

[G.
15]

Gobt. of { 18 } Madras.

P. W. CURRENT No. 3694

no 2945 w 8 25 - 10. 92
" 2730 w 8 25. 10. 90
" 2734 w 8 26. 10. 93
" 1871 w 8 24-1. 94
" 412 w 8 18. 2. 95
" 815 w 8 2-4. 95 Encls 2 (1 plan)

To

Deco: 14 5. 95

The Public Works (Dept)

Lo 1234 w 4 23¹/₂ May 1895

Communicated
to the Suptg. Engrs. II
Rich for remarks
with reference to his
letter no 2912 No. of
23¹/₂ March 1895

Proceedings, dated 6th May 1895
No. 125

Subject.

Light house at Point Dui

Communicating to the
P. W. Dept. report by Mr.
F. W. Ashpitel, Engr. Engineer
on spl duty, on the result
of testing the Lightning
Conductor of the
with the request that it
may be stated whether a
reference on the subject
to Messrs. Chance Brothers
is still considered desirable

Cross

16
5. 95

S
45

DESPATCHED

23 MAY 95

S. E. II. Rich

1895 12/20/5

22/24/5

Superintending Engineer's attention is the necessity for connecting with the conductor the apparatus pedestal and any other considerable mass of metal within the tower -

4. I would suggest that the lightning conductor should be connected at its upper end with the lantern and apparatus pedestals in the usual way -

5. It is a question whether Point Dini lighthouse is not a more a danger than an aid to navigation and there is no doubt that a new light is absolutely necessary. Until the question of a new light is decided, expenditure on the existing tower should be restricted.

Order. 6th May, 1895, No. 125.

Mr. Ashpitel's letter will be communicated to the Public Works Department with the request that it may be stated whether a reference to Messrs. Chance Brothers is still considered desirable.
(True copy)

C. Goddard
10.5.
By: Assistant Secretary
for the Secretary

6/5 70 The Public Works Dept

Marine Department.



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Public Works
Department, of 26 April 1895, No. 815 W.
From Mr. J. W. Ashford,
Executive Engineer on special duty, of 23^d
April 1895.

With reference to your
Endorsement No. 797 of 10th April 1895
on G.O. No. 815 W of 26 April 1895, I have
the honour to point out that the wind
vane at Point Div^{is} of the usual design
and that there is no apparent reason
for any deviation from the accepted method
of fixing lightning conductors to light-
house towers.

2. The system of arranging lightning
conductors as adopted by Trinity House
on the advice of Faraday is described
by Mr. W. J. Douglas in his report on
Indian light houses and that system
has apparently been a success.

3. A point which has ^{created} ~~adapted~~ the
superintending