9072

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

15-10-94 No. 2584 W
20-9-94 No. 2372 W
12-12-93 No. 3220 W
15-3-94 No. 753 W
3-9-92 No. 2453 W
14-9-91 No. 2460 W

B

No. 3086 W. d/ 1st Decemb

Recorded.

Issued

Recd. 27.10.94 *Encl.*

G.O., 17th November 1894, No. 1000.

20/11

2854
11

Note

The orders do not affec
the P.W. budget..

NOVEMBER.

Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders in regard to the delegation of further powers to heads of departments.

INDEXED

With order

2 Copies taken to note

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Vide G.O. No. 2584 / 15/10

READ—the following papers :—

G.O., dated 6th September 1894, No. 653, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on replies from heads of departments under the Chief Secretary to the question what powers reserved to Government could be delegated to them and what powers reserved to them could be similarly delegated to their subordinates.

G.O., dated 15th October 1894, No. 2584 W., Public Works.

Order—dated 15th October 1894, No. 2584 W., Public Works.

There is no objection in the Public Works Department to proposal (1) of the Presidency Port Officer dealt with in paragraph 2 of the Public Department Proceedings, nor to that of the Surgeon-General in paragraph 1 (*f*) of his letter, so long as the increased powers are exercised with reference only to works to be carried out from funds provided in the Civil budget, in accordance with paragraph 4 of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial, and paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 12th December 1893, No. 3220 W., Public Works.

* * * * *

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. W. ASHPITEL,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 19th October 1894, No. 3183.

(1) Government Astronomer.
(2) Telugu Translator.
(3) Superintendent, Government Press.

G.O. No. 2584 / 15/10

In returning the papers received with your endorsement, dated 25th September 1894, No. R. 913, Financial, on G.O., dated 6th September 1894, No. 653, Public, directing me to report on the suggestions made by the marginally-noted officers for the delegation of certain powers now reserved by Government to the respective heads of offices or departments, I have the honour to observe as follows.

2. There is no audit objection to suggestion made by the Government Astronomer in paragraph 3 of his letter that he should be authorized to pay charges on account of "printing at private presses" without the sanction of Government provided they do not exceed budget provision and are sanctioned by the Superintendent of the Government Press. This exemption has been allowed in several cases.

3. In regard to the suggestion contained in paragraph 4 of his letter that he should have similar power in regard to apparatus made or repaired in the country, I beg to state that the rule now in force (Accountant-General's Circular No. VII, dated 7th May 1879) in respect of such charges is that the cost of all articles manufactured in the country costing less than Rs. 50 may be treated as "charges admissible

without detailed audit" and may be paid by the officer purchasing such articles without reference to any higher authority. The purchase of articles costing not less than Rs. 50 and not more than Rs. 100 requires the sanction of the controlling authority, if such authority exists; otherwise, all charges of Rs. 50 and upwards require the sanction of Government. This rule serves as a check on the purchase of unnecessarily costly articles, and unless Government wishes to modify this general rule and make it applicable to all officers, I see no reason why the Government Astronomer alone should be exempted from its provisions.

4. In paragraph 4 of his letter, the Telugu Translator to Government suggests that the power of transferring allotments from one detailed head to another under the same minor head when there is no likelihood of the expenditure under the latter head exceeding the allotment may be delegated to him. I see no objection to the proposal provided it is sanctioned on the distinct understanding that the total allotment for his office is not exceeded under any circumstances.

5. The Superintendent of the Government Press proposes that he may be authorized to pay for materials and stock purchased by him for the Government Press without the sanction of Government. It rests with Government to decide whether such extensive powers may be advantageously delegated to him. But considering the heavy expenditure annually incurred on that account, it seems to me desirable that it should be checked and controlled by some higher authority.

6. Referring to the proposals of the Presidency Port Officer marked (1), (2) and (3), I would remark as follows:—

(1) There appears to be no necessity for raising the amount of expenditure for new works, which that officer may sanction, to Rs. 1,000, since it was only recently fixed by Government at Rs. 500—*vide* G.O., No. 1191, dated 27th November 1893, Financial.

(2) There is no objection to his being permitted to sanction petty charges up to the extent of Rs. 200, but the limit of Rs. 100 fixed in G.O., No. 553, dated 20th November 1883, Marine Department, would seem to be sufficient.

