(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 14

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மார்ச் 1992

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

# தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

| | |
|---|---|
| அரசாணை எண். <br> மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 617 | அச்சகம் <br> பலவகை |
| நாள் : 6-3-1894 199 | |

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

6TH MARCH 1894, No. 617 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

617/1

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

**General.**
**Account.**

READ—the following paper:—

Office-note from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 28th February 1894, No. 762 D.

[Half-yearly returns of stock and tools and plant.]

Letters No. 155, dated 9th February 1894, from the Executive Engineer, Gódávari Western Division, and No. 185, dated 16th February 1894, from the Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, are forwarded in original for the orders of Government.

2. The remarks of the Executive Engineer in regard to the inconvenience of the present system are concurred in, and the only feasible method of even partially remedying the defect is to discontinue *combined* half-yearly returns and adopt instead the procedure prescribed by the Government of India, viz., submission of the *original* sub-divisional returns (*vide* Code, XIII, 41).

3. This will throw additional work on my office as it will necessitate the audit of transfers of articles between sub-divisions, instead of only between divisions as at present, but I am willing to undertake this as it will afford very great relief to divisional offices.

4. It will be necessary that returns for the half-year immediately preceding should accompany those being sent in for audit in order that opening balances may be verified.

5. The favour of early orders is requested.

### ENCLOSURES.

From A. JOYCE, Esq., Executive Engineer, Gódávari Western Division, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 9th February 1894, No. 155.

In forwarding herewith my returns * of tools and plant for the half-year ending 31st December 1893, I have the honour to state that it is not possible to properly fill in column 20 "latest date when actually counted" of Public Works Department form No. 13, the short space allowed for the same being quite inample for the necessary information to be filled in.

2. It is not in the power of any to have all the tools, or a particular species of tools, in the division counted on the same day, as they will be in different localities of the division, each distant from the other, and their carriage and recarriage to one place and to different quarters again resulting each time in a good deal of inconvenience to the work going on in the locality, and much more in the unnecessary expenditure to Government.

3. Take, for example, the item mamoties; their total number in the division is 860, and they are situated in different localities of each sub-division, each distant from the other. It is not possible for each sub-division officer to have all the mamoties in the sub-division counted on the same day, nor is it at least possible for each sub-division officer to have all the mamoties in his own sub-division counted on the same day.

---

* Sent by a separate packet.

4. It is usual, and at the same time convenient, for each sub-division officer to count the tools in the different localities of the sub-division as he touches each locality during his tour, and which cannot but otherwise be on different dates and in different months in some cases.

5. Different dates and different months on which a count of a certain species of tools takes place in one sub-division, added together to other dates and other months on which the articles constituting the same class are counted in other sub-divisions, will be, in the consolidated return, an unwieldy bulk of figures for which ample space is not provided in the column.

6. In the present half-yearly returns, some of the sub-division officers have only given, and could only give, the month instead of the date.

7. As the most important thing that is to be looked to is to see whether the articles are counted or not at least once in the year, it would be, I think, under the above circumstances, better and convenient to give either the month or the half-year in which they are counted instead of date as required by the column. I beg your clear instructions on the subject.

---

From C. H. T. NORFOR, Esq., Executive Engineer, Trichinopoly Division, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 16th February 1894, No. 185 Exr.

With reference to paragraph 2 of the audit note on the balance return of tools and plant for the half-year ending 30th November 1893, pointing to the necessity of entering in the column "date of count" the date of count of each kind of article in the return, I have the honour to bring to notice that almost all the items have been distributed among the several subordinates in the division by whom count was taken on different dates. This will necessitate the entry of about a half dozen dates against each item which will be inconvenient, considering the small space provided for the purpose.

2. Under the above circumstances, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether you still consider that the entry of different dates of count against each item is necessary.

---

ORDER—dated 6th March 1894, No. 617 W., Public Works.

The Examiner's proposals are approved.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies

617/2

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

# Govt. of {1894} Madras.

## PUBLIC WORKS.

*Dated March, 6th.*

*Recd.* *Encl.*

**G.O., 6th Mar. 1894, No. 617 W.**

**MARCH.**

## Returns of stock and tools and plant

Proposals of the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, recommending the discontinuance of combined half-yearly — and the adoption instead of the procedure prescribed in the Government of India Code, approved.

3000—3-2-91.

---

617/3 (C. No. $\frac{1201}{8}$.)

KEEP-WITH . CURRENT NO.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS.} 1894. {P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

*Madras,* 28 February 1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 762 D

To

W.

Half-yearly returns of Stock, & Tools and Plant.

Letters, No. I55 dated 9th. February I894 from the Executive Engineer Godavari Western Division and No. I85 dated I8th. February I894 from the Executive Engineer Trichinopoly Division, are forwarded in original for the orders of Government.

2. The remarks of the Executive Engineer in regard to the inconvenience of the present system are concurred in; and the only feasible method of even partially remedying the defect is to discontinue combined half yearly returns, and adopt, instead, the procedure prescribed by the Government of India, viz; submission of the original Sub-divisional returns (vide Code XIII, 4I).

