30.7.1895

Communicated to officers of the P. W. D.

(Sd). C. H. B. Bunton.
Under Secy to Govt P.W.D.

To
The Chief Engr. for Irrigation
" Cong. Engr. for Railways
" Supdg Engrs
" S of Works Periyar Project
" Cong. Architect to Govt
" Exar. P. W. Accts
" Exar. Ry. Accts.

Govt. of Madras

875

Public Works Department

Public Works P 1885 (30.20.7.85)

Letter [illegible]

Communicates [illegible]

[illegible] (57) [illegible]

To

The Chief Engr. for Irrigation

Cons. Engr. for Railway

Supdg. Engrs.

[illegible]

---

general distribution.]

Circular No. 5 Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

Principles to be observed with regard to giving Government officers necessary information as to dismissals of public servants.

Simla, the 5th July 1895.

The Governments of Madras and Bombay, Public Works Department, General and Railway Branches.
The Governments of Bengal, the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and the Punjab.
The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident at Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Rajputana, Central India, and Baluchistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Railways, Calcutta, Lucknow, and Assam.
The Director General of Telegraphs.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments Administrations and Officers named on the margin, for information and guidance.

By order,

G. M. Walker

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. $\frac{\text{10 Public}}{917-926}$, dated 15th June 1895.

From—J. P. HEWETT, Esq., C.I.E., Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India, Home Dept.

To
- The Chief Secretaries to the Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, the North-Western Provinces, and Oudh and the Punjab.
- The Chief Commissioners of the Central Provinces, Burma, Assam and Coorg.
- The Resident at Hyderabad.

In the Circular letter from this Department, No. $\frac{\text{11 Public}}{1085-1094}$, dated the 21st June 1894, the Government of India enquired what rule was observed with regard to giving Government officers necessary information as to dismissals of public servants; whether any difference was made between cases where re-employment is prohibited and where it is not; and whether in any case of dismissal, in which it is decided to issue a public notification, the cause which led to the dismissal, is specified. The replies received to the letter of 21st June show that an uniform practice is not observed in the different Provinces in dealing with this matter. The Governor General in Council accordingly deems it desirable to state the general principles which should be observed in disposing of such cases.

2. In the first place His Excellency in Council desires to direct attention to the distinction that exists between the removal or discharge, and the dismissal, of a public servant. Removal from office for such a cause as unfitness for the duties of the office need not usually entail any further consequences. It ought not to bar re-appointment to another office for the duties of which the person may be suited, and it should not be accompanied by any subsidiary orders which would operate as such a bar or otherwise prejudice the person in question. Removal should be the penalty in all cases where it is not thought necessary to bar future re-employment under Government.

3. In cases of dismissal, on the other hand, the effect of the order should be to preclude the dismissed officer from being re-employed. Ordinary cases of the dismissal of non-gazetted officers need not be notified in the Government Gazette. As a precaution against the inadvertent re-employment of men who may have been dismissed, it would be sufficient to rule that officers should ascertain whether an applicant for a post has been in Government service before, and should refer to his previous employer if the circumstances connected with his discharge are not clear. The applicant should be required to produce a copy of his character book or other record of service, and a person who succeeds in obtaining employment by the concealment of his antecedents would obviously merit dismissal on the true facts being discovered. The sanction of the Local Government or Administration should always be required to the re-employment of persons dismissed.

4. The dismissal of public servants should, the Governor General in Council considers, be notified in the Gazette only in the following cases, *viz.*, (1) when it is necessary to notify the public of the removal from service of an officer, whether because his appointment was previously gazetted or from any other cause, and (2) when it is specially desired to exclude from re-employment in the service of Government a public servant who has been dismissed for a heinous offence, such as fraud or falsification of accounts.

5. The reasons for the dismissal of a public officer should not be stated in the notification regarding his dismissal even in cases in which a conviction has been obtained in a Criminal Court. It will be sufficient to announce in the case of any person, whose dismissal will be notified in accordance with the principle laid down in paragraph 4 of this Circular, that the Government has dispensed with his services, except in those cases in which the cause of dismissal constitutes a disqualification under the terms of the law regulating the tenure of a particular appointment, and it is for this reason necessary to couple with the announcement of the dismissal a statement of the grounds upon which it has been ordered.

