

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

2/4/92

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

6

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P. W. D.

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

717

அச்சகம்

பலவகை

நாள் :

13 3 1894

199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

6 அபிமானி

சு. ப. க.

ஆவணக் கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

1/17

P. W. D.

Note for His Excellency the Governor:—

I am bound to
^{that I consider} say ~~that~~ Sub Engineer
Krishnadasa Charian has
a very real grievance
the appointment was
made in my absence
and I am unable to
understand how Mr
Hannan came to re-
commend the particular
^{who was selected} individual. He is not
to be compared for ef-
ficiency or experience to
Krishnadasa Charian

It is however too
late to correct the mis-
take now; when a
fresh appointment is
made his qualifications
will have to be con-
sidered in comparison
with those of the new

Then bring his name up at the
earliest opportunity. to
To Mr

named in Sir Henry
Coles' note of 31st August,
one of whom I should
have been disposed to
commend in preference
any Native Subor-
dinate, if one of them
could have accepted
the post which is doubt-
less, as they all draw
more pay at present.

L
W
D. S.

Memorial of Sub Engineer
S. Krishnamma Charigar.

2/
717

Madras
28th Feb. 94

To

The Executive Engineer
No. 2. Periyar Division

The humble Memorial of
S. Krishnamma Charigar a
Sub-Engineer of the 3rd B
Grade at present employed
in the No. 2. Periyar Division

Respectfully sheweth

That your Memorialist
is a graduate of the Madras University
and took his degree of B.C.E.
in February 1877.

2. That your Memorialist
entered the Service of the Madras
Government in the Public Works
Department as an overseer of the
3rd grade, and in the year 1886
attained the rank of Sub-Engineer,
in which grade he has continued.

3. That it has pleased the
Madras Government to pass an
order that once in 2 years, an
upper subordinate of the Sub-Engineer
grade shall be appointed an Assistant
Engineer

3
417

Engineer in the Provincial Service
4. That your Memorialist has, since his entertainment in the public Service, looked forward to being raised to the grade of Assistant Engineer as the great aim of his life in his public career, and has striven hard to show himself ~ deserving of promotion to that grade.

5. That during the famine of 1877-78 your Memorialist was, in consideration of good service rendered, given a year's extra Service to Count towards pension; while, in 1881, he was granted a bonus of 3 months salary for good work done in the Sivaganga Zemindari under the Land Improvement Act.

6. That the Government, in 1886, recognised your Memorialist's merits and conferred on him the coveted appointment of Assistant Engineer, as a temporary measure, on a salary of Rs 350 a month.

7. That in 1889, prior to his employment in the Periyar Division, the Executive Engineer of Tanjore recommended your Memorialist for the title of Rao Bahadur.

4/17
8. That with such unequivocal acknowledgments of his Services your Memorialist has been looking forward for the prize of promotion to the Provincial Service; but, it is with all deference your Memorialist ventures to submit his Grievance in the Supersession which he has been subjected to.

9. That in October 1893, when your Memorialist thought he had the best Claims to liberal treatment at the hands of his employers, a broken subordinate, considerably his junior in the public Service, was appointed assistant Engineer.

10. That Mr. T. Subrahmanya Aiyar who has so superseded your Memorialist passed out of the Engineering College 4 years after your Memorialist and attained the permanent rank of Sub-Engineer as recently as March 1893; and, in the list of Sub-Engineers, is far below the place occupied by your Memorialist.

11. That while Mr. T. Subrahmanya Aiyar has, within the short space of 7 months, attained the rank of assistant Engineer, your Memorialist has had to wait seven long years, and with a total Service of nearly 17 years, only to find himself superseded by a junior, and

1022 D of 11-10

5
6/17
And his Faithful Services Ignored
by the Government

12. That your Memorialist, in
addressing the Government on the
Subject of this his Grievance, is
alive to the fact that he is asking
for what may perhaps be
considered too much; but when
it is borne in mind that the
Government has always been ready
to grant a remedy when a
grievance is only too patent, your
Memorialist ventures to pray
that the Government will be moved
to consider his claims to
promotion, and confer on him
the appointment which has been
bestowed on Mr. T. Subrahmanya Aiyar.

And for this act of grace
your Memorialist as in duty bound
shall ever pray.

S. Kristanna Chari

No 420

Madras
1 March 94
Depo

Forwarded to the Sup^r
Engineer for

My views on Mr
Kristnamma Channin qualifications
are well known to the
Chief Engineer and I can
only add that I hope
he may obtain his request
and obtain the post he
desires which I believe
he will fill with credit

Cp Barless



Executive Engineer

N. I. P. as D.

No 544 PA.


to the C.E.

Forwarded. I have
after inspection of the
works in Perparth found
a very high opinion
of Mr Sub Eng^r Kristnamma
Channin's qualifications
and I very much regret
that he should have
been superseded by a
junior. The case is
certainly a hard one
and I hope that the
Govt. will be able to
see their way to promote
the Sub Eng^r to the grade
of Asst Eng^r in the
Provincial Service.

Amherst

2/3/94 Capt. P. B. B. B.

6/7/7



From

The Executive Engineer
S. D. Periyar Du

To

The Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

Dates 1 }
Recd 1 } March 1893

Recd 5-3-94

No 31142 of 18-6-92
- 50020-28-11-92 420
No 2232 of 12-8-92
- 3155-6-17-11-92
- 1870-17-1-93
- 8200-9-9-93
- 10220-11-10-93
- 2232 of 12-8-92
- 3155-6-17-11-92
- 3293-2-12-92
- 3294-2-12-92
- 1580-23-7-93
- 1828-12-7-93
- 1628-9-19-6-93
- 2373-15-9-93
- 159-18-1-94

Memorial of Sub Engineer
Krishnamachariyar

Forwards the — with re-
commendations.

Then SE 6 No 544 PR & 13th Mar/94.

S. Krishnamachariyar

No 717 W. of 13th March 1893

13/3

W
10 Mar.

Recorded in the
The remark that the
appointment of upper
subordinates to the
Provincial Engineer
Establishment, is made
by selection, and that
no question of super-
session can arise
2. The claims of S.
Krishnamachariyar will
be duly considered with
those of other upper Subordi-
nates when the next appoin-
ment to the Provincial
Engineer establishment
is to be made.

S. 8.3



S. E. VI circle

INDEXED.

26/3

18/3