

Gobt. of { 18 } Madras.

To

The Public Works Dept

Enclos 1

Ans: 15.7.95

No. 1855 Wd 26 July 1895

B
Communicated
to the Exr: P.W. Account
in continuation of
C.O. No. 1209 Wd 20
May 1895

Proceedings, dated 8th July 1895,
No. 504

Subject,

Communicating to the
Public Works Dept and the
Accountant Genl's letter from
the Govt of India, agreeing in
this Govt's interpretation of
Article 1205 of the C.O.

No. 2292 C of 15-7-95

1209 Wd 20-5-95

478 C of 7-2-95

3388 Wd 19-12-90

CR 418
12/95

Exr: P.W. Acct.
Govt of India

0 L.S. 228
18 20/7

20/7

the Public Works Department, London, W.C. 2, by the ...
have no objection to the ...

Order of 21st July 1905, No. 202.

Communicated to the Public Works Department

and the Assistant Secretary

Attest (Signature)

W. H. C. ...
As Assistant Secretary
for Civil Service
11-10-05

W. H. C. ...

*Communicated to the
Public Works Department
London, W.C. 2, by the ...
have no objection to the ...*

W. H. C. ...
with current no. 202
11-10-05

W. H. C. ...

202

and Public Works Department Circular No.2 P.W.d/ the 23rd November
1894 do not apply to accountants.

Order d/ 8th July 1895 No 504.

Communicated to the Public Works Department
and the Accountant General.

/True Extract/

C. Goddard
Ag. Assistant Secretary,
for Chief Secretary.
11-VII-95

to The Public Works Dept.
with current no. 363 returned.
Accountant General

84

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again G.O.d/ 2nd ~~February~~ ^{May} 1895 No 354/55. addressing the Government of India regarding the interpretation of certain points in the application of article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations. Read also letter from the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, d/ 20th June 1895, No 2908-Ex.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 355 d/ the 2nd May last in which you enquire with reference to the case of Mr.D.Jeremiah,an Accountant in the Public Works Department.

/a/ Whether for the purposes of article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations the time spent by an officer in taking over charge of an appointment should or should not be taken into consideration in calculating the period for which a transfer is made.

/b/ Whether when a transfer which was originally made for a period not exceeding three months does eventually exceed that period, the officer transferred should be allowed the benefit of Article 1205. The Government of Madras are of opinion as regards /a/ ~~that~~ that time spent in taking over charge of an appointment should not be taken into consideration and as regards /b/ that, under the circumstances explained, the concession in Article 1205 may be allowed to the officer.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India agree with the view taken by the Government of Madras on both points.

3. I am further to point out with reference to paragraph 2 of your letter that there is no authority for treating Mr.Jeremiah as having joined at Nellore on the 29th May 1894 although he did not relieve his predecessor till the 31st idem. It may be remarked that orders in the Public Works Department Code, Volume II, Part II, paragraph 247, and

Govt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 8th July 1895, No. 504.

JULY.

Travelling Allowance
(Article 1205).

Communicating to the Public Works Department and the Accountant-General letter from the Government of India agreeing in this Government's interpretation of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

uo 1855 u d / 26/7/95

Comm. to the

E. P. W. A.

ENCLOSURE.

From R. A. GAMBLE, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Accountant-General, Madras, dated Simla, the 22nd June 1894, No. 3160-P.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1517, dated 2nd June 1894, regarding the application of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations in the case referred to in your letter.

2. In reply I am to say that in the opinion of the Government of India, the case is not one in which you should take any action in regard to a relaxation of the rule.

Order—dated 2nd May 1895, Nos. 354, 355, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Addressing the Government of India regarding the interpretation of certain points in the application of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

READ—also the following paper:—

From R. NATHAM, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 20th June 1895, No. 2908-Ex.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 355, dated the 2nd May last, in which you enquire . . .

- (a) whether for the purposes of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations the time spent by an officer in taking over charge of an appointment should, or should not, be taken into consideration in calculating the period for which a transfer is made;
- (b) whether, when a transfer which was originally made for a period not exceeding three months does eventually exceed that period, the officer transferred should be allowed the benefit of Article 1205.

The Government of Madras are of opinion as regards (a) that time spent in taking over charge of an appointment should not be taken into consideration, and as regards (b) that under the circumstances explained the concession in Article 1205 may be allowed to the officer.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India agree with the view taken by the Government of Madras on both points.

* * * * *

ORDER—dated 8th July 1895, No. 504, Financial.

Communicated to the Public Works Department and the Accountant-General.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
" Accountant-General.
Copy to Revenue Department.

Ed. A. Bait.

8TH JULY 1895, No. 504, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 2nd May 1895, Nos. 354, 355, Financial.