6. The Government of India leave it to the Local Governments to make such arrangements as they think necessary for securing that officers serving under them are informed what Government servants, other than those whose dismissals have been gazetted, have been dismissed. There is not before the Government of India sufficient evidence to show that it is necessary to communicate such information between Provinces, if the precautions above mentioned are taken by officers when making appointments to vacant posts.

No. 10 Public/930.

Copy forwarded to the Foreign / Finance / Military | Public Works / Revenue and Agriculture / Legislative Departments for information. 35.C

Accd: 30-7-95

No. .

Copy forwarded to the Superintendent of Port Blair, Surgeon-General with the Government of India, Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of India, Secretary to the Board of Examiners, Registrar of the Calcutta University, Bishop's Chaplain, Registrar* of the High Court, Calcutta, and Officer in charge of the Records of the Government of India, for information and guidance.

* To be done by usual printed letter.

Rec 10-7-95

By order,

H. LUSON,

Offg. Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

1895

No. 1918 W. 1st August 1895

Recorded in the reference to G.O. 1885 Wd 30th July 1895

G. C. Press, Simla.—No. 644 P. W. D.—9-7-95.—1473.

P. 228/A

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

9TH JULY 1895, No. 202, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. E. O'CONOR, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 25th June 1895, No. 3002-S.R.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 119 (Marine), dated the 24th April 1895, requesting sanction to the issue of a notification altering the limits of the port of Masulipatam.

2. I am to say that, under section 5 (1) of the Indian Port Act (X of 1889), the Governor-General in Council sanctions the alterations in the limits proposed. I am to suggest that they may be notified in the form enclosed.

ORDER—dated 9th July 1895, No. 202, Marine.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes. Nothing in it shall give the Marine Department any power to interfere with the rights of the Public Works Department over the limits occupied by the tidal lock, the canal and the canal banks, and it shall be open to the Public Works Department to erect cranes or carry on work of any kind within the abovementioned limits without reference to the Marine Department.

NOTIFICATION.

Whereas the limits of the port of Masulipatam in the district of Kistna were declared by notification published on page 443 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 6th August 1878, Part I, and whereas it is expedient to alter those limits.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Ports Act (X of 1889) and with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Governor of Fort St. George in Council is pleased to alter the limits of the said port and to declare that the precise extent of these limits shall in future be as follows, namely :—

North.—A line drawn due east from the boundary pillar erected near the bungalow at Tavisepudi to 10 fathoms of water.

South.—A line drawn due east from Point Divi to 10 fathoms of water.

West.—A line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the pillar at Tavisepudi to the northern head of the Masulipatam backwater. The Masulipatam backwater and river with all its arms to the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the shores thereof as are within 50 yards of high water mark at spring tides; also the Bezwada-Bunder canal from the easternmost point of the tidal lock as far as the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the land on each side as is within 50 yards of the outer toe of the bank; and a line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the southern head of the Masulipatam backwater to Point Divi.

East.—A line drawn from the eastern extremities of the abovedescribed north and south limits.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.
„ Presidency Port Officer.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Revenue Department.
Gazette.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

582

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

MARINE.

No. 1917 W d/ 1st August 1895

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer II Circle in continuation of G.O. No. 1313 W d/ 1st June 1895.

Sd. Ch. 6.8.95
DW

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 9th July 1895, No. 202.

JULY.

Port of Masulipatam.

Directing the publication in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes of a notification altering the limits of the —.

S. E. II Circle
Ch. E. for I., with copy of
G.o. No. 1313 W of 1895

9TH JULY 1895, No. 202, MARINE.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From J. E. O'CONOR, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 25th June 1895, No. 3002-S.R.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 119 (Marine), dated the 24th April 1895, requesting sanction to the issue of a notification altering the limits of the port of Masulipatam.

2. I am to say that, under section 5 (1) of the Indian Port Act (X of 1889), the Governor-General in Council sanctions the alterations in the limits proposed. I am to suggest that they may be notified in the form enclosed.

