SCALE of Executive Establishment, 1890-91.

	SCALE, 1889-90.				SCALE PROPOSED BY SUPERINTENDING ENGINEERS.				SANCTIONED SCALE, 1890-91.			
	Sub-Divisions.	Executive Engineers.	Assistant Engineers.	Upper Subordinates.	Sub-Divisions.	Executive Engineers.	Assistant Engineers.	Upper Subordinates.	Sub-Divisions.	Executive Engineers.	Assistant Engineers.	Upper Subordinates.
Rushikulya	3	1	2	5 + 1	..	1	2	5 + 2	..	1	2	6 + 1
Ganjam	3	1	..	4 + 5	..	1	..	4 + 6	..	1	..	5 + 5
Vizagapatam	3	1	1	6	..	1	1	6	..	1	1	6
Godávari, East	5	1	2	8	..	1	2	9	..	1	2	9
Do. West	3	1	1	6	..	1	2	8	..	1	2	8
Do. Central ..	2	1	1	5								
Tank No. VII Party ..	..	..	..	0 + 2	..	..	..	0 + 2	..	..	..	0 + 2
Do. ,, VIII ,, ..	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1
Total ..	19	6	7	34 + 9	..	5	7	32 + 11	..	5	7	34 + 9
Kistna, East	2	1	1	5	..	1	2	6	..	1	2	6
Do. West	2	1	2	6 + 1	..	1	2	6 + 1	..	1	2	6 + 1
Gudiváda	2	1	..	3 + 1	..	1	1	3 + 1	..	1	1	3 + 1
Nellore	3	1	3	9	..	1	3	10	..	1	3	10
Buckingham canal	3	1	1	8	..	1	..	6	..	1	..	6
Total ..	12	5	7	31 + 2	..	5	8	31 + 2	..	5	8	31 + 2
Bellary	3	1	1	5	..	1	1	6	..	1	1	6
Cuddapah	2	1	..	5	..	1	..	5	..	1	..	5
Kurnool	4	1	1	11 + 1	..	1	1	11 + 1	..	1	1	11 + *2
Tank No. III Party ..	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	..
Do. ,, VI ,, ..	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	..
Total ..	..	4	2	21 + 3	..	4	2	22 + 3	..	3	2	22 + 2
Nílgiri	3	1	1	4 + 2	5	1	2	14 + 1	..	1	2	14 + *3
Coimbatore	4	1	2	12 + 1								
West Coast	4	1	..	8	5	1	1	7 + 2	..	1	1	7 + 2
Tank No. IV Party ..	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	1	..	0 + 1
Total ..	11	4	3	24 + 4	10	3	3	21 + 4	..	3	3	21 + 6
North Arcot	2	1	2	7 + 1	3	1	2	8 + 1	..	1	2	8 + *2
South Arcot	4	1	1	8	4	1	1	8	..	1	1	8
Cuddalore Bridge works ..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..
Chingleput	3	1	1	7 + 1	3	1	1	7 + 1	..	1	1	7 + *3
Presidency and Bangalore ..	3	1	1	7	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Presidency Workshops ..	..	1.	..	2	..	1	..	2	..	1	..	2
Tank No. V Party ..	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1
Total ..	12	6	5	31 + 3	10	5	4	25 + 3	..	5	4	25 + 6
Trichinopoly	2	1	..	6	2	1	..	6	..	1	..	6
Tanjore	3	1	2	9	3	1	2	9	..	1	2	9
Negapatam	3	1	1	7	3	1	1	7	..	1	1	7
Madura	4	1	1	12 + 1	4	1	2	11 + 1	..	1	2	11 + *3
Tinnevelly	3	1	1	6	3	1	1	6	..	1	1	6
Tank No. I Party	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	1	..	0 + 1	..	..	..	0 + 1
Do. ,, II ,, ...	..	1	..	0 + 1				0 + 1	..			0 + 1
Total ..	15	7	5	40 + 3	15	6	6	39 + 3	..	5	6	39 + 5
No. I Division, Periyár ..	2	1	2	0 + 8	3	1	3	0 + 6	..	1	3	0 + 8
Do. II do. do. ..	4	1	3	0 + 8	4	1	3	0 + 8	..	1	3	0 + 8
Total ..	..	2	5	0 + 16	..	2	6	0 + 14	...	2	6	0 + 16
Secretariat	..	3	..	..	..	2	..	..	..	2	..	0 + 0
Presidency Architect's Division	2	1	..	2	4	1	1	5	..	1	1	5
Supernumeraries	..	2	5	2	..	7	2	8	..	7	..	2
Total ..	..	6	5	4	..	10	3	13	..	10	1	7
Grand Total ..	...	40	39	185 + 40	..	..	..	..	..	38	37	179 + 46

