13

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை ௨௬

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 3-92

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W.D. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 587 | அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். | பலவகை

நாள் : 3-3-1894 199

M4

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

11.72
Cir. 1422

Dw 1/87

Resubmitted with reference to W's remarks.

The acceptance of Suboverseer Mr Jennings' resignation or his dismissal, is within the Supdg Engr's powers.

Orders of Govt are required because Mr Jennings has appealed to Govt against the S.E.'s order directing him to resign on pain of dismissal

Ce
27.2

Yes – the order might be that G.C. sees no reason to interfere with the action of the S.E. –

[illegible] DSW
27/2

H. O'Connell Esquire,
ineer Nellore Division

th O'Connell Esquire
ineer Nellore Divisio

1422

Ord 7/1/90

For orders.

Mr Jennings has 9 years pensionable service, & appears to have been connected with the P.W.D. on the Works establt. at Sanjam & Polinghi for several years before his permanent appt.

Ce
23-[illegible]-84

The correspondence shows that Mr. Jennings at his present time, is unfit for the duties of a Sub-overseer. There can be no use in trying him in under circle, as his chief reason for appointing him was his knowledge of Surveying, and

No where else in this
Presidency is such a
man required more
than at Bezwada.
I think that his
resignation should be
accepted — or his
under dispensing
with his services
approved — He
could not retain
any pension under
these orders

D.W.B.

26 Sept

What has [illegible] to do
with the matter? Is it
not giving a pension for the
S.E.?

D 26/9

Similarly to Mr Jennings appeal

3/87

J Smuthers having written to me for a Testimonial
I have much pleasure in certifying that J Smuthers
served under me at Pottingy Ghaut, superintending the Blasting. His conduct was
all that was required while under me.
He had to leave on account of fever

ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 3/674
17 FEB.94

25th June
1882

(Sd) J. Lever
Captain
D.P.W.

True Copy
J Jennings
Sub Overseer P.W.D.

GUDUR
S. D. OFFICE, P.W.D.
25 JAN.94

---

in the quarries and to the Check

No where else in this Presidency is such a man required more than at Bezwada.

---

encl 3 to Mr Jennings appeal

4/(b)/418(c)

17 FEB 94

Mr Smithers was employed more than one year on the Sangam Project works superintending blasting and quarrying stone. He is steady and hard working and has had a great deal of experience in stone works generally.

4/58

(Sd) Duncan McNiel Campbell

Executive Engineer Sangam Project

19th February 1885

True Copy

J Jennings
Sub Overseer P.W.D.

GUDUR
S. D. OFFICE, P.W.D.
25 JAN. 94

no where else in this
Presidency is such a
man required more
than at Bezwada.

Enclo. No 3 to [illegible] 745

Letter from W. O'Connell Esquire,
Executive Engineer, Nellore Division,
to the Superintending Engineer, 2nd Circle,
dy 6th March 1890, No. 614.

Mr. Sub Overseer Smithers is
pretty useless at any work except
quarrying. There is no quarrying
work of any magnitude on which
I can employ him.

I should be obliged if you
could find him work on which
he could be made useful. He
is steady.

Letter from W. Jopp Esqre. Executive
Engineer Kistna Eastern Division,
to the Supg. Engineer, 2nd Circle,
dy 16th July 1890, No. 1920.

I have the honor to report that
on arrival of Sub Overseer Mr.
Smithers from the Nellore Division
I put him in executive charge
of the Seetanagaram, Bezwada,
and Mogulrajpuram Quarries
i.e. his duties were solely confined
to superintending quarry operations
in the quarries and to the check

Gumastahs. The Anicut Supdt.
was as before directed to supply
the labor to the quarries and to
arrange for the conveying of material
to the loading places and to despatch
them to works.

2. On reopening of the Canals
there was some little difficulty
experienced in working out this
plan. It was therefore arranged
that Mr. Smithers should have sole
charge only of the Magulvay [illegible]
Quarries and its Canal loading
place, the other quarries being left
with the Anicut Superintendent.
Mr. Smithers duties were thus
confined solely to the Magalvay [illegible]
Quarry and they consisted of
the supervision of actual quarry
operations, the submission of
Nominal Muster Rolls and ten
day reports as also the conveyance
to the Canal and despatching
of materials to works.

3. It seemed to me that there
was scarcely enough work for
Mr. Smithers but as no other workable
arrangement could be thought

---

of materials despatched to
works and the quarry operatio
have been slower than was
expected. Mr. Smithers now
complains that the work
is too heavy for him. 6/8

5. He requests that all office
work be taken away from hi
that he should have nothing el
to do but superintend the quarr
operations. By office work bei
taken away from him, Mr.
Smithers means that he shoul
not be expected to see to the
Nominal Muster Roll and
ten day reports or to take the
measurements of the stone turne
out as is clear from the follow
extract from his letter "If a
"one else could be permitted
"to keep my accounts &c &
"I could manage the quarry
"and give every one satisfacti
"as I have always done for
"last 15 years. measurement
"and all such must be tak
"from me".