ORDER—dated 9th July 1895, No. 202, Marine.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes. Nothing in it shall give the Marine Department any power to interfere with the rights of the Public Works Department over the limits occupied by the tidal lock, the canal and the canal banks, and it shall be open to the Public Works Department to erect cranes or carry on work of any kind within the abovementioned limits without reference to the Marine Department.

NOTIFICATION.

Whereas the limits of the port of Masulipatam in the district of Kistna were declared by notification published on page 443 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 6th August 1878, Part I, and whereas it is expedient to alter those limits.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Ports Act (X of 1889) and with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Governor of Fort St. George in Council is pleased to alter the limits of the said port and to declare that the precise extent of these limits shall in future be as follows, namely :—

North.—A line drawn due east from the boundary pillar erected near the bungalow at Tavisepudi to 10 fathoms of water.

South.—A line drawn due east from Point Divi to 10 fathoms of water.

West.—A line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the pillar at Tavisepudi to the northern head of the Masulipatam backwater. The Masulipatam backwater and river with all its arms to the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the shores thereof as are within 50 yards of high water mark at spring tides; also the Bezwada-Bunder canal from the easternmost point of the tidal lock as far as the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the land on each side as is within 50 yards of the outer toe of the bank; and a line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the southern head of the Masulipatam backwater to Point Divi.

East.—A line drawn from the eastern extremities of the abovedescribed north and south limits.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.
„ Presidency Port Officer.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Revenue Department.
Gazette.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

(28)

582

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.

MARINE.

№ 1917 W d/ 1st August 1895

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer II circle in continuation of G.O. № 1313 W d/ 1st June 1895.

(Sd) C H B B
D.W

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., 9th July 1895, No. 202.

JULY.

Port of Masulipatam.

Directing the publication in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes of a notification altering the limits of the —.

S. E. II circle
Ch. E. for I, with copy of
G.O. № 1313 W d/ 1895

9th July 1895, No. 202, Marine.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From J. E. O'CONOR, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 25th June 1895, No. 3002-S.R.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 119 (Marine), dated the 24th April 1895, requesting sanction to the issue of a notification altering the limits of the port of Masulipatam.

2. I am to say that, under section 5 (1) of the Indian Port Act (X of 1889), the Governor-General in Council sanctions the alterations in the limits proposed. I am to suggest that they may be notified in the form enclosed.

Order—dated 9th July 1895, No. 202, Marine.

The following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes. Nothing in it shall give the Marine Department any power to interfere with the rights of the Public Works Department over the limits occupied by the tidal lock, the canal and the canal banks, and it shall be open to the Public Works Department to erect cranes or carry on work of any kind within the abovementioned limits without reference to the Marine Department :—

NOTIFICATION.

Whereas the limits of the port of Masulipatam in the district of Kistna were declared by notification published on page 443 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 6th August 1878, Part I, and whereas it is expedient to alter those limits.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 5 of the Indian Ports Act (X of 1889) and with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Governor of Fort St. George in Council is pleased to alter the limits of the said port and to declare that the precise extent of these limits shall in future be as follows, namely :—

North.—A line drawn due east from the boundary pillar erected near the bungalow at Tavisepudi to 10 fathoms of water.

South.—A line drawn due east from Point Divi to 10 fathoms of water.

West.—A line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the pillar at Tavisepudi to the northern head of the Masulipatam backwater. The Masulipatam backwater and river with all its arms to the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the shores thereof as are within 50 yards of high water mark at spring tides; also the Bezwada-Bunder canal from the easternmost point of the tidal lock as far as the westernmost point of the Fort with so much of the land on each side as is within 50 yards of the outer toe of the bank; and a line parallel to the shore and 50 yards above high water mark at spring tides drawn from the southern head of the Masulipatam backwater to Point Divi.

East.—A line drawn from the eastern extremities of the abovedescribed north and south limits.

(True Extract.)

W Francis
for Chief Secretary.

To the Collector of Kistna.
„ Presidency Port Officer.
„ Public Works Department.
„ Revenue Department.
Gazette.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.

MARINE.

