(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை ~~30~~ 30 28
(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 9/92
(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 506	பலவகை
நாள் : 21-3-1894	199 .

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

21st March 1894, No. 806 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following paper :—

From Lieutenant-Colonel A. W. SMART, R.E., Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 11th March 1894, No. 553 P.R.

After inspection of the works in the Periyár II Division, I have come to the conclusion that it would be wisest to stop the works at the end of the 11th reach, main channel, leaving the 12th reach and 11th and 12th branch channels to be made hereafter when irrigation has somewhat extended and water has been brought down to the 11th reach. My reasons for this are—

(*a*) that the works still to be done below the 11th reach, estimated at 1¼ lakhs, can be easily completed in one year.

(*b*) that to complete channels and especially small ones long before they are required lead to useless expenditure on upkeep and repairs.

(*c*) that when the water has reached the lower parts of the main channel we shall be in a better position to align the new distributaries and to fix their dimensions.

2. The estimated cost of works still to be done down to the end of 11th reach is 2 lakhs, *i.e.*, this will be the value of the work that should be completed in and by the end of 1894–95. The normal yearly expenditure on works hitherto has been about 2 lakhs.

3. I submit two alternative proposition statements for temporary establishment required to the end of 1894–95. One showing the establishment required in case the Executive Engineer is kept on and a separate division; the other gives the establishment required if the works are placed under the Executive Engineer, Madura division; in the first case one Executive Engineer and one sub-division will be sufficient; in the latter two sub-divisions are in my opinion required.

4. I propose to keep on the present establishment till the end of April to allow of time for the changes to be made and to give one month's notice to those whose services we shall be obliged to dispense with. A separate statement accompanies for the two months of March and April.

5. The two alternative statements show the cost of the temporary establishment required for ten months from May 1894 to February 1895 inclusive. For the Executive Engineer it is considered that a 2nd-class establishment (at present 1st class) is sufficient to complete works; cost for 10 months Rs. 5,205, including accountant at Rs. 80 per month. In both cases two sub-overseers instead of four as at present are provided. The cost of establishment for 2 sub-divisions will be Rs. 3,280 for the ten months.

6. With regard to upper subordinates, I would propose, if the Executive Engineer and one sub-division scheme is chosen, that Mr. Carless and Mr. Enright remain; this will free Sub-Engineers Krishnamachariar and Vaidiyalingam Pillay for transfer elsewhere. There are six upper subordinates on the work now, two of these—Sergeant Rule and Mr. Noronho—may be transferred.

7. If the scheme of two sub-divisions is chosen, I would propose that Mr. Enright and Sub-Engineer Krishnamachariar remain as sub-division officers, and, as above noted, four overseers instead of six as now. A copy of the distribution statement of Periyár II is enclosed for reference.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

I concur in the views expressed by the Superintending Engineer in the first two paragraphs of his letter, and consider that of the two alternatives suggested in the third paragraph, the second, that is, the abolition of the Periyár No. II division, should be adopted.

If this recommendation be accepted separate proposals will be submitted for the employment of the Executive Engineer (Mr. Carless) now in charge of the division.

15th March 1894. (Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Order—dated 21st March 1894, No. 806 W., Public Works.

His Excellency the Governor in Council accepts the recommendation of the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department. The Periyár No. II division will be abolished and the works belonging to it formed into two sub-divisions under the Executive Engineer, Madura division.

2. Separate orders will be issued regarding the employment of Mr. Carless; the employment of the subordinate staff now attached to the division will be dealt with by the Chief Engineer.

3. The proposition statement marked B, submitted by the Superintending Engineer, is approved, but formal sanction to it and the general statement for the circle (marked A) will be communicated in separate orders.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle.
„ Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Revenue Department.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. J. Pereira.
Spare Copies

806/2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 21st.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 21st Mar. 1894, No. 806 W.

MARCH.

Periyar No. II Division

Orders directing the abolition of — and the formation of the works belonging to it into two sub-divisions under the Executive Engineer, Madura division.