(3) Under Article 98 (*e*) of the Civil Account Code, no book may be purchased without Government sanction, and the Government of India, in a letter recorded in G.O., No. 2937, dated 17th December 1894, Financial, negatived a proposition of the Madras Government to exempt heads of departments from the necessity of referring to Government for sanction to the purchase of a book when the value does not exceed Rs. 10. The proposal of the Presidency Port Officer cannot therefore be adopted.

7. The remark against the Port Officer's proposal (1) applies equally to the Surgeon-General's proposal in paragraph 1 (*f*) of his letter.

Order—dated 17th November 1894, No. 1000, Financial.

The Government sees no reason why an alteration should not be made in the limit up to which the Presidency Port Officer and the Surgeon-General may, under the conditions specified in paragraphs 3 and 4 of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial, sanction expenditure for new works and repairs. This limit will be raised to Rs. 1,000 and the necessary amendment made in the statement in paragraph 2 of that order. The Judicial and Educational Departments will be requested to state whether the powers of the Inspectors-General of Prisons and Registration, the Commissioner of Police and the Director of Public Instruction may not be similarly enhanced.

2. The following powers will be delegated to all heads of departments:—

(*a*) The power to sanction payment of charges on account of printing at private presses provided such charges do not exceed the budget allotment and are verified by the Superintendent, Government Press.

(*b*) The power to transfer allotments from one detailed head to another under the same minor head provided that the budget allotment under the minor head and the total allotment for the office in question are not thereby exceeded.

3. In modification of G.O., dated 20th November 1883, No. 553, Marine, the Presidency Port Officer will be authorized to sanction, within a limit of Rs. 200, petty charges of whatever nature debitable to Port Funds.

In Genl Record
J.S.
28/11/94

4. With reference to paragraph 3 of his letter read above, the Accountant-General will be requested to report whether he sees any objection to all heads of departments being authorized to purchase articles manufactured in the country, the cost of which does not exceed Rs. 100.

5. For the reasons given in paragraphs 5 and 6 (3) of the Accountant-General's letter, the proposal of the Superintendent, Government Press, in regard to payments on account of materials and stock, and that of the Presidency Port Officer with reference to the purchase of books and periodicals not exceeding Rs. 5 in price, cannot be sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

Fredrick Price

Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat
(including Chief Secretariat Departments).
,, all Heads of Departments.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th November 1894, No. 1000.

NOVEMBER.

Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders in regard to the delegation of further powers to heads of departments.

No. 3086 W dt 1st Decemb/94

Recorded

(Sd) J.V.

W.

Note The orders do not affect the P.W. Budget.

No. 9072

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. 27.11.94 Encl.

G.O., 17th November 1894, No. 1000.

NOVEMBER.

Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders in regard to the delegation of further powers to heads of departments.

15-10-94 No. 2584 W
20-9-94 No. 2372 W
12-12-93 No. 3220 W
15-3-94 No. 753 W
3-9-92 No. 2453 W
14-9-91 No. 2460 W

B

No. 3088 W. d/ 1st December

Recorded

S. 20/11

C 8101 28 S 4 11

Note

The orders do not affect the P.W. Budget.

R. S.

INDEXED

T. T.

2 Copies taken to rote

With order

No. 215
8 NOV 94
P.W.D.
KISTNA EASTERN DIVISION

From

D. V. Venkatrama Aiyer
Engineer Subordinate Student
Masulipatam

For Reports received [illegible]
9/11

To

C. A. Smith Esquire
Executive Engineer
Kistna Eastern Dn.

Respected sir,

I beg to bring to your kind notice that my request to you to recommend to an horse al[illegible] once you were kind enough to recommend but the Government of India declined to grant horse allowance communicated in G.O. No. 18 of 30 July '94. This G.O. was communicated to [illegible] after you left Bezwada on privilege leave. You know that I kept a horse on your word getting the allowance passed. As the allowance was not sanctioned I was in a great loss subsequently as I bought [illegible] pony to be pre[illegible] G.O. was communicated in hopes that the allo[illegible] would be passed. I could not easily dispose of the newly bought [illegible] was obliged to maintain it [illegible] I am doing even now. Under these circumstances I was obliged to undergo a great loss not being able to maintain in my poor pay. The one of the conditions in the recommendation made by you was that I should train myself in the art of equitation, but the Government did not care for this but subsequently ruled

in

NOTES.

