

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் வருக்கோயம்

4

8/92

~~8/92~~

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D

~~P.W.D~~

திறை

அரசாணை எண்.	630	அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.		பலவகை
நாள் :	7-3-1884	199

2

மடிக்கவோ சுண்படி கையாள்வோ கூடாது.

0.001.

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following G.O., dated 23rd December 1893, No. 1461, Pension :—

Read—letter from the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, dated 28th August 1893, No. 1334-G.D.

Read—Certificate and Report from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 22nd November 1893, No. 1898-B.

Order—dated 23rd December 1893, No. 1461, Pension.

Under articles 468 and 518 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Penupothu Swamy, late a Steamer Syrang and Engine Driver, Gódvári Eastern Division, a compensation gratuity of Rs. (90) ninety only, payable at Rajahmundry, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 6 years and 10 months.

2. The Government considers that the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is wrong in certifying that article 471, Civil Service Regulations, applies to the present case. The article in question relates to the discharge of inefficient officers, and there is nothing on record to show that the applicant was held to be inefficient. His appointment was abolished in 1880, but the applicant himself was retained on the same work until 1893, and the Government considers that he is clearly entitled to a compensation gratuity under article 468, Civil Service Regulations.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Public Works Department.
" Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

READ—also the following letter from I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD, Esq., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, Madras, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Madras, 17th January 1894, No. 113-B. :—

With reference to paragraph 2 of G.O., Pension Department No. 1461, of the 23rd December 1893, stating that my office was wrong in having certified that Civil Service Regulations article 471 (which relates to the discharge of inefficient officers) did not apply to the case of P. Swamy, late a Steamer Syrang and Engine Driver, Gódvári Eastern Division, I have the honour to reply as follows.

2. P. Swamy was borne on the regular permanent petty establishment of the Public Works Department from 1st June 1873 to 31st March 1880. From 1st April 1880 his pay was debited to "Works" instead of to "Establishment, permanent"; and as this change in the incidence of his pay could not be said to have resulted in any saving to Government, which is one of the conditions required to be fulfilled before a compensation pension (including gratuity—vide Civil Service Regulations article 50) can be granted, it was thought that any retiring allowance which the Government may feel inclined to grant to P. Swamy would require the sanction of the Government

of India, as under the note to Civil Service Regulations article 471 that Government only has the power to relax the ruling as to saving. There is no question of inefficiency in the present case, and the ruling quoted was Civil Service Regulations article 471 note, and not 471 by itself.

3. From the wording of the Government Order, it would appear that Government is under the impression that article 471 refers only to discharge of inefficient officers. Under article 468, a compensation pension is awarded to an officer discharged from the public service, because on a reduction of establishment his appointment is abolished and other suitable employment could not be found for him. Articles 469 to 471 prescribe the conditions which have to be fulfilled before a compensation pension can be granted; and article 471 in particular explains what is meant by an appointment being abolished, and stipulates that there should be a saving before the retiring allowance can be granted—*vide* also Appendix No. 6 to Civil Service Regulations quoted in article 471.

4. I would request therefore that the sanction now accorded be reconsidered in the light of these facts, and that the confirmation of the Government of India to the gratuity obtained.

READ—also G.O., dated 23rd January 1894, No. 203 W., Public Works.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating decision of the Government of India in regard to the transfer of certain men from the head "Establishment" to that of "Works."

ORDER—dated 26th February 1894, No. 202, Pension.

Under the new ruling of the Government of India embodied in G.O., dated 23rd January 1894, No. 203 W., the compensation gratuity already sanctioned to Penupothu Swamy is correct, as he was superannuated on 1st June 1893 on attaining the age of 55 years; and the reference to the Supreme Government suggested by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, is not therefore necessary.

2. On reconsideration, the Government resolves to cancel the ruling contained in paragraph 2 of the Pension G.O. read above to the effect that article 471, Civil Service Regulations, does not apply to the case in question. The previous rulings of the Government of India show that the condition that the abolition must produce a real saving to Government is of general application and does not apply only to cases of discharge of inefficient officers.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
„ the Public Works Department.
„ the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.

Encl. J. Moses.

50 Copies.

Gobt. of {1894} Madras.

PENSION.

23/2

1st 630 W. d/ 7th March 1894

Recorded in continuation
of G.O. 37 W. d/ 9th January
1894.

Sgt J.R.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26th February 1894, No. 202.

FEBRUARY.

Deciding that the compensation gratuity already granted to Pennpothu Swamy in G.O.; dated 23rd December 1893, No. 1461, Pension, is correct, and cancelling the ruling contained in paragraph 2 of that G.O. that article 471, Civil Service Regulations, does not apply to his case.