* Temporary for tank work.

28TH APRIL 1890, No. 1234 W., PUBLIC WORKS.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following papers :—

From the	Superintending Engineer,	I Circle,	dated	27th Feb. 1890,	No.	680 G.L.
,,	,,	II ,,	,,	13th ,, ,,	,,	533 G.L.
,,	,,	III ,,	,,	13th ,, ,,	,,	3381.
,,	,,	IV ,,	,,	10th ,, ,,	,,	52 E.W.
,,	,,	V ,,	,,	3rd ,, ,,	,,	7134.
,,	,,	V ,,	,,	9th ,, ,,	,,	7216.
,,	,,	VI ,,	,,	7th ,, ,,	,,	2441 T.J.
,,	Chief Engineer, Periyár Project,		,,	7th ,, ,,	,,	245 P.R.
,,	Consulting Architect to Government,		,,	10th ,, ,,	,,	1713.
,,	,,		,,	28th ,, ,,	,,	1939.
,,	,,		,,	10th Mar. ,,	,,	2071.

ORDER—dated 28th April 1890, No. 1234 W., Public Works.

The transfer of Military Works in this Presidency to the Military Works Department renders a re-arrangement of the executive establishment necessary, and the letters recorded above contain the views of Superintending Engineers as to the changes which should be made.

2. The sanctioned strength of the executive establishment will be as follows :—

Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers	75;
Upper Subordinates, Permanent	179;
Do. Temporary	46;

and as no increase of the establishment is admissible, these numbers must be utilized to the best advantage.

3. The last column of the statement appended to these proceedings shows the scale of Executive Engineers, Assistant Engineers and Upper Subordinates which is in future to be considered the allotment for each division and circle.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. R. E. DRAKE-BROCKMAN, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Ag. Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, ,, Periyár Project.
,, Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
To Superintending Engineers.
To the Presidency Architect.

Exd. A. Aubert.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1890} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 28th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 28th Apr. 1890, No. 1234 W.

APRIL.

Executive Establishment

Re-arrangement of the — in consequence of the transfer of Military Works to the Military Works Department.

30th April 1894, No. 1085 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Read—the following papers :—

From F. L. O'CALLAGHAN, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department (General), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, dated Simla, the 7th April 1894, No. 1092 G.

I am directed to transmit a copy of a despatch No. 1, Military, dated 4th January 1894, from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, and to communicate the following remarks regarding the subject-matter thereof.

2. I am to explain, in the first instance, that a report had lately been submitted by the Government of India to the Secretary of State on the financial results of the extension of the Military Works Department to the Madras and Bombay Presidencies, which showed that the extension had resulted in an increase of the aggregate cost of the establishments employed under the Military and Public Works Departments, and that the despatch now sent contains the Secretary of State's comments on that report.

3. In the next place I am to intimate that, as indicated in paragraph 4 of the despatch, the question of the steps to be taken with the object of reducing the establishment charges and percentages has, for some time past, engaged the attention of the Government of India, and that it has now been decided to appoint a Committee to take into consideration paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Secretary of State's despatch, and to report what measures are, in their opinion, practicable and desirable for reducing these establishment charges and percentages generally in both the Military Works and the Public Works Departments. The question of the maximum number of Royal Engineer officers required for service in India for military purposes has been referred to the Military authorities, and as regards other questions mentioned in paragraph 5 of the despatch, I am to ask that the Government of India may be favoured with $\frac{\text{the views}}{\text{your views}}$ of $\frac{\text{His Excellency the Governor}}{\text{His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor}}$ as to the best means of effecting economy without interfering with efficiency in the execution of military works and public works within your $\frac{\text{Government.}}{\text{Administration.}}$

4. I am to add that the favour of an early reply is requested, as it is desired that the Committee should commence their enquiries as soon as possible and that they should have before them the views of the Local Governments.

