(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 16

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் மார்ச் 1992

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

பொதுப்பணித் துறை

அரசாணை எண்.		அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.	382	பலவகை
நாள் : 26-1-1894	199	

பு கு

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

30th November 18

382/1

To

J. H. Stephens Esquire
Assistant Engineer
Consulting Architect Do.

Respected Sir

I respectfully beg to bring the following few lines to your kind Consideration fully trusting that I will meet with Success.

I was entertained as a conocopilla of the Chepauk Park in the year 1880, when the Park was under the immediate Supervision of W. S. Whiteside Esqre., Member Board of Revenue, and I continued as such till 1883.

The charge of the park was then transferred to the East Sub Division of the Co-Sulting Architect to Govt. in 1883, and my services w placed under your orders, I was directed to lay out t Chepauk Park which was then only a barren tract; and I acquitted myself with arduous vigor of the duty entrusted to me to your honors entire satisfaction.

Again, I was subsequently employed in laying out the Madras South Beach Marin which was then only a surf beaten tract; I worked very hard against all obstacles and n-tural disadvantages in laying out and planting tastefully to the present state of the South Beac Marina.

I beg to state that I have atte the Sub Overseer's class of the College of Engineering and has secured a certificate from that College qualifying me for a Sub Overseer's place.

I further beg to State that

that, in additions to my duties as Supdt. of South Beach Marina, I was directed to look after the repairs and Additions to the Govt. Buildings in Chepauk and the Govt. House Madras, I executed the works to your entire Satisfaction. 382/1-A

I beg to State that your honor were pleased to recommend me to act for the Overseer's place, and the Government in G. O. No. 3154-W. dated 24" November 1891 has appointed me to act for Mr. Plummer during the construction of the new High Court Buildings, and in that capacity I have discharged my duties to your entire Satisfaction.

In conclusion I beg to State that in consideration of the Service rendered by me for the last 13 years, your honor will be pleased to lay before the Government my case and procure me ~~the~~ a permanent Sub Overseer's place.

I beg to Remain
Respected Sir
Your most Obedient Servant
M. Gooroosawmy Naidoo
Supdt.
South Beach Marina.

No. 1.

382/2

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
19th January 1894.

No. 2914.

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

Sir,

1 Enclosures.

I have the honor to submit for the favorable consideration of Government, an application from M. Gurusawmy Naidu a Mistry on the Work Establishment of this Division for being brought on the permanent Establishment, as a Sub-Overseer.

2. The Question of bringing Mr Gurusawmy Naidu on the permanent Establishment, as Sub Overseer 2nd grade, was submitted for the favorable consideration and orders of Government. Vide G. O. No. 2301 W. of 11-9-86 & 1599 W. of 9-6-90, but the proposal was negatived in G. O. No. 1599 W. of 9-6-90, on the ground that it was not considered by Govt. necessary to bring him on the permanent

Establishment.

3. Mr. Stephens, Assistant Engineer, under whom the Maistry is employed has stated as follows:-

"He was employed as a Maistry under my orders on the "Marina", when this was being made, and would have been then promoted to Sub Overseer, but that Col: Shaw Stewart, who was then Chief Engineer was doubtful if much of the work done would have stood the effect of the salt air and high winds. But by care the work has not only stood but improved".

"Gurusawmy has also been for the last years in charge of all the large buildings at Chepauk and given a good deal of attention to their satisfactory upkeep".

And in G. No 3154 W. of 24-11-91. M. Gurusawmy Naidu was specially appointed as a temporary Overseer on the High Court works where he worked to my satisfaction. He is also now in charge of the Govt House Buildings Madras, in addition to other large buildings in Chepauk and the "Marina" & his request for being brought on the permanent Estt, therefore deserves special consideration by Govt. He have been attached to this Dn continuously for a period of over 13 years, and has

acquired such a practical knowledge of buildings construction and planting in addition to the theoritical training in the Sub Overseer's class of the Madras College of Engineering, that I consider him fully qualified for the place of a Sub Overseer.

The usual proposition statement will be submitted after the approval of my proposal by Govt.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt

Henry Irwine

Consg Architect to Govt

No. 7. 457

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D.