1313 wd/1-6-95

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 9th July 1895, No. 202.

JULY.

Port of Masulipatam.

Directing the publication in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes of a notification altering the limits of the —.

---

(35)

822

D.S.C

Ans: 22.7.95

6

No. 1917 W of 1st August 1895

Communicated to the Supt. Engr. II Circle in continuation of G.O. No. 1313 W of 1 June 1895.

C.H.R.B. 2/7/95

INDEXED

No. 378

Cr

C.E. II circle with 3 spare copies

Ch. E for Irr. with copy of G.O. No. 1313 W 1895

O.L.S. 26/3
R 29/7

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

No. 246 M.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
CIVIL WORKS.
Miscellaneous.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department.

Simla, the 12th July 1895.

Sir,

The Accountant General, Public Works Department, having brought to the notice of the Government of India that up to the end of March 1895 expenditure to the extent of Rs. 12,476 had been incurred from Provincial Funds, Madras, in excess of the estimate amounting to Rs. 43,53[illegible] for the construction of a suspension bridge over the Kullar River and that a sum of Rs. 97,631 had been expended without estimate from excluded Local Funds on a Pier at Tuticorin, I am directed to request that steps may be taken to regularise the outlay in question at an early date.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. F. Mackenzie
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying :-
Nil.

Recv: 22.7.95

Received 19/7/95

S228A.
0
25/7 R 1/8

P3

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

No. 1915 W. d/ 1st August 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Govt. of India P.W. Deptt. No. 246 M d/ 12th July 189

Ordered that the Govt. of India be addressed.

H. E. No. 1916 W d/ 1st Aug: 189

To
The Secretary to the Govt.
of India P.W.D.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 246 M, dated 12th July 1895 requesting that ~~to take~~ steps may be taken to regularise, at an early date, the objectionable outlay incurre in connection with the construction of a suspension bridge over the Kullar River and of the Pier at Tuticorin, I am directed to say that the

(40—4,000—14-3-95.)

Supdt. of Kistna and Tanjore " Public Works Department

(28)

5822

…e former work has been completed and a completion report is before this Govt., and that an estimate for …e latter is now under disposal. It will thus be seen that the outlay in both the cases will shortly be regularised and the objection removed.

I have &c.

No. 1915-6 W. of 1st August 1895

CWB 1/8/95

DESPATCHED
1 AUG 95

Local and Municipal Department.

MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

From the Public Works Department, dated 21st June 189 No. 1520 W, passing orders on statement of requirements un "Incorporated" and "Excluded" Local Funds for incomplete wor of 1894-95, and for new works proposed to be undertaken in the current official year.

Order, 15th July 1895, No. 1243 L. Mis

Communicated to the District Boards concerned with the request that the necessary allotment of funds may be sanctioned and the fact reported to Government in view to the Accountant-General being authorized to place the amounts at the disposal of the Public Works Department.

(True Extract)
W. Francis

Ag. Under ~~Assistant~~ Secretary
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Presidents of the District Boards of Kistna and Tanjore
Sent " Public Works Department

Govt. of (1895) Madras.

Local and Municipal Department.

To

The Public Works Department

Proceedings, dated 15th July 1895,
No. 1243 L-Mn

Subject.

Incomplete works

Communicating to the District Board concerned with remarks G.O. P.W. D. passing orders on state-ments of requirements under "incorporated and included" Local funds for —— of 1894-95 and for new works proposed to be undertaken in the current official year.

No. 1520 W d/ 21-6-95

Recd. 22.7.95
Rd 23rd

No. 1914, W d/ 1st August 1895

B

Communicated to the officers concerned in continuation of G.O. No. 1520 W dated 21 June 1895.

No 1813
26/7/95

B

DESPATCH
INDEXED
95
J.C. 3/8

S.Es II & V Circles
& Exar P.W. Accts

O.L.S. 247

Educational Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper :—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 2nd July 1895, No. 2181C, on letter from the Superintending Engineer Vth Circle, dated 23rd June 1895, No. 230 M.

~

Order, dated 13th July 1895, No. 583.

M. Soosai Pillai will accordingly be exempted from passing the Handwriting Test until the results of the next Examination are announced.