Reference to P. W. D. Code.

Chapter 1, Para. 30.

1. All proposals for changes in the scales of permanent establishments must be accompanied by a statement in Part I of this form when the changes relate to the engineer or upper subordinate establishments and in other cases by a statement in Part II of this form.

2. The column headed "present scale" should invariably show the existing *sanctioned* scale (not the strength of the establishment as it actually stands at the time), and should be verified by the Examiner before the statements are forwarded to the sanctioning authority.

6921/2

P. W. D. Form No

500

MADRAS PRESIDENCY.

Par

Tabular Statement to accompa
proposals for changes in establishments

T[illegible] Division Office

Karur,
18th October 1894.

No 621.

From,
The Overseer, D.l.W.,
Karar,

To,
The Sub Divisional officer,
Erode.

Sir,

In enclosing herein an estimate for Rs 190 for maintaining the Padugays in Karur Talug I most respectfully beg to report as follows.

The Padugays under charge of our Department are about 60 miles in length both in the Cauvery and Amaravathi rivers. They were, till recently, maintained by keeping up a large establishment consisting of monegars and watchmen to the extent of about 3000 Rupees a year whose duty it was to watch the Padugais and the trees and nanal thereon from being damaged or cut away by the villagers and others. The result is that valuable Padugay lands have been formed to the extent of thousands of acres valued about 4 or 5 lacs of rupees if sold by public auction. From my inspection I find a lot of trees in these lands worth over ten thousand

thousand rupees. The Establishment above
referred to has all been withdrawn and the
Padugays with such valuable trees on have
been leased out to several lessees for about
2900 Rupees a year. To take care that the lessees
do not infringe the conditions of the bond by
cutting and misappropriating the trees and to
see that the adjoining land holders do not
encroach gradually upon our land in the
60 miles length above referred to, there is only one
Overseer perfectly helpless who has to leave things
entirely to the mercy of the lessees and who
has got his legitimate duties of taking levels,
preparing estimates and looking after the works
in progress. Such being the case, what guarantee
is there that the lessees have not been or are
not cutting the trees or damaging the nanal
to cultivate the lands to make the best they
can within the lease time especially as they
know that there is no watch over them of any
kind to take matters to the notice of the authori-
-ties. Besides I have been communicated for
my information an estimate for about 600Rs
as being the cost of so many bundles
of nanal cut and utilized by the Nirani
Monigars for Coramboo purposes. There is
no

Tinnevelly Division Office

no way of checking it and we must blindly
accept and pay on whatever receipts are
granted by the monigars to the lessees. Under
the present state of things as I find them,
I think it absolutely necessary in the interest
of the valuable property under our charge to
spend a small percentage of the revenue
we get and maintain an Establishment for
the object above referred to. It is proposed
in the accompanying estimate to maintain
2 monigars with 2 watchmen under each
of them. Each monigar will look after 30 miles
and each watchman 15 miles under the former.

I request early sanction for the estimate
if you think it necessary to do so.

I beg &ca.,
(Sd) S.K. Ramachendra Sastri
Overseer, P.W.D.

P.S.

My letter explaining the necessity
for the upkeep of a small Establishment
may not be an exhaustive report but as
I have already personally explained everything
to you in detail on the subject I think it
is not worth while going through all
the

the details again.

I beg &c,
(Sd) S.K. Ramachandra Sastri,
Overseer, P.W.D.

(True copy)
(Sd) W.C. Lewis
Executive Engineer.

No 1382.

Erode Sub Divisional Office
26: October 1894.

From,
Conductor C. Smith, R.E.,
Sub Divisional Officer,
Erode,

To,
The Executive Engineer,
Coimbatore Division,

Sir,

With reference to the accompanying estimate from the Karur Overseer, I beg to request you will kindly sanction or obtain sanction for the same. At present we have no establishment to care for the interest of this Department and I feel sure that a great saving could be shewn if responsible men were employed to watch the padugais on behalf of the department as pointed out by the Overseer. Neither he nor I can inspect places often enough to have a proper check on them.

I beg &c,
(Sd) C. Smith, Condr. R.E.
Sub Divisional officer.

