

To

His Excellency

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

The humble Petition of, A. GURUSAMY MUDELIAR,
late Overseer's clerk P. W. D.

HINDUPUR

Anantapur Sub-Division, Bellary Division.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY

With utmost respect and humble submission your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to bring the following to your Excellency's due consideration with a full belief that they may meet with justice.

Your Excellency's humble Petitioner had, in his letter dated 21st November 1894, brought to the notice of the Sub-Divisional Officer, certain irregularities, such as submitting false progress report, antedating, putting different camps while at Head-quarters, &c. practised by P. W. D. Temporary Overseer, K. Narasimha Charri, the immediate superior of your Excellency's humble Petitioner. The Sub-Divisional Officer referred the matter to the Executive Engineer, who ordered that if the above said allegations of incessant antedating &c. were not proved, your Excellency's humble Petitioner would be severely punished, and on the contrary i. e. if proved, the said Overseer would be severely dealt with. Accordingly the Sub-Divisional Officer, F. Heinig Esq. came to Hindupur, held, as directed by the Executive Engineer, a stringent enquiry in the presence of the said Overseer and your Excellency's humble Petitioner, and reported to the Executive Engineer on the 13th December 1894, fully satisfied of the proof of the allegations brought against the said Overseer. But upon this the Executive Engineer called the said Overseer to Anantapur and having again taken his (Overseer's) explanation upon the charges made against the said Overseer by the said Sub-Divisional Officer, passed an order on the 30th January 1895, to the effect that the said overseer be excused, and your Excellency's poor humble Petitioner be transferred, though he had fully proved the facts reported in his letter dated 21st November 1894 to the Sub-Divisional Officer.

On the strength of the records it is inconceivable how the Overseer was let free and your Excellency's poor humble Petitioner punished by transfer, in spite of the Executive Engineer's strict and rigorous endorsement on his (your Excellency's humble Petitioner's) Petition to the Sub-Divisional Officer.

This report of your Excellency's humble Petitioner to the Sub-Divisional Officer was made only to bring to light the irregularities practised by the said Temporary Overseer, K. Narasimha Charri, with a view that your Excellency's humble Petitioner may have an opportunity to defend himself in cases when the irregularities may come to reflect on him, and as no proper justice had been administered, your Excellency's humble Petitioner most humbly begs to bring the subject before your Excellency, for calling up of the whole records for a kind perusal in view to your Excellency's establishing justice not uncommon to obtain in this benign, upright and impartial Government.

Saidapet, Madras,

27th March 1895.

Your Excellency's humble Petitioner begs to remain.

May it please your Excellency.

Your Excellency's most obedient and humble servant.

A. Gurusamy Mudeliar

Late Overseer's clerk P. W. D., Hindupur.

P. M. C. No. 20

338

S. 1

8/2/95

Mr.

No. 1468 C of 5th April
1895

Recd: 29.3.95

Referred to the
Suptg. Engineer III
Circle for report.

C. B. D. Rector

Under Secretary to Govt.
C. B. D.

cross
to 95

with disposal

No. 267

Superintending Engineer's Office,

3RD CIRCLE,

Bellary 17th April 1895.

From

C. H. J. Norfor Esq.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
IIIRD CIRCLE,

To

THE Secretary to Government,
P. W. D.
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No. 1468 C dated 5th April
1895 on petition from A. Gurusawmy Moodie
(herewith returned) I have the honour to state that the
petitioner's charges against the Overseer were not sub-
stantiated.

He (the petitioner) was also not furnished at all.
His transfer to Penukonda (23 miles by rail) was im-
perative, as it was of course impossible for him to work
under an Overseer whom he had complained of.

The petitioner was subsequently dismissed for
being "absent without leave".

I have the honour to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

C. H. J. Norfor
Suptg. Engineer, III Circle.

P. W. CURRENT NO. 3353

From
The Superintending Engineer,
IIIrd Circle,
Bellary.

no 1468 e 8 s. 4. 95

To
The Secretary to Govt.
P. W. Deptt.
Madras

And 1

Ans: 1.5.95

No 1121 W. dated 10 May 95.

Dated 30th April 1895.
Received

To
1121

Enclosures. 1

No. 204

Subject.

Complaint of Temporal
= salary overpaid's clerk
A. Govindasamy Mudali
against Temporal overpaid
K. Narasimha Chetty -

Reporting that the -
is not substantiated.

Petitioner is informed
that on inquiry, it trans-
pires, that he was not
punished in connection
with the circumstances
to which he refers, and
that he forfeited his
appointment on account
of absence without leave.

Do.

COTM
6-95

DESPATCHED
1 MAY 95
INDEXED
N. A. S.

To The Petitioner -
to the Petitioner -

01352
210/5