6. If I comply with this reque

quarries will be carefully [illegible]

work and I consider a native
of the Country would be more suitable
for such an appointment.
7. Further Mr. Smithers has of late
exhibited a dissatisfied and unwilling
spirit and under the circumstances
I should feel extremely obliged if you
would arrange for his transfer to
some other division where the work
may possibly be more suited to him.
8. While on this subject, I should
be obliged by your according your
sanction to my engaging a temporary
hand as quarry superintendent
for the Magutrazpuram Quarry
on a salary of Rs 35 to 40 to be met
from the manufacture operations.

Extract from Mr Hannan's note
dy 27th July 1890

Mr Malet and Mr O'Connell both
informed me that Mr Smithers was
a useless man and it was only
their mistaken good nature prevented
them from reporting him. Mr O'Connell
would not I feel quite sure, have
asked for his transfer if he found
him of the slightest use.

---

losure 1 to Mr Jennings appeal

S. E. ENGINEER'S
No 2/674
17 FEB. 94

Letter from Mr R. A. Salisbury Sub
Divisional officer Kundukur to the Executive
Engineer, Nellore Division dated Bulladinne
13th December 1893, No 776. Encl 1

With reference to your endorsement No
2191 of 10-12-93 I have the honor to state that
Sub-Overseer has no knowledge of levelling n
does he understand the preparation of estima
he has however got a smattering knowledge
Masonry works and if properly instructed
will carefully superintend any work entrus
to him.

Letter from J. E. Paul Esqr. Executive
Engineer, Nellore Division to the Superintendi
Engineer, II Circle, Bezwada dated 22nd Decr
No 410 Encl 1

Subject — Sub-overseer Mr Jennings.

I have the honor to state that Mr Jennings
Sub-overseer has been tried in every Sub Dn
and has been found thoroughly incompetent.
I enclose a copy of the last report on him.
A short time ago he forwarded to me

that I will carefully superint

is post. Failing this I would suggest that
services be dispensed with.

Endorsement by the Superintending Engineer,
Circle dated 6th January 1894. No 591 N.L.
Encl/

The undersigned is of opinion that Mr
Jennings is not qualified for the position of
Sub-overseer. He should, therefore, be called
to resign. If he does not resign his services
will be dispensed with subject to the approval
of Government.

GUDUR
S.D. OFFICE, P.W.D.
3928

No 10 G
15/1/94.

/True Copy/

Forwarded to Mr Jennings sub-overseer for information. He is called on to resign before 1st February 1894 failing which his services will be dispensed with subject to the approval of Government.

GUDUR
S.D. OFFICE, P.W.D.
5 JAN 94

Executive Engineer.
Nellore Division

No 2091E of 19-1-94
Forwarded to Sub Overseer
Mr Jennings
A.N. Mayoorkan
Sub Engr S.D.O.

to be noted

24-1-94

See No 2 to Mr Jennings appeal

17 FEB 94

9 FEB 94

Extract from G.O. No 398 W. Dated
17th February 1885

8/587

3 Second Grade: J. Smithers this man
has been employed recently in the Sangam
works, and is strongly recommended by the
Executive Engineer as he has had consider-
-able experience in quarrying. He writes
a neat hand, and can keep accounts and
measure up work. He is hardworking, and
handles his coolies well. Can speak Telugu
fairly. He was formerly employed as an
Sub overseer in the Vizagapatam District.
He is, however 41 years of age and his empl-
-oyment will require the special sanction of
Government—

1st as he is unpassed
2nd as he is over 25

4

I consider he is a useful man to

and that if properly instructed
that I will carefully

J. D. 329.e

The appointments proposed by the Superintending Engineer are approved

The sanction of Government to the appointments of the two men who are over ages ill be applied for.

(Sd)

(By order)

C. Bowen Major R.E.

Dy: Chief Engineer P.W.D.

14th February 1885

No. R.4
[illegible]

True Copy

GUDUR
S. D. OFFICE, P.W.D.
25 JAN 94

OFFICE, P.W.D.
5 JAN 94

Forwarded [illegible]

Dated 24th January 1894.

The Secretary to Government
Madras P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir

I beg most respectfully to submit* this my humble appeal against the order of the Superintending Engineer II Circle dated 6th January 1894 No. 591 W/I declaring me to be disqualified for the post of Sub Overseer, failing which I am given to understand that my services will be dispensed with. A copy of this order and the correspondence on which it is based forwarded to me through the Gudur Subdivisional Officer C.H.D. Marjoribanks Esq. is enclosed.