(True Extract.)

Lionel Davidson
17/7
Ag: Under Secretary
for Chief Secretary

To

The Public Works department.

[G./15]

Govt. of {18 } Madras.

P.W. CURRENT 1981 5822

To

The Public Works Department

Ans: 22-7-95

No. 1913 W. of 1st August 1895

B Communicated

to the Supdg: Engineer

V Circle

Proceedings, dated 13th July 1895,
No. 583.

Subject.

Exempting M. Soosai Pillai, Ag. clerk in the office of the Executive Engineer, Tanjore Division from passing the handwriting test until the announcement of the results of the next Examination.

No 2181 C.d/2-7-95

CWS 25/7/95

DESPATCHED INDEXED

S.E. V Circle

Exr. P.W. Acct.

O.L.S. 243

21st June 1895, No. 525, Educational.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., dated 5th Dec. 1893, No. 890, Educational.
" " 23rd May 1894 " 358 "

Read—also the following papers :—

From the Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th January 1895, No. 225.

With reference to G.O., Educational, No. 358, of the 23rd May 1894, I have the honour to submit copy of a letter from the Principal, College of Engineering, No. 317-C., dated 28th August last, and to state that I agree with him in thinking that Government servants who wish to obtain college certificates as draughtsmen should be required to attend the college, go through the prescribed course and appear for the final examination before the College Board of Examiners. To allow such men to appear for the final examination without going through the college course would, as pointed out by Lieutenant-Colonel Smart, seriously damage the prospects of the draughtsmen passing out of the college. Further, it seems anomalous to award college certificates to persons who have not attended and gone through the prescribed college course. Masters in Government and other schools desirous of securing trained teachers' certificates are required to undergo training in a training institution before they qualify for such certificates, and the same principle should govern the case of Government servants seeking college certificates as draughtsmen or surveyors.

2. It seems to me that the proper course would be to provide for the examination of such candidates under the Government Technical Examination Notification.

Enclosure.

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. W. SMART, Acting Principal, College of Engineering, Madras, to the Director of Public Instruction, dated 28th August 1894, No. 317-C.

With reference to your No. 5506, dated 8th June 1894, I have the honour to state that I am of opinion that Government servants who wish to come up for the examination of draughtsmen in order to obtain certificates should be sent to the college for the prescribed course, their fees and maintenance being paid by Government if they consider this course necessary. To allow men not educated at the college to appear for examination, unless such cases were very few and far between, would seriously damage the prospects of the draughtsmen passing out of the college.

No. 224.

Referred to the Public Works Department for favour of remarks (with a copy of G.O., dated 23rd May 1894, No. 358, Educational).

Fort St. George,
5th February 1895.

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Under Secretary.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 8th February 1895.

My opinion is absolutely and entirely opposed to that of the Principal of the College of Engineering and of the Director of Public Instruction.

2. I can see no reason whatever for compelling persons who wish to attend an examination at the college to go through a course of instruction at the same institution; the examination is intended to prove the presence or absence of certain qualifications without any reference to the manner in which they are obtained.

3. I do not consider that there is any analogy between the case of teachers and that of draughtsmen, and I am bound to add that I do not consider the argument that the proposed concession would damage the prospects of draughtsmen passing out of the college to be worthy of serious discussion.

4. The dearth of draughtsmen qualified for the work of this department is a very serious evil and every encouragement should be given to men desirous of obtaining the necessary qualifications, without reference to the source from which they are obtained.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

No. 537-C.

Transferred to the Educational Department with advertence to its endorsement, No. 224, dated 5th February 1895, and the referred papers returned.

12th February 1895. (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Col., R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Endorsement, in the Public Works Department, dated 10th May 1895, No. 140-D.

Order—dated 21st June 1895, No. 525, Educational.

In G.O., dated 5th December 1893, No. 890, Educational, the Government resolved to amend the rules of the College of Engineering so as to allow of the grant of modified first and second class draughtsman's certificates to third and second grade draughtsmen in the Public Works Department, but no provision was made for the grant of modified third-class certificates because the intention was merely to enable ex-students of the College of Engineering to qualify for promotion by obtaining certificates of higher classes.