Enclosure.

From the Right Hon'ble the EARL OF KIMBERLEY, K.G., Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Most Hon'ble the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 4th January 1894, No. 1, Military.

I have considered in Council your Lordship's despatch No. 197, of 20th September 1893, reporting on the financial results of the extension of the Military Works Department to the whole of India.

M-11012.

2. It appears from the investigations which your Government have made that the result of the extension of the department to Madras and Bombay has been that the cost of the establishment in those two commands is about two lakhs of rupees a year higher than under the previous arrangements, while the percentages of establishment compare as follow :—

	Former system.	Military works.
On ordinary works and repairs	25·52	37·75
Barrack department	61·19	51·19
Total on both ...	27·70	38·57

As regards the Military Works Department as a whole, it is stated in paragraph 20 of your despatch that the percentage cost of establishment to Military Works has been steadily rising, being 32·9 for Works under the Director-General for the year 1893–94, or 32·1 for the whole grant, and that, as the Special Defence works approach completion, it will greatly increase unless measures are taken to adjust the establishments in proportion to the quantity of work they have to carry out.

3. This result cannot but be considered disappointing when compared with the rate of 23 per cent. formerly charged by the Local Governments, and with the average percentage of the Public Works Department, which is said to be 25·79. It certainly justifies the fears expressed by Sir Charles Elliott and Mr. Westland in their dissent, forwarded with Lord Dufferin's despatch No. 191, dated 15th October 1888, that the creation of this department would lead to the absorption of an inordinate proportion of the Military Works grant in establishment expenditure.

4. No doubt the change has, as urged by your Government, secured greater convenience of administration, and it may also have ensured greater efficiency in the construction and maintenance of Military Works within the Madras and Bombay Commands, though of this there is no direct evidence. It is, however, obvious that these advantages may be obtained at too high a cost, and I am glad to learn that you propose immediately to consider this question, and to take such steps as may be necessary to keep the percentage of establishments to works within reasonable limits.

5. Now that Parliament has sanctioned the withdrawal of the Madras and Bombay armies from the control of those Governments, your Government will find no difficulty in modifying existing arrangements, so as to allot a larger portion of Works and Repairs to Local Governments. The Military Works Department could then be reduced to the strength requisite for the more important circles and for special military works, while a tolerably strong directing and inspecting staff could be retained for the supervision of the whole, or its whole constitution as a separate establishment might be reconsidered. In doing so, the opportunity might be taken of considering whether the total strength of Royal Engineer officers in India will not admit of some reduction.

6. I shall be glad to receive, at an early date, a report of the conclusions at which you may arrive.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

I am not at all surprised to find that the increased cost of supervision consequent on the transfer of the charge of Military buildings from the Public Works to the Military Works Department has been found to far outweigh any administrative convenience attaching to the change, and that it is now proposed to revert to the system in force before 1889.

2. So far as the Military Works are concerned, there will be no difficulty at all in the matter, and the measures to be adopted will be of the simplest description.

3. The increased establishment required will be two officers and six upper subordinates. One of the officers will be an Executive Engineer and one an Assistant Engineer; of the subordinates, one will be a Sub-Engineer, two supervisors and three overseers.

4. It will also be necessary to reconstitute the Presidency division (to include Bangalore) as it will be impossible for the Consulting Architect, already overworked, to superintend the Military Works in Madras and Bangalore in addition to the Civil Works at the former station.

5. At present I do not think it will be necessary to reconstitute the Nilgiri division, as the works at Wellington can probably be managed by the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore. Later experience may show that an additional division is necessary, but for the present it can be dispensed with.