No 4530.

Copy forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, 4 Circle, for sanction. I fully concur in the Overseer's remarks and the necessity of employing some establishment for the purpose.

(Sd) W.C. Lewis,
Executive Engineer

(True copies)

Hamm
Supg. Engineer, 4 Circle

Tinnevelly Division Office

Supg. Engineer's office, 4 Circle,
No. 264/S.I. Camp Madras 16th November 1894.

From,

J. Hannan, Esq.,
Supg. Engineer, 4 Circle,

To,

The Chief Engineer
for Irrigation.

Sir,

Enclosures 2.
1 Proposition Statement
1 Copies of correspondence.

I have the honor to submit herewith a proposition statement for the entertainment of 2 monigars and 4 watchmen for 4 months to look after the river Padugais in the Karur Talug and to state that unless the Establishment is sanctioned the Padugais cannot be properly maintained. It was a most unfortunate thing in my opinion that the old System of annual auction of padugais was not adhered to.

2. Copies of correspondence
on

P.W. DEPT No. 9032

CHIEF ENG. No. 5961 20 NOV 94 FOR IRRIGATION

From

The Supg. Engineer, 4th Circle.

Dated 16th }
Despd 19th } November 1894

Recd: 26. 11. 94
do - 27 at 1 P.M.

No. 264 / E.I.

Enclos: 2.

Padugai Establishment in Karur talug.

Submitting for sanction a proposition statement for — together with copies of correspondence in connection with — for information.

No 61 E.W.

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., for favorable sanction.

for Chief Engineer for Irrigation

Madras }
22d Nov. 1894 }

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 3095 W. dd 3d December 1894.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Suptg: Engineer IV Circle No. 264 E.I. dd 16th November 1894

Endorsement thereon by the Chief Engineer for Irrign No. 61 E.W. dd 22nd November 1894

Sanction is accorded to the entertainment, for a period of four months during 1894-95, of the following men required for looking after the river Padugais in the Karur taluk, Coimbatore Division:

One Monegar on Rs 12 per mensem

One Monegar on Rs 10 per mensem

Four watchmen on Rs 4 each per mensem

2. The charge should, with reference to G.O. No 840 W dated 28 March 1893, be debited to "Works" and not to Temporary Establishment

(40—1,000—13-9-94.)

Establishment as proposed
by the Suptg: Engineer. 28-3-93 No. 840 W

No. 3095 W d. 3rd December 18

DESPATCHED
4 DEC. 94

INDEXED.

To
The S.E. IV Circle
" Exar P.W. Accts
" Chief Engineer for Irrign

C. A. 500 —29-1-94.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
~~IRRIGATION BRANCH.~~

No. 3094 W d. 3rd December 1894

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

READ the following

Letter from Mr. C. J. Peters, Ex: Engr. of 9' Novr. 1894

Under Section III Chapter XXIX. Civil Service Regulations, Mr. C. J. Peters, Executive Engineer 1st Grade, is permitted to retire from the service with effect from the 9th November 1894

2. The Examiner P.W. Accounts will prepare the necessary application for Mr. Peters's pension with the least possible delay.

W 29 Nov

Connected papers with 3862C 3-12-94

DESPATCHED
3 DEC. 94

The Examr. P.W. Accts:
C. J. Peters Esqre 6/12
Ex: Engr. Madras P.W.D.
Thro India Office

the honor to request that you will obtain the sanction of Government to Mr Calder retaining this amount as it was no doubt drawn by him in the belief that he was entitled to it

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Consulting Architect
to Government

25.

Wood Esqre
ecutive Engineer,
Tinnevelly Division.

Superintending Engineer
VII Circle

reference to G.O. No 6642
rch 1894. Communicated
No 1070 dated 13th March 1894
honor to state that out
240 allotted for the
t of a temporary
n for 6 months at Rs 40
n, Rs 130 have been
employing a 3rd class
n as shown below:-
(from 4th/1894. Rs 27-"-
1894 ——————— " 30-"-
gust 1894 ——————— " 30-"-
mber 1st to 7th @ Rs 30 —— " 7-"-
7 to end @ " 20. —— " 15-5-
tober 94 ——————— " 20-"-
Rs 129-5-

request the favor of your
me to employ the
tinually till the pl
lotmen

sta

the honor to request that y
the sanction of Governme
retaining this amount a
drawn by him in the be
Entitled to it

I hav

Your m

Cons

CURRENT No. 9080

From

The Supt. Engineer
V Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

No. 719 W
Dated 27 November 1894
Despd. – 27
Recd. 28.11.94

Temporary Draftsman:
Requesting sanction
to employ continually the
appointed to prepare plans
& estimates for certain works
until the sum of Rs 240
sanctioned, is fully spent.