In G.O., dated 23rd May 1894, No. 358, Educational, however, the question was raised whether facilities should not be afforded to enable men who had never been at the college to obtain modified draughtsman's certificates of all classes and the Director of Public Instruction and the Principal of the College were asked to report on the point.

2. The Principal and the Director of Public Instruction are opposed to the grant of modified certificates to men who have never been at the college as they believe that it will seriously affect the prospects of the students of that institution. It is further pointed out by the Director of Public Instruction that it is anomalous to grant college certificates to persons who have not gone through the prescribed course. The chief Engineer combats this view and expresses the opinion that the dearth of draughtsmen qualified for the work of the Public Works Department renders it expedient that every encouragement should be given to men desirous of qualifying themselves for employment. He therefore proposes that men who have not attended the college course should be permitted to appear for the same examination as regular students and should receive similar certificates provided that they pass an equally good examination.

3. This proposal necessitates an alteration in the college rules. At present the maximum number of marks obtainable is 1,000, of which 600 are awarded by the Board of Examiners and 400 by the College staff for work done in the college. Students who obtain 500 marks and a minimum of 36 *per cent.* in each of the seven subjects receive third-class certificates; they are not required to obtain separate *minima* at the examination and can supplement deficiencies thereat by good work in their course at the college. This is an advantage of which outside students are necessarily deprived, and in order, as the Chief Engineer desires, to place both classes of candidates on the same footing, it is essential that separate *minima* should be prescribed for examination marks. Certificates would then be given to all candidates, whether outside men or students in the college, who obtain the requisite *minima* at the examination. The necessity of retaining the system of giving marks for term work appears open to question, though it might be considered desirable to mark the superiority of a college student who had obtained high term marks by noting the fact on his certificate.

4. The Government is not prepared to agree entirely with the Chief Engineer but has no objection to giving his plan a trial for two years. In order that this may be done, the Director of Public Instruction will now be requested to report how the examination marks should be distributed, what separate *minima* he considers should be adopted, and whether term marks should continue to be granted.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction,
„ Public Works Department.

Edx. A. Raitt

582

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

EDUCATIONAL.

Issued

Recd.   Encl.

G.O., 21st June 1895, No. 525.

JUNE.

Draughtsmen's Certificates.

Passing orders with regard to the examination for — of men who have not been at the College of Engineering; and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to report regarding the distribution of examination marks, &c.

No. 1912 W. 1st August 1895

Communicated to Supty. Engineers for information.

(Sd.) J P
W

Supty. Engineers
C A to Govt.
S of W. P. P.
Ch. E for I.
Exr. P W A

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read—the following papers:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), dated 12th June 1895, Forest No. 826, Mis.

Read—the following letter from E. D. M. HOOPER, Esq., Acting Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, dated 28th May 1895, No. 304 C.:—

Referring to Board's Proceedings, Forest No. 662, Mis., of 15th instant, forwarding Government sanction to expend Rs. 15,785 on the construction of a Conservator's residence at Waltair, I have the honour to bring to notice that the Conservator's salary is not necessarily Rs. 1,350 per mensem as recorded by the Superintending Engineer in his letter given in the Proceedings, and the Board will, I think, bear me out in saying that this circle will ordinarily be a third-grade charge on Rs. 1,100 a month.

I have not seen the approved plan, but as an officer who may probably be in charge of the circle, I most respectfully but strongly protest against the construction of a large and expensive residence which I may be personally called upon to pay rent for at the rate of 10 per cent. on my yearly salary and while only in the station for six months in each year, and I would ask as a favour that my remarks may be brought to the notice of Government.

Resolution—dated 12th June 1895, Forest No. 826, Mis.

Submitted to Government with reference to its Order, dated 6th May 1895, No. 1791, Revenue.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Acting Secretary.

No. 988 R., Revenue.

Transferred to the Public Works Department with reference to G.O. No. 920 W., dated 22nd April 1895.

OOTACAMUND,
18th June 1895.