6. The additional cost to Provincial funds of the establishment required will be—

	Per annum.
	RS.
One Executive Engineer	10,200
One Assistant Engineer	5,400
One Sub-Engineer	3,800
Two Supervisors	4,200
Three Overseers	3,000
	26,600
Travelling allowances and contingencies	5,000
	31,600
Office establishment of one division	8,400
Total ...	40,000

To which must be added a further sum of Rs. 8,000 if it be hereafter found necessary to reconstitute the Nilgiri division.

7. According to the budget for 1893–94 (the latest available), the expenditure on works by the Military Works Department is Rs. 4,96,000, 23 per cent. on which is Rs. 1,14,000, showing a gain to Provincial funds of Rs. 74,080 by the transfer.

8. According to the despatch from the Secretary of State printed with the Government of India's letter under consideration, the saving to Imperial funds will be 37·75 — 23 = 14·75 per cent. on the above mentioned expenditure of Rs. 4,96,000, that is to say Rs. 73,160, so that Imperial and Provincial funds suffer to almost exactly the same extent by the present extravagant arrangements.

9. So far as public works generally in this Presidency are concerned I do not consider that there is any room for further economy; our establishments are already reduced to the lowest level consistent with efficiency, and any further reduction would result in a loss in the form either of direct loss of revenue or of increased cost of work far exceeding any saving which could be effected.

10. The percentage test is a very fallacious one; in the first place a considerable portion of the establishment of this department is employed on what is really revenue work, and would have to be entertained if not a farthing were spent upon "Works"; an allowance is made on this account, but it is fixed at a purely arbitrary amount and the balance debitable to "Works" may be made to vary *ad libitum* by varying the contribution on revenue account.

11. In the discussions which took place on this subject in 1883 it was shown that in the Northern Provinces where the irrigation revenue is collected by the Public Works establishment, the cost of the latter was brought down to the 23 per cent. on "Works" expenditure adopted as a standard by the Government of India, by debiting to Revenue an amount far exceeding what in this Presidency (where the revenue is collected by a separate department) is found to be the actual cost of collection; and that if the debit to Revenue was reduced to the amount (7½ per cent. on the sum collected) which is found sufficient here, the balance debitable to "Works" amounted to over 40 per cent. on the "Works" expenditure.

12. Again the percentage of establishment to "Works" varies with the grants for the latter, as the former cannot be made to vary greatly from year to year. The

following table will show how great this variation is; it also shows that the percentage of establishment increases just as the expenditure on "Works" diminishes:—

—	1887–88.	1888–89.	1889–90.	1890–91.	1891–92.	1892–93.	1893–94.
	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Total expenditure on works under all heads	58,28,668	66,10,918	70,36,434	73,68,500	80,69,977	68,22,318	67,43,208
Cost of establishment after deducting amounts debited to Revenue ..	14,83,393	15,84,409	15,79,643	14,67,886	15,44,744	15,18,375	15,14,831
Percentage of Establishment to Works.	25·4	23·9	22·4	19·9	19·1	22·2	22·4

13. The present establishment, the net cost of which may be taken at Rupees 15,50,000, would suffice with very trifling additions for an expenditure of Rupees 90,00,000 on "Works" and could not be appreciably reduced if the latter expenditure fell to Rs. 50,00,000.

14. It may be said in general terms that the percentage of "Establishment" to "Works" may be made to vary from seventeen to thirty, solely by alterations in the grants, without any change in system or in organisation.

In the third place it may, and very often does, happen that a low percentage of "Establishment" to "Works" means, not economy in establishment, but extravagance in expenditure.

15. This subject was very thoroughly discussed in 1883 when Colonel Brownlow, who was then Inspector-General of Irrigation, came to Madras to confer with the local authorities on behalf of the Government of India, and the result of the discussion was to convince that Government that whatever might be the percentages under different heads, the administration of public works in Madras compared favorably as regards real economy with that in any other Province; the scale of establishment for the department was then fixed at the strength at which it has stood with slight variations ever since, and nothing has occurred in the interval to show the need of any alteration in system or the feasibility of any reduction in scale.

OOTACAMUND,
21st April 1894.

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

ORDER—dated 30th April 1894, No. 1085 W., Public Works.

His Excellency the Governor in Council concurs in the opinions expressed by the Chief Engineer, whose note will be communicated to the Government of India.