Works Department.

om

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 2580

d 28"
atched November 1894.
ved

NO. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

esting to obtain the
ion of Govt: to Mr
retaining the amount
ange compensation allo
owed.

Kistna Eastern Division
No. 3855. Executive Engineer's Office
Dated. 18th/21 November 1894

From.

C. A. Smith Esqr.
Executive Engineer Kistna E. D.

To The Superintending Engineer
2nd Circle

Sir,

Enclo 1

In continuation of former correspondence and with reference to G. Os. 1823W of 20 July 1894 and 2476W of 4 October 94, I have the honor to forward letter from Engineer Subordinate Student D. Vencatrama Iyr for your favourable consideration.

2. It is quite true that I recommended him to get a pony and learn to ride fully expecting when I did so that the horse allowance would be granted him as it had been to Engineer Students.

3 In the G.O of 4th October/94 No 2476W it is plain that Government consider that the students should learn to ride and as D. V. Vencatrama Iyer a guaranteed student has actually maintained a pony which he cannot be expected to do, out of his allowance of Rs 20 per mensem.

4 I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to move Government again to grant him the horse allowance as a special case.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt St.

A. Smith
Executive Engr Officer
District Bd

16/11/94

ENGINEER'S OFFICE
22 NOV. 94
P.W.D.
SECOND CIRCLE

4-10-94 No. 2476 W
20-7-94 No. 1823 W
20-12-93 No. 4413. C
29-11-93 No. 3082 W
23-9-93 No. 2445 W
4-8-93 No. 2795. C
11-3-93 No. 67
30-1-91 No. 2
Encl
1997 KS

24.11.94

From
The Exe. Engr.
K.S.E. Dn.

To
The Superintg. Engr.
2nd Circle

Dated 18th }
Despd 21st } November /94
Recd: 26 }

No. 3855

Enclo. 1

D. V. Venkataramaiyer Engineer Subordinate Student — Requests to move Government again to grant horse allowance to —

1997 KS
24.11.94

Mr Baddeley

DESPATCHED
3 DEC. 94

No. 3091 W. dt. 3rd December

The Govt. of India having already declined to sanction the grant of horse allowance to Engineer Subordinate Students, nothing further can be done in this case.

2. Ordinary travelling allowance can be passed to the student under G.O. No. 1835 W. dt. 4th July 1890.

28
S.E. II Circle
S.E. II Circle
11/94

No. 3529.

From
C. W.
Esse
1894

To
The
Sup

Sir
With
dated
with your
of Rupees
employment
Draftsman
per mensem
spent by
Draftsman
35 months
of the
of the official year
June
July
Aug
Sept
Oct

Sanction
dated
fully

pend to
permitting
man

---

ef Secretary
what powers

(1) of the
nt Proceed-
so long as
carried out
G.O., dated
lated 12th

EL,
P.W.D.

cretary to

September
on G.O.,
No. 653,
t on the
arginally-
of certain
artments,

(1)
(2)
(3)

powers no
I have the

2. Ther
in paragraph
" printing at
not exceed bu
ment Press.

3. In reg
should have s
I beg to state
7th May 1879
in the country

conomer
unt of
ey do
vern-
he
ry,
dated
ured
sible

S. E.'s ENGINEER'S OFFICE
No. 1910
22 NOV. 94
P. W. C.
SECOND CIRCLE

From

The Exe. Engr.
K. S. E. Dn.