(Signed) W. B. AYLING,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

Endorsement in the Public Works Department, dated 26th June 1895, No. 446 D.
„ „ Revenue Department, „ 9th July „ „ 2714.

given in the Proceedings,

990—4-10-94.

Order—dated 1st August 1895, No. 1911 W., Public Works.

The Government sees no reason to reconsider the amount of the estimate sanctioned in G.O. No. 920 W., dated 22nd April 1895, for a residence for the Conservator of Forests at Waltair.

2. Arrangements will accordingly be made for proceeding with the work, and the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, will apply for an allotment of the amount that can be spent during the current official year.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Revenue Department.
" Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1895} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated August, 1st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 1st Aug. 1895, No. 1911 W.

AUGUST.

Residence for the Conservator of Forests at Waltair

Declining, with remarks, to reconsider the amount of the estimate sanctioned in G.O. No. 920 W., dated 22nd April 1895, for a —.

1,000
27-4-95.

Read the following [illegible]
G.O. dated 12 June 1895 Mis No 826 Forest

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 12 June 1895.

Forest No. 826 Miscellaneous.

15

READ the following letter from E. D. M. Hooper Esquire, Ag. Conservator of Forests, Northern circle, dated 28 May 1895, No. 304 C.

Referring to Board's Proceedings Forest No. 662 miscellaneous of 15 Instant forwarding Government Sanction to expend Rs 15,785 on the construction of a Conservator's residence at Waltair, I have the honor to bring to notice that the Conservator's salary is not necessarily Rs 1350 per mensem as recorded by the Superintending Engineer in his letter given in the Proceedings,

5825

and the Board will, I think, bear me out in saying that this circle will ordinarily be a third grade charge on Rs 1,100 a month.

I have not seen the approved plans, but as an officer who may probably be in charge of the circle I must respectfully but strongly protest against the construction of a large and expensive residence which I may be personally called upon to pay rent for at the rate of 10 per cent on my yearly salary and while only in the station for six months in each year, and I would ask as a favor that my remarks may be brought to the notice of Government.

Resolution

Submitted to Government with reference to its order dated 6 May 1895, No. 1791 Revenue.

(True copy and Extract)

R Ellam
Ag. Secretary

Ord. 5346/55

To

the Secretary to Govt R.D.

25 5.21

~~4757~~
5346/55

No. 2933 W d/ 10.11.93
" 1187 W d/ 12.12.94
" 926 W d/ 22.4.95

Recd: 21.6.55

Endorsement

Transferred to the Public Works Department with reference to G.O. 920 W dated 22 April

Dis. 1
5346/55

1895
REVENUE
Enclosure No. 1
To Current No. 4568

0/216

582

~~Revenue~~ 1895. ~~Dept~~

~~Board of Revenue~~

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

~~Current Number 4324~~

To

The Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department

Recd 19.6.95

Recd 17 June.

Proceedings, dated 12 June 1895.

Forest No. 826 Mis:

Subject.

Submitting to Government letter from the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle objecting to the proposed construction of a large and expensive building at Waltair for the Conservator's residence.

P. T. O

OS 152.

Secy

Dr 1816 20

Endorsement No 988 R

Transferred to the Public Works Department with reference to G.O. No 920 W dated 22nd April 1895

W B Clayson

Ag Under Secy to Govt

Ootacamund

18th June 1895.

17.vi.95

No. 446 D.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

~~MEMORANDUM.~~

Endorsement.

Dated ~~Madras~~ Ootacamund, 26th June 1895.

Endorsement in the Revenue Dept. dated 18th June 1895 on Proceedings No 988 R of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Forest No 826 Mis: dated 12th June 1895.

Referred to the Revenue Dept. for favor of opinion whether, under the circumstances now represented, a less expensive building should be provided. No allotment has been made for the work, and it is not too late to cancel the estimate, if this is desired.

Colonel R.E.,

Secretary to Government, P.W. Dept.

To,

The Revenue Department

with papers in original.

41—2,000—11-3-95.

$\frac{D}{18}$ 10,000—25-3-95.

(1895.)

~~REVENUE DEPARTMENT.~~

~~Current Number. 4568~~

End^t of the ~~From~~

Public Works Dept.