Read—the following papers:—

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 4th February 1895, No. 379-A.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 1st March 1895, No. 483.

I have the honour to state that the main points at issue in the cases cited by the Examiner are the following:—

(1) Whether Note 1 to Article 1205 bars all claims to travelling allowance under that article, except when the officer had before starting obtained written orders passing his probable expenses.

* * * * *

2. On the first point I would observe that in the case of a claim under Article 1205 recently preferred by a non-gazetted officer of the Revenue Department, I ruled that, as the written orders of the head of the office had not been obtained before the journey was performed, the claim was inadmissible under the letter of Note 1 to the article and reported my action in the case to the Government of India.

* * * * *

MEMORANDUM No. 719.

With reference to paragraph 2 of his letter, dated 1st March 1895, No. 483, the Accountant-General is requested to state if the question as to whether Note 1 to Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations bars all claims to travelling allowance under that article except when the officer had before starting obtained written orders passing his probable expenses, is still under reference to the Government of India. It is requested that if any reply on the point has been received from the Government of India, copy of the same may be furnished to this Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
1st April 1895.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 10th April 1895, No. 928.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 719, dated the 1st instant, I have the honour to forward copy of letter from the Government of India, No. 3160-P., dated 22nd June 1894, Financial Department, in reply to my report in the case of a claim under Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations in which I suggested that the first part of Note 1 to the article should be amended so as to admit of claims being preferred in arrears.

Gobt. of {1895} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 8th July 1895, No. 504.

JULY.

Travelling Allowance
(Article 1205).

Communicating to the Public Works Department and the Accountant-General letter from the Government of India agreeing in this Government's interpretation of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

N^o. 1855 to on 26.7.1895

Forward to the Examiner
P.W. Accts.

8TH JULY 1895, No. 504, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 2nd May 1895, Nos. 354, 355, Financial.

Read—the following papers:—

From the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 4th February 1895, No. 379-A.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 1st March 1895, No. 483.

I have the honour to state that the main points at issue in the cases cited by the Examiner are the following:—

(1) Whether Note 1 to Article 1205 bars all claims to travelling allowance under that article, except when the officer had before starting obtained written orders passing his probable expenses.

* * * * *

2. On the first point I would observe that in the case of a claim under Article 1205 recently preferred by a non-gazetted officer of the Revenue Department, I ruled that, as the written orders of the head of the office had not been obtained before the journey was performed, the claim was inadmissible under the letter of Note 1 to the article and reported my action in the case to the Government of India.

* * * * *

MEMORANDUM No. 719.

With reference to paragraph 2 of his letter, dated 1st March 1895, No. 483, the Accountant-General is requested to state if the question as to whether Note 1 to Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations bars all claims to travelling allowance under that article except when the officer had before starting obtained written orders passing his probable expenses, is still under reference to the Government of India. It is requested that if any reply on the point has been received from the Government of India, copy of the same may be furnished to this Government.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
1st April 1895.

(Signed)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 10th April 1895, No. 928.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 719, dated the 1st instant, I have the honour to forward copy of letter from the Government of India, No. 3160-P., dated 22nd June 1894, Financial Department, in reply to my report in the case of a claim under Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations in which I suggested that the first part of Note 1 to the article should be amended so as to admit of claims being preferred in arrears.

ENCLOSURE.

From R. A. GAMBLE, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Accountant-General, Madras, dated Simla, the 22nd June 1894, No. 3160-P.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1517, dated 2nd June 1894, regarding the application of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations in the case referred to in your letter.

2. In reply I am to say that in the opinion of the Government of India, the case is not one in which you should take any action in regard to a relaxation of the rule.

Order—dated 2nd May 1895, Nos. 354, 355, Financial.

ABSTRACT.—Addressing the Government of India regarding the interpretation of certain points in the application of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations.

READ—also the following paper:—

From R. NATHAM, Esq., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, the 20th June 1895, No. 2908-Ex.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 355, dated the 2nd May last, in which you enquire

- (a) whether for the purposes of Article 1205 of the Civil Service Regulations the time spent by an officer in taking over charge of an appointment should, or should not, be taken into consideration in calculating the period for which a transfer is made;
- (b) whether, when a transfer which was originally made for a period not exceeding three months does eventually exceed that period, the officer transferred should be allowed the benefit of Article 1205.

The Government of Madras are of opinion as regards (a) that time spent in taking over charge of an appointment should not be taken into consideration, and as regards (b) that under the circumstances explained the concession in Article 1205 may be allowed to the officer.

2. In reply I am to say that the Government of India agree with the view taken by the Government of Madras on both points.

ORDER—dated 8th July 1895, No. 504, Financial.

Communicated to the Public Works Department and the Accountant-General.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Public Works Department.
" Accountant-General.
Copy to Revenue Department.