To

The Supertg. Engr.
2nd Circle

Dated 18th
Despd 21st } November 94
Recd 26

No. 3855

Enclo. 1

D. V. Venkatarama Iyer
Engineer Subordinate Student — Requests to move Government again to grant horse allowance to —

1997 KS
24.11.94

Ex

by

---

40 is fully

timates
ices of a
applied for.
ithikulam
en completed
shortly.
Kodamela-
rtly done.
idarampchan-
yet.

the honorable
Sri
most Obedt Servt.
W. Wood
tive Engineer.

to the
S. Dr. De.
tion of
loyment
n till the
+
240 Sanc-
tioned

+ This is not in accordance with enclo. Sanction to establishment is given definite period … … at the … office …

2-

Sanction
dated
fully

Supdg. Engr's office Camp.
Trichinopoly -
27 November 1894

Supt

READ—the fo
G.O., da
ABSTRACT
to the question
reserved to the

G.O., da

Order—dated

There is
Presidency P
ings, nor to t
the increased
from funds pr
27th Novemb
December 18

*

To the Financia

From T.
Gove

In return

(1) Governi
(2) Telugu
(3) Superin

powers now re
I have the hon
2. There
in paragraph 3
" printing at p
not exceed bu
ment Press. T
3. In reg
should have si
I beg to state t
7th May 1879
in the country

ef Secretary
what powers

(1) of the
at Proceed-
so long as
carried out
G.O., dated
dated 12th

EL,
P.W.D.

cretary to
3.

September
on G.O.,
No. 653,
t on the
arginally-
of certain
partments,

stronomer
account of
d they do
e Govern-
that he
country,
VII, dated
ufactured
dmissible

… temporary … for a … allotments … of the … year …
18 Nov 94

22 NOV. 94
P. W. D.
SECOND CIRCLE

Ex
by

From
The Exe. Engr.
K. S. E. D.

To
The Supdg. En
2nd Cir

Dated 18th
Despd 21st } Nove
Recd: 26

No. 3855
Enclo. 1

D. V. Venkataram
Engineer Subordinate
dent — Requests to m
Government again to gran
horse allowance to —

No. 3089 W. d/ 3rd December/94

The Sanction accorded in G.O. 664 W. d/ 9 March 1894 is extended to the end of the current official year, the pay of the appointment being reduced to Rs: 20 per mensem.

28/11

S. E. VI circle
S. E. 2nd Circle
Exe. D. W. a
P. W. D.

DESPATCHED
4 DEC. 94

240 94 044. C

1997 K.S.
24.11.94

INDEXED.

17TH NOVEMBER 1894, No. 1000, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

G.O., dated 6th September 1894, No. 653, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on replies from heads of departments under the Chief Secretary to the question what powers reserved to Government could be delegated to them and what powers reserved to them could be similarly delegated to their subordinates.

G.O., dated 15th October 1894, No. 2584 W., Public Works.

Order—dated 15th October 1894, No. 2584 W., Public Works.

There is no objection in the Public Works Department to proposal (1) of the Presidency Port Officer dealt with in paragraph 2 of the Public Department Proceedings, nor to that of the Surgeon-General in paragraph 1 (*f*) of his letter, so long as the increased powers are exercised with reference only to works to be carried out from funds provided in the Civil budget, in accordance with paragraph 4 of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial, and paragraph 2 of G.O., dated 12th December 1893, No. 3220 W., Public Works.

* * * * *

(True Extract.)

(Signed) F. W. ASHPITEL,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Financial Department.

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 19th October 1894, No. 3183.

(1) Government Astronomer.
(2) Telugu Translator.
(3) Superintendent, Government Press.

In returning the papers received with your endorsement, dated 25th September 1894, No. R. 913, Financial, on G.O., dated 6th September 1894, No. 653, Public, directing me to report on the suggestions made by the marginally-noted officers for the delegation of certain powers now reserved by Government to the respective heads of offices or departments, I have the honour to observe as follows.

2. There is no audit objection to suggestion made by the Government Astronomer in paragraph 3 of his letter that he should be authorized to pay charges on account of "printing at private presses" without the sanction of Government provided they do not exceed budget provision and are sanctioned by the Superintendent of the Government Press. This exemption has been allowed in several cases.

3. In regard to the suggestion contained in paragraph 4 of his letter that he should have similar power in regard to apparatus made or repaired in the country, I beg to state that the rule now in force (Accountant-General's Circular No. VII, dated 7th May 1879) in respect of such charges is that the cost of all articles manufactured in the country costing less than Rs. 50 may be treated as "charges admissible

without detailed audit " and may be paid by the officer purchasing such articles without reference to any higher authority. The purchase of articles costing not less than Rs. 50 and not more than Rs. 100 requires the sanction of the controlling authority, if such authority exists; otherwise, all charges of Rs. 50 and upwards require the sanction of Government. This rule serves as a check on the purchase of unnecessarily costly articles, and unless Government wishes to modify this general rule and make it applicable to all officers, I see no reason why the Government Astronomer alone should be exempted from its provisions.