[Here enter No. 1086 W., dated 30th April 1894.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 30th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 30th Apr. 1894, No. 1085 W.

APRIL.

Retransfer of Military Works to the charge of the Public Works Department

Proceedings concurring in the opinions expressed by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, in regard to the —, and ordering his note to be communicated to the Government of India.

30th April 1894, No. 1086 W., Public Works.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

Letter—from

Colonel J. PENNYCUICK, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

No. 1085 W., dated 30th April 1894.

In reply to your letter, No. 1092 G., dated 7th April 1894, I am directed to forward, for the information of the Government of India, a copy of the Proceedings of this Government embodying a note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, whose views have the entire concurrence of His Excellency the Governor in Council.

2. This Government will be prepared to take over charge of all Military Works in this Presidency, including the station of Bangalore, on the usual terms as regards supervision, at any date that may be desired by the Government of India provided sanction is given to the increase of the permanent establishment by two officers and six upper subordinates, making the establishment of the former 82 and of the latter 185 instead of 80 and 179 as at present.

3. I am to state that if these proposals are sanctioned this Government would prefer to provide the addition to the establishment of officers by the promotion of an Assistant Engineer to the Executive class and the appointment of two more Assistant Engineers on the Provincial establishment.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) J. PENNYCUICK, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

Ootacamund,
30th April 1894.

Exd. J. Moses.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

3239W

Dated April, 30th.

Recd. *Encl.*

30th April 1894, No. 1086 W.

APRIL.

Retransfer of Military Works to the charge of the Public Works Department

Forwarding for the information of the Government of India Proceedings of Government embodying a note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, on the —.

17th December 1894, No. 3239 W., Public Works.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General, Establishment.

Read—the following paper:—

From H. IRWIN, Esq., C.I.E., Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Chepâk, 12th December 1894, No. 2725.

With reference to our conversation the other day, I beg to propose the abolition of the North sub-division of this division, and that in future there be only three sub-divisions in this division, to be designated the No. I, No. II and No. III sub-divisions, to be manned by the following Honorary Assistant Engineers:—

No. I sub-division under Mr. J. H. Stephens,
No. II sub-division under Mr. C. H. Berlie,
No. III sub-division under Mr. P. Mahony,

and to request that you will kindly obtain the approval of Government to this arrangement.

2. The clerk and peons in the sub-division proposed to be abolished will be transferred to other sub-divisions to replace those men who are to be retired according to the 55 years statements recently submitted to the Examiner, while the draughtsman will be retained as a supernumerary to be absorbed on the occurrence of a vacancy.

3. A proposition statement showing a net saving of Rs. 36-12-0 consequent on the abolition of the North sub-division is hereto attached.

Enclosure.

Statement of proposition for revision of office establishment of the Consulting Architect's division.

Order sanctioning present establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of changes.														Proposition.					
			Present scale.							Proposed scale.							Permanent.		Temporary.			
					Pay.							Pay.					Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.	
No.	Date.		No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Total cost.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.	Total cost.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		Consulting Architect's Division.			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	MON.	RS.	MON.	RS.
		North sub-division.	1	Clerk, 5th class, 1st grade.	15	1	20	18¾	..	1	Clerk, 5th class, 1st grade ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	18¾	..	..	..	..
			1	Draughtsman, 3rd class..	20	2	30	27¼	..	1	Draughtsman, 3rd class ..	20	2	30	27½	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			3	Peons	..	..	..	18	..	3	Peons	..	..	..	..	..	..	18	..	..	..	..
				Total ..	..	..	..	..	64½		Total ..	..	..	..	..	27½	..	36¾	..	..	..	..

Order—dated 17th December 1894, No. 3239 W., Public Works.

The proposals of the Consulting Architect are sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

P. W. D. Form No. 113. MADRAS PRESIDENCY P W. D. Form No. 13.

Part II.