No: 553 P.R.

Office of the Circl Supg Engineer,
VI circle,
Trichinopoly, 11th March/94

D/11

806/3

From
Lt Col. A. W. Smart R.E.,
Supg Engineer, VI circle

To
The Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

Sir,

Enclosures: ~~4~~
3 Proposition statements
& 1. copy of distribution return of No: 2. Periyar Dn.

After inspection of the works in the Periyar II Division I have come to the Conclusion — that it would be wisest to stop the works at the end of the 11th Reach, Main Channel, leaving the 12th Reach and 11th & 12 branch Channels to be made hereafter when irrigation has somewhat extended and water has been brought down to the 11th reach. My reasons for this are: —

(a) That the works still to be done below the 11th Reach, estimated at 1¼ lakhs, can be easily completed in one year

(7)

(b) That to complete channels and especially small ones long before they are required lead to useless expenditure on up-keep and repair.

(c) That when the water has reached the lower parts of the main channel we shall be in a better position to align the new distributaries and to fix their dimensions.

2. The estimated cost of works still to be done down to the end of 11th reach is 2 lakhs, i.e., this will be the value of the work that should be completed in and by the end of 1894-95. The normal yearly expenditure on works hitherto has been about 2 lakhs.

3. I submit two alternative proposition statements for temporary establishment required to the end of 1894-95. One showing the establishment required in case the Executive Engineer is kept on and a separate division; the other gives the establishment required if the works are placed under the Executive Engineer, Madura Dy, in the first case one Executive Engineer and one sub division will be sufficient, in the latter two sub divisions are in my opinion required.

4. I propose to keep on the present establishment till the end of April to allow of time for the changes to be made and to give one months' notice to those whose services we shall be obliged to dispense with. A separate statement accompanies for the two months of March and April.

5. The two alternative statements show the cost of the temporary establishment required for ten months from May 1894 to February 1895 inclusive. For the Executive Engineer it is considered that a 2nd Class establishment

(establishment (at present 1st class) is sufficient to complete works; Cost for 10 months Rs 5205, including Accountant at 80 Rs per month. In both Cases two sub overseers, instead of four as at present, are provided. The cost of establishment for 2 sub divisions will be Rs 3,280 for the ten months.

6. With regard to Upper Subordinates, I would propose if the Executive Engineer and 1 Sub Division scheme is chosen that Mr. Carles & Mr. Enright remain; this will free Sub Engineers Krishnamachariar and Vaidyalingam Pillay for transfer elsewhere. There are 6 upper subordinates on the work now; two of these Sergeant Rule and Mr. Norris may be transferred.

7. If the scheme of two sub divisions is chosen, I would propose

-gineer Krishnamachariar remain as Sub division officers, and as above noted four overseers instead of six as now. A copy of the distribution statement of Periyar II is enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Lt Col. R.E.
Superintending Engineer
VI Circle

R. W. ENTRY No. 1979

From
The Supg Engineer
VI Circle
To
The Chief Engineer
D.P.W.

No: 553 P.R.

Dd. 11th }
Dd. 11th } March 1894
Recd: 12.3.94
Enclosures 4.

No: II Periyar Dn:—
Submitting for orders 2 alternative proposals with proposition statements for reducing the establishment of — or adding 2 sub-Dns to Madura Dn for Periyar Works, and also submitting a general proposition statement for the Circle for 1894-95.

No. 816 W of 11-3-92
" 90 R W " 6-4-93

1/806/6 P-4 P.W.D

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. dy 15th March 1894.

I concur in the views expressed by the Superintending Engineer in the first two paragraphs of his letter, and consider that of the two alternatives suggested in the third paragraph, the second, that is the abolition of the Periyar No II Division, should be adopted.

What will become of the money allotted to this work?
W
18 Mar

Query answered by W
20/2/94

If this recommendation be accepted separate proposals will be submitted for the employment of the Executive Engineer

(Mr Carless) now in charge of the Division.