4. In paragraph 4 of his letter, the Telugu Translator to Government suggests that the power of transferring allotments from one detailed head to another under the same minor head when there is no likelihood of the expenditure under the latter head exceeding the allotment may be delegated to him. I see no objection to the proposal provided it is sanctioned on the distinct understanding that the total allotment for his office is not exceeded under any circumstances.

5. The Superintendent of the Government Press proposes that he may be authorized to pay for materials and stock purchased by him for the Government Press without the sanction of Government. It rests with Government to decide whether such extensive powers may be advantageously delegated to him. But considering the heavy expenditure annually incurred on that account, it seems to me desirable that it should be checked and controlled by some higher authority.

6. Referring to the proposals of the Presidency Port Officer marked (1), (2) and (3), I would remark as follows:—

(1) There appears to be no necessity for raising the amount of expenditure for new works, which that officer may sanction, to Rs. 1,000, since it was only recently fixed by Government at Rs. 500—*vide* G.O., No. 1191, dated 27th November 1893, Financial.

(2) There is no objection to his being permitted to sanction petty charges up to the extent of Rs. 200, but the limit of Rs. 100 fixed in G.O., No. 553, dated 20th November 1883, Marine Department, would seem to be sufficient.

(3) Under Article 98 (*e*) of the Civil Account Code, no book may be purchased without Government sanction, and the Government of India, in a letter recorded in G.O., No. 2937, dated 17th December 1894, Financial, negatived a proposition of the Madras Government to exempt heads of departments from the necessity of referring to Government for sanction to the purchase of a book when the value does not exceed Rs. 10. The proposal of the Presidency Port Officer cannot therefore be adopted.

7. The remark against the Port Officer's proposal (1) applies equally to the Surgeon-General's proposal in paragraph 1 (*f*) of his letter.

ORDER—dated 17th November 1894, No. 1000, Financial.

The Government sees no reason why an alteration should not be made in the limit up to which the Presidency Port Officer and the Surgeon-General may, under the conditions specified in paragraphs 3 and 4 of G.O., dated 27th November 1893, No. 1191, Financial, sanction expenditure for new works and repairs. This limit will be raised to Rs. 1,000 and the necessary amendment made in the statement in paragraph 2 of that order. The Judicial and Educational Departments will be requested to state whether the powers of the Inspectors-General of Prisons and Registration, the Commissioner of Police and the Director of Public Instruction may not be similarly enhanced.

2. The following powers will be delegated to all heads of departments:—

(*a*) The power to sanction payment of charges on account of printing at private presses provided such charges do not exceed the budget allotment and are verified by the Superintendent, Government Press.

(*b*) The power to transfer allotments from one detailed head to another under the same minor head provided that the budget allotment under the minor head and the total allotment for the office in question are not thereby exceeded.

3. In modification of G.O., dated 20th November 1883, No. 553, Marine, the Presidency Port Officer will be authorized to sanction, within a limit of Rs. 200, petty charges of whatever nature debitable to Port Funds.

4. With reference to paragraph 3 of his letter read above, the Accountant-General will be requested to report whether he sees any objection to all heads of departments being authorized to purchase articles manufactured in the country, the cost of which does not exceed Rs. 100.

5. For the reasons given in paragraphs 5 and 6 (3) of the Accountant-General's letter, the proposal of the Superintendent, Government Press, in regard to payments on account of materials and stock, and that of the Presidency Port Officer with reference to the purchase of books and periodicals not exceeding Rs. 5 in price, cannot be sanctioned.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat
(including Chief Secretariat Departments).
,, all Heads of Departments.
,, the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. Baitt.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O., 17th November 1894, No. 1000.

NOVEMBER.

Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders in regard to the delegation of further powers to heads of departments.

No. 3086 W. d/ 1st December/94

Recorded
(Sd) J.P.
W.

Note
The orders do not affect the P.W. Budgets.