No. 2914

Dated 19th
Despatched } January 1894.
Received 20th

No. of ENCLOSURES. 1

Subject.

Submitting an application from M Doorosawmy Naidoo, a maistry on the work Establishment of Consg: Archts: Dn for being brought on the permanent Establishment, as a sub overseer.

PWD

382C

Cw 457

382/5

Dw

The Consy. Architect recommends that M. Guruswami Nayudu, who has been attached to his division as a maistry for over 13 years, be brought on the permanent estabt. as a Sub overseer.

This man was formerly employed in laying out the Chepauk Park, & subsequently in planting up the Marina, & the present state of the latter is mainly due to his energy & attention. He has also been in charge of the buildings at Chepauk & of repairs to Govt. House.

During the period of Mr. Cousins' stay at Madras, Guruswami Nayudu was employed as a temporary overseer to replace Mr. Plummer

P.W.D.

26-1-1894

whose services had been placed at the Commrs' disposal.

The C.A. states that Gurusawmi Nayudu's application to be brought on the permanent estabt. deserves special consideration, & that by his long practical experience & a theoretical course in the Sub overseers class of the Engineering College, he is fully qualified for the post of sub overseer.

Gurusawmi Nayudu was first recommended for permanent appt. in 1886, but in a note on the case (attached to G.O. No. 2301 W. of 11.9.86) Major Campbell, the then Dy. Ch. Secy. remarked that the application might be considered hereafter, as there had hardly been time to see if the planting on the marina would prove a success. This was agreed to by Col. Shaw Stewart, the the Chief Secy. — 382/6

His case was again brought forward in 1890, but at the same time the appointment as Sub overseer of Mr Calder of the bridgefields was recommended. The Hon. remarked that "two at once is rather a large order" & while sanctioning Mr Calder's appt. refused that of Gurusawmi. There seems little reason to doubt that if the latter's case had been sent up individually, it would have been passed by the Hon. (vide note attached to G.O. 1599 W. of 9.6.90)

The C.A. division, with its large allotments, has two sub overseers, while the numbers attached to Circles are as follows,—

I Circle — 6
II — " — 5
III — " — 6
IV — " — 5
V — " — 4
VI — " — 5

works in C.A. divn. is

of course concentrated, but these sub overseers would probably not compare unfavourably with other Circles –

The C.A. does not state the grade [illegible] of Sub overseer to which he recommends Perumasami Nagudu's appt. It is understood that the man has been drawing Rs 35 per mensem on the works estabt: since 1891 – If appointed Sub-overseer 2d grade his pay will commence at Rs 35 & he will receive no immediate increase – the first grade begins at Rs 50 per mensem.

Mr Reger, the senior sub overseer in C.A. divn, is now drawing Rs 70 per mensem, & Mr Calder Rs 60, both being first grade.

For orders.

Ch

22/1/94

PWD

26-1-1894

382/7

It may I think be admitted that Mr. Perumasami Naidu is professionally fitted to hold an appointment as Subeoverseer – But that is no reason why he should be given such an appointment, and the C.A. gives no reason for his proposal to increase his permanent establishment.

As far as I can see such increase is not necessary, and the proposal is only made in the personal interest

If pressing urgency!
The C.A. should have
first of all applied
for the increased
estab. giving his
reasons — If
sanctioned, should
have been filled in
the manner he
desires.

25·1·94

P.W.D.

No 382 Cd/26-1-1894

382/8

Memo.

With reference to letter from the Cons. Arch. No 2914 dated 19 Jany. 1893, the undersigned has the honour to enquire whether an additional sub overseer is required in the Cons. Architects' division –

2. If necessity for increasing the sanctioned estab. of sub overseers from two to three can be shown, the proposal will be submitted for the consideration of Govt., & if sanctioned, the appointment can be filled by Mr. Gurusawmi Nayudu

DESPATCHED
26 JAN 94

25·1·94

26/1

By

C.A. to Govt.

No. 2470 W d/ 26-9-93
2369 W d/ 25-8-92
1771 W d/ 30-6-91
2152 W d/ 8-8-90
1599 W d/ 9-6-90
3154 W d/ 24-11-91

No 382 C d/ 26th January
1894