

by him & Rs 8 sanctioned in the above G.O & the Examiner P. W. Accounts on a reference made to him on the subject by me stated in his letter No 173 A of the 13th May 1893 that he saw no objection to the refund being allowed from the date on which notice of the revised rate of rent (Rs 8 per mensem) sanctioned was served on Mr. Elloss.

5. The G.O in question was communicated to Mr. Elloss on the 9th October 1892 & a refund of rent viz Rs 5-3-1 was made to him from the above date to the end of October 1892, that is for 23 days.

6. Mr. Elloss contends that he is entitled to the refund of rent overpaid from the date he occupied the portion of the building viz 1st April 1891. & I suppose he is entitled to the refund he claims in as much as the rent of Rs 15 was charged by mistake, whereas the correct rate of rent was Rs 8 only. I think it is unfair to charge the rent of the whole building on a portion of it occupied as a residence, while the other portion was actually occupied by the Sub. D^r Office & Stores.

7. I therefore request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the refund of the rent, as claimed by Mr. Elloss.

8. For the months of November and December 1892 rent was paid at Rs 8 per

with order

29 Dec

No. 4680Z.

FROM

G. D. Wyllow Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 17th October 1893.

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that Mr. H. A. Mass Executive Engineer, when in charge of the Yellamanchili Sub Div in the Vizagapatam Division, occupied as his residence a portion of the P. W. Department building at that place, from 1st April 1891 to end of December 1892.

2. By mistake on the part of the Esq. rent was charged for the whole building at Rs 15 per month vide para 1 of my letter No. 428 T.L. dated 1st September 1892 printed with G.O. No. 2570 W. dated the 16th September 1892, & was recovered at that rate from 1st April 1891 to end of October 1892.

3. For the portion occupied as residence, a monthly rental of Rs 8 only was sanctioned in G.O. No. 2570 W. dated the 16th September 1892 quoted above.

4. Mr. Mass claimed the refund the difference of rent between Rs 15 per

P. W. CURRENT No. 9125

FROM

G. D. Wyllow Esq
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED

DESPATCHED

RECEIVED

17th } October 1893.
24th }
27th } 18. 11. 93

No. 4680Z.

ENCLOSURES

SUBJECT.

Rent overpaid by Mr.
H. A. Mass for the P. W.
Building at Yellamanchili
— Requesting to obtain
sanction of Government
to refund the — under
the circumstances explained
within —

No. 2170 W 4/9-8-90 ✓
" 3389 W - 17-12-90 ✓
" 648 W - 6-3-91 ✓
" 3092 W - 18-11-91 ✓
" 256 W - 29-1-92 ✓
" 2570 W - 16-9-92 ✓

No. 3520 C 4/31 October 1893

To
C
For favour
of remarks.

Man put up G.O. No. 1734 17.5.93
quoting in para 1
H. A. Mass
17/10/93

meusem-

9. I think the ruling in G.O. No. 648 W. of the 6th March 1891 does not apply to a case such as that under reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient

J. H. Garrett Esq.
Super. Eng^r 1st Circle.

No 1825-D of 17-11-93

To W.

There appears no objection to the remission of rent in question being sanctioned by Government as the rent at the increased rate of Rs. 15 was charged to Mr. Moss by a mistake.

2. It is however observed that the building was not in Mr. Moss' occupation from the 21st March to 18th May 1892 while he was officiating as Executive Engineer.

14-11-93

C.

Subm
No 3050 Wd/24 Nov/93
The refund of rent claimed by Mr. Moss is sanctioned but the attention of the Superintending Engineer is drawn to para 2 of the Examiner's note.

S.E. Circle

INDEXED.

28/11.

21 Nov.

No. 511V.2.

FROM

A. H. Garrett Esq.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

Enclosures,

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Camp Sanamilli
~~DOWLAINEWERAH~~, 1st November 1894.

SIR,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the portion of P. W. D. Bungalow at Yella-mancheli for which a rent of Rs. 8 per month was fixed in G.O. No. 2570 W. dated the 16th Sept 1892 was occupied by Mr. Harris Supervisor 1st Grade as a residence from 24th April 1894 to 26 August 1894 and a monthly rent of Rs. 15 was charged & recovered from him under para 31 of Chapter II of volume I of the P. W. Code.

2. The Engineer now states that it was compulsory on Mr. Harris to occupy the building in question & recommends the reduction of rent, under the latter part of para quoted above, to Rs. 8 the rent fixed by Government and the refunding to the Supervisor of the amount paid by him over and above Rs. 8 per month.

3. I request therefore that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained.

RECURRENT No. 8609

S. P. L. 500-31-7-94

FROM

A. S. S. S. S.
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DATED

DESPATCHED 8th November 1894

RECEIVED 12th

No. 5110.2

ENCLOSURES,

SUBJECT,

Bungalows at Yellamanchili
Portion of — occupied
by Mr. Harris Supervisor.
Requests to obtain sanction
of Govt for the reduction of
rent to Rs 8 for the —

Mr. Inayatullah that Mr. Harris
has been in charge of the
Yellamanchili Sub Dⁿ
from the 19th April 1894. The
H^d quarters of the Sub Dⁿ
has temporary been changed
from Yellamanchili to Vizaga-
patam from August last.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient

Assured

Sup^r Eng^r 1st Circle

No. 2930 W dt 15th November 1894

Sanctioned
Sanctioned

24-11-93 N^o 3050 W
31-10-93 N^o 3520 W C
16-9-92 N^o 2570 W
6-3-91 N^o 648 W
9-8-90 N^o 2170 W
18-11-91 N^o 3092 W
29-1-92 N^o 256 W
19-12-90 N^o 3389 W

DESPATCHED

16 NOV. 94