Ed
16.3

Distribution Return of Op. Dn for the Quarter endin
December

Division Officer		Sub Division	Sub Dna	Remarks.
Name	Class & grade		Name	
G. P. Carless Esquire	Executive Engineer – 2nd Grade	A & C	Head Quarters	on Rs 100
			Madura	Rs 80
			Mr. R. Krishnamachariar. S. A.C.E & B.C.E.	ub. overseer on Rs 40.
		E	Head Quarters	
			Madura	overseer on Rs 60
			Mr. R. Vaidyalingam Pillai T.	do — 60
		F	Head Quarters	
			Madura	
			Mr: Enright T. D.	
				overseer on Rs 100
				bsoverseer on Rs 40
				tudent. Employed in looking after asonry & Earthworks in XI reach.

G. P. Carless
Ex: Engr. No: 2. Periyar Dn

Office of the Supg Engineer
VI Circle
Trichinopoly 11th March/94

Lt Col. R.E.
er. – VI Circle

Sup. Engineer
VI Circle

losure No: 4 to
VI No: 553 P.R.
ted 11th March/94

Pw 5.4
1979/54

ky of distribution
rn of Officers &
ordinates in No:
Periyar Dn

806/

---

fficers and Subordinates employed in No: II Periy

806/8

806/8

l officers		Detached officers			Overseers	
Class	Grade	Name	Class	Grade	Name	Class & grade
					Srinivasagam Pillay	Temp. Overseer
					Mr Narayanasami Naidu A.	do
					Andaperumal Pillay	Temp.
Sub. Engr	3rd Grade permanent					
		Rich Sergeant W.	Supervisor	2nd Grade permanent	Chinnasamy Naidu	Temp. Sub
					Srinivasa Row R.	do
Sub Engr	3rd Grade permanent					
		Fryer. Pensioned Sub. Conductor R.	Temporary Supervisor on Rs 150		Mr. Noronha L.S.	Overseer 1st Grade permanent
perviser	1st Grade permanent					
					Viswanathayya C.R.	Tempy.
					Subbaramayya V.	Tempy.
					Sankara Aiyar D.S.	Engr. Sub.

Station Madura
Dt. 22nd December/93

(Signed)

(True copy)

Antune
Sup. Engr.

Statement of proposition for temporary [illegible] establishment required for the 6th Circle for 1894–95 in case No: II Periyar Division is retained on reduced scale.

806/10

Order sanctioning present establishment Government of Madras P.W. Department No.	Date	Office to which proposition refers	Present Scale No.	Designation	Pay Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average or fixed	Proposed scale No.	Designation	Pay Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average or fixed	Permanent Increase per month Amount	Decrease per month Amount	Temporary Increase per month Period (Months)	Amount	Decrease per month Period (Months)	Amount	Remarks by Local Government
900 W	6th April 1893	No: II Periyar Division		Petty Establishment						Petty Establishment											
			1	Store keeper	„	„	„	25	1	Storekeeper	„	„	„	20	„	„	1	20	1	5	
			16	Lascars	„	„	„	6	6	Lascars	„	„	„	6	„	„	1	36	1	60	
			1	Sweeper & Scavenger	„	„	„	1/2	1	Sweeper & Scavenger	„	„	„	1/2	„	„	1	1/2	„	„	
				Office Establishment						Office Establishment											
			1	Clerk III Class 2d Grade	„	„	„	70	1	Clerk III Class 2d Grade	„	„	„	70	„	„	1	70	„	„	
			1	Do IV Class 1st Grade	„	„	„	45	„					„	„	„	„	„	1	45	
			1	Do Do 2d Grade	„	„	„	35	1	Do IV Class 2d Grade	„	„	„	35	„	„	1	35	„	„	
			2	Do Do 3rd Grade	„	„	„	25	2	Do Do 3rd Grade	„	„	„	25	„	„	1	50	„	„	
			4	Do Do for Sub Dns	„	„	„	25	1	Do for 1 Sub Dn	„	„	„	25	„	„	1	25	1	75	
			1	Messenger	„	„	„	7	1	Messenger	„	„	„	7	„	„	1	7	„	„	
			5	Do	„	„	„	6	2	Do	„	„	„	6	„	„	1	12	1	18	
			1	Draftsman 1st Class	„	„	„	60	1	Draftsman 1st Class	„	„	„	60	„	„	1	60	„	„	
			1	Do 3rd Class	„	„	„	25	1	Do 3rd Class	„	„	„	25	„	„	1	25	„	„	
									1	Accountant	„	„	„	80	„	„	1	80	„	„	
				Lower Subordinate Establishment						Lower Subordinate Establishment											
			2	Sub overseers	„	„	„	60	1	Sub overseer	„	„	„	60	„	„	1	60	1	60	
			2	Do	„	„	„	40	1	Do	„	„	„	40	„	„	1	40	1	40	
										Total for 1 month								520½		303	
										Total for 10 months								5205		3030	

