

No. 436-2.



Office of Supt^t of Works
No. I Periyar Division
Kodakkal 31st May 1895
Dep^t 1-6-95

From

S. D. Pears Esquire,
Supt^t of Works
No. I Periyar Division

To,

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Madras

Sir,

Enc one

6

In submitting herewith a revised proposition statement of temporary establishment for the year 1895-96, I have the honor to state that I find it necessary to have a second draughtsman in case of the first draughtsman going sick although one draughtsman is really ample for the work.

2. My second draughtsman has always worked as a clerk except during the absence of the first draughtsman. At the time the former proposition statement was submitted when the 2nd draughtsman's place

place was vacant I intended to
appoint a man who was qualified
for a clerk and who knew something
of drawing. The best man I have
been able to get however is a passed
draughtsman who is able to do
the duties required of a clerk and
the transfer from clerk to draughts-
man in the statement is therefore
necessary.

I have the honor to be,
^{Sir,}
your most obedient servant,

S. D. Pears
Supt of Works
No 1 Perijar Div.
W.S. 

From
The Superintendent of Works
No. 1 Periyar Division

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept.
Madras

Thrs: The Examiner
P. W. Accounts
Madras

Kodaikanal

Dated 1st June 1895.
Enc one
No. 436-2.

Revised Statement of
Proposition for Temporary
Establishment for 1895-96
: — Submitting a —

Up for check
Sd/-
Draft package
P. W.

No. 965 W of 24-4-95
Recd 10-6-95
En: 1

No. 2013 $\frac{2}{8}$ dated 8th June 1895

To W.

Forwarded with the remark that
the entries in column "Present Scale"
of the proposition statement have been
checked and found correct.

Sd/-
C.
En: 1.

O. L. S. 139

No. 1478 W. dated 18th June 1895

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Supdt of Works, No. 1 Periyar D. No. 43 C.E. of 31st May 1895.
Office note from the Examiner P. W. Accounts No. 2013 $\frac{2}{8}$ of 8th June 1895

Sanction is accorded
to the employment of a
draftsman on Rs 30 per mensem
required for the No. 1 Periyar
Division, in the place of one
of the two clerks on Rs 30
sanctioned for the Division
office in No. 965 W dated
24th April 1895.

DESPATCHED
19 JUN 95

The Supdt of Works, No. 1 Periyar D.
Exar P. W. Accts

2. This sanction has been
registered as Provincial No.
20 W of 1895-96, and classified
as Establishment, Temporary.

(40-4,000-14-3-95.)

Cu

Sd/-
13/95

No. 1477 W of 18 June 1895

Current 4263

huri

With reference to this office
Memo No. 1464 C dated 5th ap.
1895, the S. E. III Circle sub:
mits explanation as to the
difference in the number
of racks provided in the es:
timate but he has not
countersigned the estimate,
as requested in the Memo
under reference.

The plan & estimate may
however be returned to the
S. E. for sanction as the
amount is within his
powers of sanction.

CRD
12/6/95

[Signature]
W. V. S.

Draftsman for No. 1 Perimeter

No. 1478 W. dated 18 June 1895.

B. C. M.

INDEXED

10.10.06

All communications
should be addressed to
the Secretary.

No. 107 A. E.

Letter No. 390 dated 23rd May 1895 from
the Executive Engineer Cuddalore W.D.
to the Superintending Engineer III Circle
Bellary.

With reference to your endorsement
- ment No. 428 dated 10-5-95, I have
the honour to inform you that at
present the record room of the Sub-
Collector's Cutcherry is only provided
with 3 racks, but in the plan sent
with the Estimate you will see that
5 racks are shown, thus accounting for
the 10 new racks i.e. 8 in the extension of
2 in the existing room (these 2 were not
drawn in red as it was thought that it
would lead to confusion).

The 3 enclosures sent with your en-
dorsement are herewith returned.

(True copy)

No. 657 of 1/6/95

Communicated to the Chief
Engineer W. W. D. with reference to his
Memo

Recd. 3.
Det. C. H.
Mr. C. H.
Tracing

Memo No. 1464C of the 5th April 1895.

2. The enclosures received with the above memo are herewith returned,

C. M. Fraser

Superintending Engineer

III Circle