Statement of proposition for revision of office establishment. of the Consulting Architects Division

Order sanctioning present establishment. Govt. of P. W. D. No.	Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	Present scale. No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum.	Average or fixed.*	Total cost.
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
		Consulting Architect Division							
		North Sub Dn	1	Clerk v class 1st Grade	15	1	20	18¾	
			1	Draughtsman 3 class	20	2	30	27¼	
			3	Peons				18	
									64½
				Total ..	..	..	..	..	64¼

Nature of changes.

Proposed scale. No.	Designation.	Pay. Minimum.	Increment.	Maximum	Average or fixed.*	Total cost.	Proposition. Permanent. Increase per month. Amount.	Permanent. Decrease per month. Amount.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period.	Temporary. Increase per month. Amount.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Period.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Amount.	Remarks.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	Mon.	Rs.	
1	Clerk V class 1st grade							18¾					
1	Draughtsman 3 class	20	2	30	27½								
3	Peons							18					
						27½		36¾					
	Total ..	..	..	..	..	2	..				..		

* In the case of appointments on progressive pay, the "average" should be calculated according to the rules in the Pay and Acting allowance code.

Certified that the entries under the head "present scale" have been duly verified by me.

Examiner, P. W Accounts,
Madras.

Dated the 189

Dated the 12th December 1894.

k am
to be a
Sub Di

H. Irwin
Consulting Architect
~~Executive Engineer~~,
to Government
Division.

1.

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated December, 17th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th Dec. 1894, No. 3239 W.

DECEMBER.

Sub-divisions of the Consulting Architect's Division

The proposals of the Consulting Architect in regard to the abolition of one of the —, sanctioned.

No. 2725.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
12th December 1894.

From

Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

/ Enclosures

With reference to our conversation the other day, I beg to propose the abolition of the North Sub Division of this Division and that in future, there be only three Sub Divisions in this Division, tobe designated the No. I. No. II and No. III Sub Division tobe manned by the following Honorary Assistant Engineers

No. I Sub Division under Mr. J. H. Stephens
No. II Sub Division under Mr. C. H. Berlie
No. III Sub Division under Mr. P. Mahony

and to request that you will kindly obtain the approval of Government to this arrangement

2. The clerk and peons in the Sub Division proposed tobe abolished will be transferred to other Sub Divisions to replace

those men who are to be retired according to the 55 years statement recently submitted to the Examiner, while the Draughtsman will be retained as a supernumerary to be absorbed on the occurrence of a vacancy.

3. A proposition statement shewing a net saving of Rs 36 3/4 consequent on the abolition of the North Sub Division is hereto attached.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Government

No. 384 P. W.

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter I, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing *sanctioned* scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.

Ows.1
5517/84

P. W. D. Form No. 11
500—7-3-

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Part II

Tabular Statement to accompan
proposals for changes in establishments.

	7½	-	36¾

under the head "

189 .

6

No. 7. W. CURRENT No. 9517

30.4.94 No 1085-6 W
28.4.90 No 1234 W

Public Works Department.

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras.

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 2725

Dated 12th
Despatched
Received 12th
December 1894.

No. of Enclosures. 1

Subject.

Submitting proposal on the abolition of the North Sub Division of the Consulting Architect Divisions.

A/1

No 3239 W. d/ 17 Decr: 94

Public Works Department,
~~Irrigation Branch~~.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Genl Estabt

Under date the

Read the following

Letter from the Consg Architect (P.E. No 2725 d/12/12/94)

Print with enclosure

The proposals of the Consulting Architect are sanctioned.

W/15 Dec

CWBS 13/12 94

S 14.12

DESPATCHED
7-JAN.95

To,
The Consg Architect
" Examiner P.W.A

R.B.

Abolition of one of the Subdivisions of the Consulting Architect's Division

S.a.W. Cy/
T

CWB
E.J.J.

No. 1—1,000-10-2-94.

H.E. 14/12
17/12

3-9-V6

C No 9517

Govt. of {189~~3~~4 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Print soon

Dated 19-12-94

Recd. Encl.

G.O. 17/12 1894 No. 3239W

Sub-divisions of the Consg: Architect's Dn

The proposals of the Consg: Architect in regard to the abolition of one of the — sanctioned.

90/4

T.C.C. 17/12

18—2,000—93

No. 1—1,000

17/12