N.B. Establishment required for March and April/94 is included in the General Statement (C) of the Circle for 1894–95. This statement provides for 10 months from May/94 to February/95 inclusive.

Engrs office 6th Circle }
[illegible] 11th March/94

Supg. Engineer VI Circle

From
The Supg Engineer
VI Circle

Enclosure No: 1 to
S.E. VI No: 553 P.R
of 11 March 1894

R.A.
977/94

Statement of proposition for temporary establishment required in case No: 2. Periyar Dn is retained on reduced scale

A

---

80 GW

11

[illegible]

Note –

[illegible]

DC – This case [illegible]

126/12

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following :—

Letter from the Supg. Engineer W. Circle No. 553. R.R. dt 11th March 1894

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. dt 15th March 1894.

FOR ORDERS.

Approved
W
13 Mar.

With reference to the accompanying note and draft G.O., it is suggested that if the proposed orders be approved, Mr. Carless be transferred to the West Coast Division in place of Mr. Manson whose transfer was suggested a day or two ago. This will enable Mr. Smyth

13—300—23-3-93.

who, it was intended, should relieve Mr. Manson, to remain in the Kistna District where there is plenty of work for him.

If these suggestions be approved, the necessary minutes will be submitted.

H.D.

FOR ORDERS.

Proposed abolition No. II. Periyar Divisi

N.S. [illegible]

No: 806W. dt 21st March 1894.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Genl Estabt

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Genl Estabt

806/13

READ the following

Letter from the Supg. Engineer II Circle No 553. P.C. dt 11.111

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D. dt 15th March 189

Please show proof. R[illegible] 22/3/94

R.S.

w 18 Mar

His Excellency the Governor-in-Council accepts the recommendation of the Chief Engineer P.W.D. The Periyar No. II Division will be abolished and the works belonging to it formed into two subdivisions under the Executive Engineer Madura Division.

2. Separate orders will be issued regarding the employme

(40—1,000—22 2-94.)

r careless; the employment of the Subordinate staff now attached to the Division will be dealt with by the Chief Engineer

The proposition sement marked buitted by the Suptg: Engineer is approved, but formal sanction to it and the general statement for the Circle (marked A) will be Communicated in separate orders.

S 16

Note The Proposition statements must be checked in the Examiner Office before formal Sanction can be given

DES[illegible]
3 AUG 1

Despatched

File P.W. [illegible] [illegible]

To the ~~Chief Engineer, P.W.D.~~ ~~2~~ S. E. VI Circle

" Chief Engineer for [illegible]

" Revenue Dept

" Examiner P.W. accounts

No. 1979

Govt. of 1894 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

22/3

40 W

Dated

Endt.

G.O. 21/3 1894 No. 806 W

Periyar No. II Dn.

Orders directing the abolition of — and the formation of the works belonging to it into two Sub divisions under the Ex. Engr. Madura Dn.

10/6

J.C. 21/3

21/3