

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கப்படம்

8

செப்டம்பர் 1992

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பெரியபாளையம் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.	636	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	7-3-1894	199

ப.கே

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாள்வோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

7TH MARCH 1894, No. 636 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

READ—the following paper:—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 13th February 1894, No. 485 D.

In the contingent bill of the Ganjam Division for September 1893, a charge of As. 12-0 was preferred on account of postal commission on a money order sent to the father of a deceased overseer at Trivandrum.

2. As the legal heir of the overseer was residing in a Native State, the remittance by money order was perhaps unavoidable; but as I am doubtful whether the charge is one correctly debitable to Government, I think it desirable to refer the case to Government for orders, with reference to Code, Chapter III., paragraph 118.

3. In this connection I would suggest that opportunity be taken to impress upon Executive Officers of the Department that payments by money order should only be made in *really unavoidable* cases. Civil Account Code, Volume I., Chapter V., paragraph 63, lays down that "the leave allowances of a non-gazetted officer on leave in India can only be drawn from the treasury from which his salary is disbursed and that he must make his own arrangements for drawing it there and getting it remitted to him." This rule applies with greater force to payments of salaries; and I am inclined to think that it is only in cases where an officer is stationed, in the interests of the public service, at a place where there is no Government treasury, that the fee for remittance by money order is admissible as a State charge. In all other cases the postal commission, should, I think, invariably be deducted from the amount due and not treated as a contingent charge and debited to establishment.

ORDER—dated 7th March 1894, No. 636 W., Public Works.

His Excellency the Governor in Council agrees with the Examiner that commission on money orders for pay and allowances should not be charged to Government, except in cases where an officer is stationed, in the interests of the public service, at a place where there is no Government treasury; where remittances can be made through Government treasuries, money orders should not be resorted to, except at the request of the payee who will then bear the charge for commission.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
" Superintending Engineers.
" the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" the Superintendent of Works, Periyar Project.
" the Consulting Architect to Government.

Ezd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Sobdt. of {1894} Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 7th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 7th Mar. 1894, No. 636 W.

MARCH.

Money Orders

Orders relating to the use of — and the
payment of commission thereon by Public
Works Officers.

KEEP-WITH

dated

189

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1894.

{ P. W.
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, /3 February 1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 485 D.

To

W.

In the Contingent bill of the
Ganjam Division for September 1893, a
charge of annas twelve was preferred on
account of Postal Commission on a money-
order sent to the father of a deceased
overseer, at Trivendrum.

2. As the legal heir of the overseer
was residing in a Native State, the re-
mittance by money order was perhaps un-
avoidable; but as I am doubtful whether
the charge is one correctly debitable to
Government, I think it desirable to re-
fer the case to Government for orders,
with reference to Code chapter III para
118.

3. In this connection I would sug-
gest that opportunity be taken to im-
press upon the Executive Officers of
the Department, that payments by money-
order should only be made in really un-
avoidable cases. Civil Account Code
volume I, chapter V, para 33, lays down
that "the leave allowances of a non
gazetted officer on leave in India can

only be drawn from the treasury from which his salary is disbursed and that he must make his own arrangements for drawing it there and getting it remitted to him." This rule applies with greater force to payments of salaries; and I am inclined to think that it is only in cases where an officer is stationed, in the interests of the public service, at a place where there is no Government treasury, that the fee for remittance by money-order is admissible as a State charge. In all other cases the postal commission, should, I think, invariably be deducted from the amount due and not treated as a Contingent charge and debited to establishment.

Recd. 16.2.94

43-
Hyp
C.

hush

No 636 W. dy 7th March 1894

PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

Genl Secy

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

636
3

Read the following

office note from the
Examiners P.W. accts. N.E. No 485. Dated 13 Feb. 94

W
6 Mar

N.E. the Governor
in Council agrees with
the Examiners that
commission on no
orders for pay and
allowances should
be charged to Govern-
ment except in cases
where an officer is
stationed, in the
interests of the public
service, at a place
where there is no Govern-
ment treasury - where

be made through
government treasuries,
money orders should
not be resorted to,
except at the request
of the payee who will
then bear the charge
for commission.

The use of money orders
and the payment of
Commission thereon

0013

no 294

S

11-

DESP

12 MAR 94

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation
Supt. Engrs.
He dr. P. W. accto
Supt. of works, Penjan
Project
Comm. Architect. to Govt.

29/2 R7/3

24-7-14

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

6364

7/3/14 6364

Money Order

Orders relating to
the use of — and
the payment of
commission thereon
by S.W. officers

220
10
220
12

26. 9/10

INDEXED
9/3