Rec 10.7.95

Dated 26 June

Received 27 "

Enclosures 7

No. 446 D

SUBJECT.

Referring to the Rev: Dept for favour of opinion, Bd. Procs (L.R.) For: Mis: No. $\frac{826}{12\text{-}6\text{-}95}$, whether a less expensive building should be provided at Waltair for the Conservator's residence.

P. T. O

P. W. CURR... No. 5346

ABSTRACT.

1911 W

Encls 3

Recd: 12.7.95

Endorsement Misc: No. 2714

Re-transferred to the Public Works Department with the Hon. Member's notes in original.

W. B. ...

Ag. Under Secy to Govt

Ootacamund
9th July 1895.

B.M.M. 6.VII.95

To The Public Works Dept with notes.

No 446 D d/ 26-6-95
920 W d/ 22-4-95
1187 W d/ 12-5-94
2953 W d/ 15-11-93

D 205. 0 R 31/7

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

$\frac{D}{4}$ 100-7-6-92.

C. No. 4568 of 95

From the Public Works Department

Dated 26th June 1895.

FOR ORDERS.

The P. W. D refers for opinion a letter from Mr E. D. M. Hooper protesting against the estimate of Rs 15,785 sanctioned in G.O. 920* W d/ 22nd April 1895 for the construction of a residence for the Conservator of Forests, Northern Circle, at Waltair. This letter which the Board of Rev: forwarded without any remarks was received in the first instance in the Rev Dept, but as the estimate was sanctioned by the P.W. D without previously making any formal reference like the present, it was, under Endt. No 988 R d/ 18th June 1895 transferred to that Dept. for disposal. The P.W.D now says that no allotment has been made for the work and that it

* G.O. $\frac{1791 \text{ Rev}}{6\text{th May } 1895}$

I don't think any change should ~~be~~ be made

J. G. 5/7

be evident that the estimates cannot be considered to have been ~~pitched~~ fixed too high even in case the Officer's Salary is only Rs 1,100 per mensem.

Mr Hooper's Statement that the Conservator would be in the Station only for six months in the year probably means that he would be away on tour during the remaining period and that he would not require the house during the latter period. Presumably Mr Hooper is a bachelor and would be satisfied with a small house for six months in the year, but it is doubtful whether a married man with a large family would approve of this. ~~Mr~~ Peet, the permanent Conservator ~~are~~ is now on 6 months' private affairs leave and is due only on 19th Oct next. It is probable that he does not share Mr Hooper's views, which if carried out would curtail the size of the house considerably.

No.–W.A.

Attention in this connexion is also solicited to the notes

No, he's not
W.A.

It was thought that a married man would leave behind him his family when he ~~goes~~ went on tours and that he would therefore require the house throughout the year
B.W.M.

notes under G.O. 2359 d/ 27th June 1893, from which it will be seen that the D.F.O's house in South Malabar cost Rs 13,469 and that a house on the Standard plan on which Head Asst Collectors' residences ~~cost~~ were built at a cost of about Rs 10,000 was considered insufficient for ~~the~~ D.F.O of Cuddapah.

The Board has not expressed any opinion on Mr Hooper's letter and orders are solicited as to whether the papers should now be referred to that body. ~~It~~ In the absence of Mr Peet, it does not seem likely that the Board ~~would~~ will be able to submit a complete report.

B.W.M.
28.VI.95

P. T. O.

~~The question is so largely a personal one, that the Board is not likely to be able say anything of value on the matter till Mr Peet's return. What suits one Conservator will not suit another.~~

The matter is not as

for proceeding with the work, and the Supdg Engineer I Circle will apply for an allotment of the amount that can be spent during the current official year.

(Sd) J

CHMS
17/7/95 Lt. 2017

are taking steps to expedite the matter

Sew

W
27 July

CHMS
24/7/95

D.W.

H.E.

Residence for the Conservator of Forests at Waltair.

(The Secretary to Government, Revenue department, for favor of countersignature)

T/B

DESPATCHED
10 AUG. 95

To,
The Revenue dept
" Supdg Engr - I Circle

500-4-10-94.