

3277

Saw.

with ref^l to this G.O.

Will you kindly ascertain from C's

office and let me

know from what

date Mr. de Chazal's

time for promotion?

to the higher grade

will be reckoned.

J.F.

3.5.95

S. S. B.

Will you kindly give me your opinion on the above point.

Mr. de Chazal arrived in India on 1.1.94, & the Govt. ruled that his Indian pay should commence from that date.

He appealed against that decision, & a reply has just come intimating that the Secy of State confirms the decision of Govt. This is the

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.

No. 1091 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Public Works Department.

Simla, the 19th April 1895.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 2171 W., dated 1st September 1894, regarding the date from which Mr. A. R. de Chazal, Assistant Engineer, should draw his Indian pay, I am directed to state that the question was referred for the orders of Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, who in reply has stated that he observes that Mr. de Chazal has based his appeal to draw his Indian pay from the end of October 1893, on the ground that his arrival at Madras was delayed beyond the period of two months from that time allowed him, by the irregularity of the steamer service from Mauritius. With reference thereto the Secretary of State has remarked that he is not prepared, on any ground whatever, to sanction an extension of the conditions on which Mr. de Chazal was allowed to call at Mauritius on his way out to India, and has therefore ^{decided} that his pay should commence from the 1st January 1894, the date on which he reported himself for duty.

1892
 No. 1083 W dated 7th May 1895
 quite reference to which
 inquires from what date
 Mr. de Chazal's service should
 be reckoned for promotion
 under the time rules

C
 6/3/95
 S.H.W.

It seems to me that the
 question as to the date from which
 Mr. de Chazal should count his
 service for promotion under the
 time rules should be referred to
 Govt. his non opinion is that
 he counts his service for
 promotion from 1. 10. 92.

8/5/95

6
 No. 1083 W dated 7.5.95 is
 returned herewith.

You might take Govt's orders
 whether reference to India need
 be made, but I don't think
 any reference is necessary -
 the date of commencement
 of his service has nothing to do with
 date of appointment. Mr. de Chazal was
 appointed in Oct. 92. While he
 was in India, should count service
 for promotion from that date.

C

0
 10/5

I am to request that Mr. de Chazal may be informed
 accordingly.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 Your most obedient servant,

G.H. Walker

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying:-
 Nil.

- No 3253 W d 28. 11. 90
- " 2955 W d 15. 11. 93
- " 77 C d 10. 1. 94
- " 250.10 - d 29. 1. 94
- " 755 W d 16. 3. 94
- " 1469.70 W d 13. 6. 94
- " 1833 W d 24. 7. 94
- " 2171 W d 1. 9. 94

No 1083 W dated 7th May 1895

B
 List

Communicated to
 the Suptg Engrs IV circle
 for Mr. de Chazal's in-
 formation with reference
 to the undermentioned
 correspondence

- G.O. No 1469 W d 13th June 1894,
- G.O. No 1469 W d 13th June 1894,
- " " 1833 W d 24th July 1894,
- " " 1833 W d 24th July 1894,
- Enclt from the Suptg Engrs No. 350 W d 20th August 1894 on Mr. de Chazal's letter of 11. idem -
- " " 11. idem -

Note
 Cr
 DESPATCHED
 S. & IV - 21.9.95
 S. & IV - 21.9.95
 INDEXED
 21.9.95

45
 26/5

S.A.