

31 DEC 1894
ACCOUNTS

No. 615 G. L.

FROM

A. H. Garrett Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE,

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

Enclosures.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 12th December 1894.

SIR,

With reference to your paras 21 & 22
of your Note printed with G.O No 2821 W. dated
6th November 1894 passing orders on the review
of the operations of the general branch of
the Public Works Department during 1893-94
I have the honor to inform you that the sur-
renders accepted on works in Estates under

G.O. No 3001 W. 20-11-93
" 876 W. 30-3-94
" 214 W. 8-1-94
" 414 W. 14-2-94

the Court of Wards vide G.O. noted in the
margin do not appear to have been taken
into account. The net grant on works was

vide No 4216 C
26-12-94

Rs 12849 and the total expenditure as per
my Administration Reports amounted to
Rs 11987 excluding the outlay of Rs 122 incurred
on "Communications repairs" without allotment.
The total lapse was Rs 862 in the three Divi-
sions in which Estate works were carried out-
viz:

	Rs
Ganjam	478
Vizagapatam	188
Godavari Western Dy	196
Total excluding estab ^t charges	862

2. I do not find in my Administration Reports the explanation said to have been given in para 22 to explain the short outlay in the case of Ganjam D^r. But in the statement comparing Original grants and expenditure submitted with my letter N^o 201 L^d dated 29th June 1894, the Executive Engineer's explanation for the lapse of Rs 193 against the final grant of Rs 700 for "Buildings Repairs" was that "additional grant of Rs 200 though applied for on 17-10-93 was not sanctioned till 30-3-94 vide G. O. N^o 876 L^d dated 30-3-94 Hence the lapse" and the remark was clear.

3. As regards the lapse of Rs 196 in the Godavari Western D^r the amount was shown in the statement submitted to you with the letter quoted in para 2 Supra as a saving on completed works & it was thought that the submission of any explanation was unnecessary.

4. The amount of lapse Rs 478 in the Ganjam Division was made up of lapses in the 3 Estates of Aska, Badaguda & Dhakota & as the lapse in each estate when taken separately, was small, no explanation was furnished, & for the same reason explanation was not furnished for the lapse in Vizagapatam D^r.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient S^t

G. M. M. S.

Sup^r Engr^r 1st Circle.

28/5/12

FROM

A. H. Garrett Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED 12th
DESPATCHED 18th } December 1894.
RECEIVED 22nd

No. 615 G L

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

Review of the operations
of the General branch of the
Public Works Dept. during
1893-94. Giving explana-
tions for certain orders
passed on the —

No 4216 C. d 26 Dec. 194

To C

For favor of remarks.

2. The figures quoted in
the review of operations for
1893-94 were taken from the
statements submitted with your
office note No 3922 D/B d 25th
Sept. 194.

S 26

Cm

B

EP WITH

189

1895.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 12 January 1895.

OFFICE NOTE No.

92 D/B

To

W.

Your office note No. 4216 C. of 26th.

December 1894.

The grant and outlay shown in the
statement submitted with my office note
No. 3922 dated 25th. September 1894 are
correct and agree with those booked in
my office as particularized below:-

Grants

Authority.	Works	Establishment.	Total
<u>Ganjam Division</u>			
G.O. No. 1292 W. of 13-5-93	12400	2852	15252
" vide no 2484 W. of 12-7-93	4000	920	4920
" 3001 W. of 20-11-93	-3000	-690	-3690
" 21 W. of 8-1-94	-1000	-230	-1230
" 414 W. of 14-2-94	-100	-23	-123
" 490 W. of 20-2-94	-100	-23	-123
" 876 W. of 30-3-94	500	115	615
Total Ganjam Division.	12700	2921	15621
<u>Godavari Western Division.</u>			
G.O. No. 2236 W. of 31-8-93	2502	575	3077
" 2123 W. of 18-8-93	1612	371	1983
" 3294 W. of 18-12-93	-945	-217	-1162
Total Godavari Western Divn.	3169	729	3898
<u>Vizagapatam Division.</u>			
G.O. No. 2742 W. of 26-10-93	980	225	1205
Total Vizagapatam Division.	980	225	1205
Grand Total	16849	3875	20724

vide no 2484 W.

28-9-93

vide no 216 C

26-12-94

vide no 3605 W.

3-11-93

vide no 1419 C

2-11-94

2 vide no 3524 C

31-10-93

Outlay

Divisions	Works	Establishment.	Total.
Ganjam.....	8,318	1,913	10,231
Godavari Western	2,974	384	3,358
Vizagapatam....	792	182	974
Total	12,084	2,779	14,863

2. The difference under grant appears to be due to the omission, on the part of the Supg. Engineer, to take into account the additional grant of Rs. 4,000 sanctioned for the Ganjam Division in G.O. No. ISI7 W. dated 12th. July 1893.

3. Under "Works" the Supg. Engineer shews Rs. (11,987 + 122) = 12,109 as the outlay actually incurred, which is Rs. 25 more than that shewn by the registers maintained in this office. The cause of this difference is not traceable.

Recd: 15.1.95

Encls 1

15.1.95

12/1

C.

12/1

194 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the S.E. I Circle (H.E. No. 615 G.S. d/12 Dec. 1894)
Office note from the E.P.W.A. - 92 D/PB d/12 Jan 1895

Recorded.

2. With reference to para 1 of his letter quoted above, the attention of the Supg. Engineer I Circle is drawn to the office note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 92 D/PB dated 12 January 1895.

3. The explanation in regard to the lapse of grant in the Ganjam Division was taken from the Executive Engineer's statement.


submitted by the
Supt. Engineer with his
letter N^o. 201 G. S. dated
29 June 1894 and not
from the Administration
Report.

C. W. M.
27/95

2071

No 194 W d 25 January 1895

6-11-94 N^o 284-22 W
24-7-94 N^o 643.2
12-7-93 N^o 1817 W
28-9-93 N^o 2484 W
31-10-93 N^o 3524. E
3-11-93 N^o 3605. C
8-1-94 N^o 21. W
14-2-94 N^o 414. W
2-4-94 N^o 1419. C
26-12-94 N^o 4216. C
1-12-94 N^o 3907. C



S. E. I. Conle
Examiner P. W. A.

2. cc
20/1