3. This will throw additional work on my office as it will necessitate the audit of transfers of articles between sub-divisions, instead of only between divisions, as at present, but I am willing

V. CURRENT No. 1610

to undertake this as it will afford very great relief to Divisional offices.

4. It will be necessary that returns for the half year immediately preceding, should accompany those being sent in for audit, in order that opening balances may be verified.

5. The favour of early orders is requested.

A
5 Pr
Genl.

No. 617 W. d/6th March 1894

The Examiner's proposals are approved.

Encl 2
Recd: 6.3.94

OVS
3.3/94

DESPATCHED
19 MAR 94

Supdg: Engineers
C. A. to Govt.
Supdt. of Works Project
Exar. P.W. accts.

---

EXAMINER
12 FEB 1894

I think it would be quite sufficient the month of count is given in the column. If approved the E.E. will be informed accordingly.

15/2

Please return 16/2

the same dates being in some cases noted twice over

D/2

In the return submitted for H. Y. ending 31-12-93, the E.E. has noted the number of each description of article counted on each particular date. This has of course taken up a lot of space which was unnecessary & ~~[illegible]~~ is not what the rule requires. Dates only need have been entered: differences between book balances & actual count being noted and explained in the last two cols: of the form.

2. At the same time there is no doubt that the space allowed in col: 20 of the printed form for noting the dates is insufficient and it might be increased to double the width when E.E. print the next supply & with this increased width of col: there ought to be no difficulty in giving at least 6 different dates (as shown below) & this will perhaps be as much as will ever be required.

| 29/6, 14/7, 3/8, 5/9, 16/10, 25/11 |

The E.E. Trinevelly has also made a similar ref.

22/2

---

617/4

No. 155

D. P. W.

Executive Engineer's Office, Godavari Western Division,
Dated 9th February 1894

From
A. Joyce Esquire,
Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Division.

To
The Examiner
P. W. Accounts
Madras.

Enclosures,

Sir,

In forwarding herewith my ×returns of Tools and Plant for the Half Year ending 31st December 189
I have the honour to state that it w
not possible to properly fill in Colum
20 "Latest date when actually counted
of P. W. D. form No 13 the short spac
allowed for the same being quite ina
for the necessary information to be fi

2. It is not in the power of any
have all the tools of a particular sp
of tools in the Division counted on t
same day as they will be in differen
localities of the Division each distan

× Sent by a separate packet

from the other and their carriage and recarriage to one place and to different quarters again, resulting each time in a good deal of inconvenience to the work going on in the locality, and much more in the unnecessary expenditure to Government.

3. Take for example the item mamoties their total number in the Division is 860, and they are situated in different localities of each Sub Dn., each distant from the other. It is not possible for each Sub Dn. Officer to have all the mamoties in the Sub Dns. counted on the same day, nor is it at least possible for each Sub Dn. Officer to have all the mamoties in his own Sub Dn. counted on the same day.

4. It is usual and at the same time convenient for each Sub Dn. Officer to count the tools in the different localities of the Sub Dn., as he touches each locality, during his tour, and which cannot but otherwise be on different dates and in different months in some cases

5 Different dates and different months

on which a count of a certain species of tools takes place in one Sub Dn. added together to other dates and other months on which the articles constituting the same class are counted in other Sub Dns. will be, in the consolidated return, an unwieldy bulk of figures for which ample space is not provided in the column.

6. In the present Half Yearly returns, some of the Sub Division Officers have only given and could only give the Month instead of the date.

7. As the most important thing that is to be looked to is to see whether the articles are counted or not at least once in the Year, it would be I think under the above circumstances better and convenient to give either the Month or the ½ Year in which they are counted instead of date as required by the column. I beg your clear instructions on the subject.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

A. Joyce
Executive Engineer

NO.

D. P. W.

From

The Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Division,

To

The

Dated
Despatched
Received
189

SUBJECT.

---

M

not to print

To lie over pending settlement of a similar enquiry from the G.D. Water Div (now under discussion)

617/6

19 FEB

NO. 185 Cun

8

FROM C. H. T. Norfor Sagre

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

TRICHINOPOLY DIVISION.

4

To,

THE Examiner of P.W. Accounts
Madras

Dated 16
Despatched 17 } February 1894

Enclosure.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of the audit note on the Balance Return of Tools and Plant for the Half year ending 30th November 1893 pointing to the necessity of entering in the Column "date of Count" the date of Count of each kind of article in the Return, I have the honor to bring to notice that almost all the items have been distributed among the several

677
7

several subordinates in the Division by whom count was taken on different dates. This will necessitate the entry of about a half dozen dates against each item which will be inconvenient considering the small space provided for the purpose.

Under the above circumstances I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to inform me whether you still consider that the entry of different dates of count against each item is necessary.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Executive Engineer
Trichy Divn

Ow E. 1
1610/84

J.C

1610

Govt. of (189 ) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

G.O. 6/3/ 4 G/W

Returns of Stock and Tools & Plant

=

Proposals of the Examiner P.W. Accounts recommending the discontinuance of combined halfyearly — and the adoption instead of the procedure provided ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ in the Acct Code, approved.

22.7/iii

INDEXED.
7/8