

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரக் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

4

10/11/92

12

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியார்

அரசாணை எண்.	654	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	9-3-1894	199

பு.கே.

மடிக்கலோ கண்டபடி கையாளலோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

654
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), dated 19th January 1894, No. 14.

Read—G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial.

Resolution—dated 19th January 1894, No. 11.

Communicated to Deputy and Assistant Commissioners for information.

2. With reference to the statement appended to paragraph 2 of the Financial Government Order, the Board begs to represent for the consideration of Government the following difficulties arising from the revision and restriction of the powers hitherto exercised by it and by the Deputy Commissioners in sanctioning estimates for works.

3. The system under which works are executed in the Salt Department, and the powers of several officers to sanction estimates, were fully described by the Honorable Mr. Bliss in his letter printed in G.O., dated 7th July 1884, No. 2850, Revenue, and were approved by Government and by the Government of India as a special case. That system was intended to secure promptitude in the execution of works connected with the department. Up to 31st March 1887, the Commissioner of Salt Revenue sanctioned estimates for works up to Rs. 2,000 in each case from the budget allotments both for new works and for repairs, and up to Rs. 500 in each case, and Rs. 10,000 in the aggregate per annum from general savings. Since the reconstitution of the Board, however, in April 1887, the Commissioner, who then became a member of the Board, has exercised the Board's powers * of sanction, not those of the Salt Commissioner. Under the Government Order read at the head of this paper, the Commissioner will hereafter be able to sanction estimates to a maximum limit of only Rs. 1,000 in each case for works provided for in the budget, and Rs. 500 in each case

* New works entered in the budget costing more than Rs. 2,000 and costing not more than Rs. 5,000.
All repairs entered in the budget costing more than Rs. 2,000.
Allotments from savings for unforeseen works up to Rs. 5,000 in each case.

and not exceeding Rs. 10,000 for the year from general savings; and Deputy Commissioners will apparently no longer have power to sanction estimates as they now do for new works up to a limit of Rs. 250 and for repairs up to Rs. 500 in each case from budget allotments.

4. The effects of the above changes will be as follows :—

- (i) All estimates hitherto sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioners, amounting to about 900 per annum, will hereafter have to be transmitted to the Board for sanction.
- (ii) About 82 estimates per annum ranging in value from Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 5,000 (and in the case of repairs more than Rs. 5,000), which have been hitherto sanctioned by the Board, will have to be submitted to Government for sanction.
- (iii) Many estimates for unforeseen works and petty repairs which have to be sanctioned from general savings, especially towards the close of the year, will have to be sent up to Government for sanction when the limit of Rs. 10,000, now prescribed by Government, is reached.

5. Most of the estimates referred to in (ii) and (iii) above are for annual repairs to platforms, channels, bunds, &c., and are prepared after the close of the rains, while the works have to be executed before the commencement of manufacture, *i.e.*, between January and March. To admit of this being done, there should be no delay in sanctioning the estimates. If, therefore, these have to be sanctioned by Government, they will have first to be submitted to the Board, and, after scrutiny and review by it, submitted to Government for sanction. This course will certainly cause delay in the execution of works, and will also entail additional correspondence and increase of work in the Board's office.

6. The Board doubts whether the changes just described, which will add considerably to the work both of the Board and of Government, besides being detrimental to the public interests in the cases referred to, were intended by Government, and as the conditions of the Separate Revenue Department are peculiar and the old system has hitherto worked well, the Board ventures to request that the Separate Revenue Department may be excepted from the application of the order.

7. Pending the receipt of orders on this reference, Deputy Commissioners will be requested to submit to the Board lists of works sanctioned by them in the month up to the date of receipt of the Government Order by them, and to submit after check for the Board's sanction all estimates on hand and any which may hereafter be received by them.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

Copy to the Deputy and Assistant Commissioners.

Transferred to the Financial Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
25th January 1894.

(Signed)

C. A. GALTON,
Secy. to Govt., R.D.

ORDER—dated 27th February 1894, No. 172, Financial.

In the circumstances represented, so much of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial, as relates to the Commissioner of Salt Revenue, will be cancelled and the Separate Revenue Department will be exempted from the application of that order as regards works executed in the Salt Department.

(True Extract.)

Redmond

Chief Secretary.

To the Revenue Department.

" Public Works Department.

" Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue).

" Accountant-General.

654
-2

20-2-17

Govt. of {1894} Madras.
FINANCIAL.



1050
No. 654 W. d. 9th March 1894
Recorded.

Issued

Recd. *5-2-94*

Encl.

G.O., 27th February 1894, No. 172.

L. 2, 0018
7-2-94

FEBRUARY.

note
This order does not affect
the P.W.D.
R.S.

Powers of Sanction.

Cancelling so much of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, as relates to the Commissioner of Salt Revenue, and exempting the Separate Revenue Department from its application as regards works executed in the Salt Department.

2.C.9/111

for the order

10/3
20th March 1894