* Encl. No. 1

2. The report of Mr. R.A. Salisbury Kundukur S.D.O. No. 776 13-12-93 which heads the correspondence he states that I have no knowledge of levelling, nor do I understand the preparation of estimates and that I have a smattering knowledge of masonry work and that if properly instructed that I will carefully supervise

of my speci...
by G. O. 398
strong recommendation [illegible]
in my favour by Captain
Duncan McNeil Campbell
R.E. in charge of the Sangam
Project Works with reference
to the good work done by me
in quarrying for the said
works and in this connection
I submit copy* of extract from
G. O. No. 398/H 17-2-85 in which the
extract of my qualifications
is recorded & to state that
I have never had the benefit
of a college course in my
earlier years. That a knowledge
of surveying, levelling & esti-
-mating is not expected of
me, and that holding as I do
a rank in the lower subordinate
grade I am supposed to be
an Overseer's assistant whose
instructions I have to carry
out suitable to my position
and attainments, but I
beg to leave to mention in my
own interest I sought the
assistance of Sergt. E. Cope
of the Department who gave
me an insight of surveying
& levelling and that in my
humble opinion I could
say that I am not so very
ignorant of these things as
Mr. Salisbury would make
out that officer guaranteeing
to the best of my belief
tested me in these matters...

*Encl. 2

5.

10/587

of my duties, and ... Mr.
Salisbury would certify
carefully superintend any
work entrusted to me.
In conclusion I beg most
respectfully to submit that
my present post was conferred
on me by Government as a
special case, and as I look
upon it as a reward for the
special services rendered
by me on the Sangam Project
Works and that it would
be a very hard case to turn
me out of the service now
when I have attained the
ripe age of 49 years. I therefore
humbly pray that in as
much as I have not been
reported for any misconduct
or other impropriety the
Government would be pleased
to transfer me to another
Circle or retire me on such
pension as my services will
entitle me to, for which
act of justice I shall
feel ever grateful.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most humble &
obedient servant
J Jennings.
Sub Overseer P.W.D.

Submitted.
Through C. H. J. Majoribanks Esq.
Executive Engineer P.W.D.
Gudur Subdivisional Officer

government & a
enclosures ready
as Jennings.

Forwarded to Supt En

J. E. P.
6 FEB. 94

W Ward

11/58

P.W.D. SECOND CIRCLE
17 FEB. 94

Sie to No 2 [illegible] 745 NL
21.2.94

[illegible]
14/5 4

Mr Jennings appeal

Superintending Engineer's Office.

No. 745 NL

II CIRCLE, Bezwada
Dated 21st February 1894.

From,
J. W. Martin Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

17/3/94

To,
The Chief Engineer
P.W. Department
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that Mr Smithers who was appointed Sub Overseer in G. O. No. 398 W. of 17th Feby. 1885 was reported thoroughly incompetent by the Ex. Engineer Nellore Dt. in his endorsement No. 410 of the 22nd Decr 1893 and his services were recommended to be dispensed with.

now called Jennings.

Enclos: 3
1 Mr Jennings' resignation
1 His appeal with enclosures
1 Copies of correspondence
3

N.B. Connected to P.W. Secretariat

2. I have in my endorsement No. 591 N.L. of the 6th January 1894 suggested that Mr Smithers may be asked to resign his post and that if he did not do so, his services will be dispensed

with

Government.

In enclosing his appeal to
on against the above order
have to state that this is not
1st instance in which his
competency has been brought
the notice of this Office — plea
e copies of letters noted below

1 Nellore Ex Engineer's letter No 674
of 6th March 1890
1 Kistna Eastern Dn Ex Engineer's
letter No 1920 of 16th July 1890.

d also an extract of Mr
annan's note regarding him
1 27th July 1890.

Under these circumstances
have the honor to request your
pproval for his services being
ispensed with as he is reported
be quite useless.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt Servt,

Supg Engineer
2nd Circle.

1482

From

The Supdg Engineer,
2nd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. Dept,
Madras.

Dated 21 February /94
Encls 3
No 745 N.L.

17.2.85. 298W
26.6.93. 1683W

Mr J. Jennings - Sub-overseer in Nellore Dist.
Requests to approve of the order dispensing with the services of - for reasons given

2.C. 6/iii

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,
The

Dated
Received } 18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No 587 W. d/ 3rd March /94

The Govt. sees no reason to interfere with the action of the Supdg. Engr. in Mr Jennings' case.

OTB
28.2.94

S.E. II Circle (and) with 3 enclos.