

No. 1785

Superintending Engineer's Office,

II CIRCLE,

Dated 4th January 1894.

From, Major A. L. C. Boddley Esquire,

Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To,

The

Chief Engineer,
P.W. Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to your notice, the very excellent manner in which Mr. Reid Assistant Engineer has managed the District Eastern Division, during the absence on privilege leave, for 3 months, of Mr. C. E. Smith, the Executive Engineer.

2. Mr. Reid has not only prevented the accumulation of arrears in an exceptionally heavy division, but has also taken up a great deal of

of new work and has proved him-
self to be a very capable and
hard working officer. I regret that
I am unable to apply for any charge
allowance for him, as I understand
that the rules do not allow an Assistant
Engineer to draw such until he has
passed the Departmental Standard
in a vernacular.

3. I beg, however, to point out that
that the examination prescribed in
para 18 Chapter II, Vol I, P.W. Code
referred to in G.O. No. 2061 W. of 20th
August 1894, apparently applies only to
officers serving on a list under the
Government of India; and, therefore,
the reason for declining to appoint
him as officiating Executive Engineer
would appear to require reconsideration.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most ^{Sir} obt^d servant
W. B. Baddley

Major R.E.
H. 3/11. Supg. Engineer, II Circle

8442

From

The Super Engineer
2nd Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

From,
The Superintending Engineer,
II Circle

To,
The

Dated
Received

18

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

No 1785 XI

Recd: 6.11.94

Dated 4th November 94

Asst. Engineer Mr Reid.
Brings to notice the
excellent way in which
— managed the work
in R.E.D. during the
absence of the permanent
En Ingr. and requests
reconsideration to the
order prohibiting Asst-
Engrs. from drawing any
allowance which they
as En Ingrs.

Cir 8442

E MADRAS GOVERNMENT

note

The question raised
by the I. & II Circle, regarding
the grant of charge allow-
to Asst. Engrs who have
not passed D.S. has
been considered in
many similar cases.

In the case of
Mr D. Marshall,
who held temporary
charge of the Town
division. The point
was referred by
to the Asst. Genl. Insp.
who replied that
the allow was inad-
missible - vide No
2125W of 1.8.92.
Since then the allow
has been invariably
refused to unpassed
Officers -

For orders.

Whether it could not
be submitted for sanction
as a special case

6/11/94
254

6/11/94

72

844

7-6-9
 12-6-9
 1-8-9
 11-6-92 N^o 29 83 C
 24-6-90 N^o 1756 W

8477

6022-10-92 N^o 4644

No. 2894 W. d. 13th November 1894

S.A.W.
 E. J.

2.00