

- (1) பக்கங்கரின் எண்ணிக்கை 8
 (2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 8/92
 (3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கோப்பம் 8

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	893	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	31-3-1894	199

மடிக்கவோ சுண்டப்படிக் கையாளவோ கூடாது.

070021

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-69-90.

KEEP WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1893

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189

Madras, 24 November

1893.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1932-D

To

W.

Divisional Objectionable Item & Provincial Objectionable Item Statements, Consulting Architect and No. I Periyar Divisions.

The Divisional Objectionable Item Statements are, at present, forwarded to the Consulting Architect and Superintendent of Works, and from them the Provincial Objectionable Item Statements are prepared (in duplicate) and forwarded to Government for orders on items undischarged up to date. One copy of each, with the orders passed by Government, are forwarded to the Consulting Architect and Supt. of Works through this office for information & necessary action.

2. If, therefore, the Divisional Objectionable Item Statements (in duplicate) were forwarded for orders through the Consulting Architect and Supt. of Works and returned through those officers after note of the orders passed the submission of the Provincial O.I. statement

continued with your concurrence with effect from the accounts for October under audit.

3. By this arrangement the irregularities in the Division are brought to notice at an earlier date than it would be possible under present arrangements by which the Provincial O.I. Statement is prepared and submitted for orders only after the return of the Divisional O.I. Statement from the Consulting Architect and Suptt. of Works. There will be a little saving in work in this office if the Divisional O.I. Statement is made to do duty for the Provincial O.I. Statement also.

4. I may add that the object of the Provincial O.I. Statement as submitted for each circle, is to bring together all objectionable expenditure in a circle; but as the Consulting Architect & the Suptt. Periyar No. I. Divisions, are treated as special Divisions, there appears no necessity for separate compilations for them.

Recd 27/11/93

H. H. H.

C. H. H.

For order

KEEP-WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT. OF MADRAS.

1894.

P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189

89/2

Madras, 15 March

1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 1013

To

P. W.

May I request the issue of early orders on my No. 1932 D. dated 24th. November 1893 proposing to utilize the divisional objectionable item statement as the provincial objectionable item statement in the case of the Consulting Architect and No. I Periyar Divisions.

H. H. H.

C.

C. H. H.

10000
113

Resub 3.54

The Province

proposed

approved

Check
SMP
in MWD

10000

By

10000
113

W

For order.

89/11/3

The Provincial
O.I. Statement may
contain irregularities
which would be
omitted from the
Provincial O.I.
Statement, as
being disposed of
between the Ecclesiastical
and the P.E.
(Vide P.O. 288 of 92)

It is not
unusual of
provincial importance
the Ex^o proposal
might be approved.

10000
113

Sib

PROCEED

THE MADRAS

Read the following

Office Note from the Ex. PWA (H.E. No 1932 & d/24.11.93)
do — do — do (H.E. No 1013 & d/15.3.94)

893

The Examiner's
proposals are
approved.

10/12/94 *S*
213

DISPATCHED
1 APR 94

The Local Architect
S. W. Periyar Project
Examiner PWA

No. 893W. dy 31. Jan 194

B IV

~~2/2~~

2/2 11/4

INDEXED
13/4