(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 32

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் 2/8/2

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

Pwd துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். 280

அச்சகம்

பலவகை.

நாள் : 31-1-1894 ~~199~~.

மடிக்கவோ கூண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

7th April 1893, No. 910 W., Public Works.

280/1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

Extracts (paras. 1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, and 30) from report of an inspection of the office of the Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division, by Mr. LeMaistre (Examiner, 4th class, 3rd grade, sub. *pro tem.*), Dy. Examiner, P. W. Accounts, Madras, from 24th to 28th January 1893, dated 1st March 1893.

Executive Engineer in charge.—B. H. Young, Esq., Executive Engineer, 3rd grade.

Accountant.—C. Bramarayya, Accountant, 5th grade.

Sub-division.—Eastern Delta.
Central Delta.
Western Delta.
Tank and Embankment.

2. A complete test-audit of the accounts for the month of August 1892 was carried out in accordance with instructions laid down in circular from the Accountant-General, Public Works Department, No. 4 of 1887.

3. Work done by petty contractors and piece-workers does not appear to be promptly and systematically paid for. In Appendix B are collected all payments during August on account of work which had been completed and measured up over a month previously.

7. It was noticed that no certificate of actual count of cash was given in cash book between 31st October and 23rd January. Cash should invariably be counted once a month (*vide* Code chapter X, para. 49).

11. The salaries and travelling allowances of all sub-divisions are paid by the divisional office. These might well be paid by the sub-divisional officers themselves from their own drawing accounts. This plan would save the necessity of sending numerous cheques through the post or canal dák.

12. Treasury pass books are kept at the treasury and received in the divisional office once a fortnight. P.W. Code chapter X, para. 17, require that the pass books should be kept in the divisional office and sent to the treasury to be written up.

13. The work abstract for January had not been commenced on January 24th and, as a rule, the work abstracts for any month are not taken in hand until the accounts of the previous month have been submitted to the audit office. They should be written up day by day and not left until the end of the month to complete. The work abstracts for December 1892 had not been finally completed and generally they are not finally completed until after the despatch of the monthly accounts.

The delay in the preparation of these work abstracts is in some measure due to the great detail in which they are posted. Every work is kept by sub-head no matter what the estimated cost may be. Such refinement is not required by code rule and should be discontinued for all works for which the expenditure has not to be kept by sub-heads of estimate.

The work abstract is also used in case of works costing Rs. 200 and under instead of the simple Requisition Form No. 7.

1/Entd 20/5/93.

14. The register of works is not as a rule posted until after the despatch of the accounts to the Examiner. The posting of the register of works for December had not been commenced on the 25th January.

15. The rates have not been struck in the register of works monthly on each description of work as required by Code chapter XIII, para. 60, and on the whole the register of works has not been tidily kept.

16. There is a good deal of work carried out by daily labour but the ten-day reports for such work are not regularly submitted by the sub-divisional officers concerned. Many of these reports are not received in the divisional office until 10, 20, and 30 days after they are due, while in some cases the delay is even greater. Unless these reports are sent in punctually they lose their value to a large extent and moreover it is impossible for the accountant to keep his work up to date unless sub-divisional returns are received punctually.

17. The materials at site of works at end of November amounted to Rs. 27,637. Part IV of the old work abstract Form No. M.-6 is not now kept up, but the materials at site are shown in the modified form of ten-day report (Form No. M.-5). This form is, however, not written up by the subordinates in charge of the work, but it is prepared in the divisional office from statements submitted by them. This is not what is required, as in this way differences are very likely to arise and in fact several instances of such differences were noticed. The statement of materials at site in whatever form it is kept should be kept up by the subordinate in actual charge of the work.

The materials at site in this division are distributed over 66 works, 50 of which are under 5,000. The head of account materials at site has been opened out in every case and it is pointed out that this involves extra labour and is unnecessary for works which have not to be kept by sub-heads of estimate, that is, generally for all works under 5,000.

It is also pointed out that the sub-head materials at site should only be used for materials that are to be used on more than one sub-head of a work; in other cases the materials should be charged direct to the sub-head concerned. The fact that the head of account materials at site is not opened in the work abstract and register of works does not of course do away with the necessity of maintaining a numerical list of all materials at site of a work. This should always be done by the subordinate in charge in Form 7-F.

19. *Constructing Deputy Tahsildar's cutcherry at Razole.*—This work was completed and handed over on the 28th October 1892, but the accounts of the work have not yet been closed owing to the refusal of one of the piece-workers to accept his final bill. An additional claim of Rs. 452–15–2 is put forward by him and a reference has been made to the sub-divisional officer on the subject.

20. There is also a dispute with contractor Jena Saib & Co., employed in the construction of a munsif's court at Amalápuram. The amount claimed by this contractor is Rs. 856–4–8. The total value of the work done by the contractor is admitted by both sides to be Rs. 12,180–5–11 and the cash payments amount to Rupees 9,222–12–8. The difference (Rs. 2,957–9–3) the contractor is said to have received in materials supplied to him, while he only admits having received Rs. 2,101–4–7 and the difference of Rs. 856–4–8 he now claims. The matter is under reference with the sub-divisional officer, but there will be some difficulty in arriving at a settlement. Had a receipt for the materials as they were issued been taken from the contractor and the amounts shown against the contractor in the ledger as required by Code chapter XIII, para. 66, a difficulty of this kind could not have arisen.

Again the accounts submitted by the sub-divisional officer only showed that Rupees 2,101–4–7 worth of material had been issued to this contractor, but the divisional office without reference to the sub-divisional office, because they found that materials valued at Rs. 856–4–8 stood at debit of the work, charged this amount to the contractor in his final bill.

22. The value of stock was Rs. 6,563 (including Rs. 459 manufacture) against a reserve limit of Rs. 8,500. There is about Rs. 500 worth of stock made up of item on which there have been no transactions since August 1891.

2

280

23. There is about Rs. 10,000 received in this division on account of rent of river lunkas or islands. These receipts are classified as Minor Works and Navigation, Agricultural Receipts, but it is a question whether this classification is correct. The receipts would appear to come under some head of land revenue and not canal revenue. A reference on the subject has, I believe, been made by the civil authorities in the district.

24. There are navigation receipts to the value of about Rs. 25,000 collected in this division in the shape of licenses and toll fees. These receipts are collected by nine lock superintendents and one delta superintendent, but none of these men have given any security.

The delta superintendent keeps his cash book in English, but as he cannot write and read English it would be better if he kept it in his own vernacular.

26. The sanction for the entertainment of K. Venkatasubbiah, maistry on the temporary work establishment, is dated 27th July 1886, but the work on which he is now employed has only been lately commenced, so that this sanction cannot hold good at the present time. Every member of the work establishment is sanctioned for a particular work and when that work is finished the sanction expires.

30. A register of incumbents should be maintained (*vide* P.W. Code chapter X, para. 138).

ENCLOSURES.

APPENDIX A.

EXTRACT (para. 3) from note on the test-audit of the accounts of the Godávari Western Division for August 1892. The test-audit was carried out in accordance with the Accountant-General's (Public Works Department) circular No. 4 of 1887.

3. The following instances of delay in payments to laborers were noticed :—

Voucher number in the list of accounts and vouchers.	Name of work.	Period of muster roll.	Date of payment.	Extent of delay.	
				MTHS.	DAYS.
47	Constructing dam at 1 mile 7 furlongs of Ina pur channel, estimate Rs. 730.	1st to 30th June 1892.	21st August 1892.	1	20
50	Providing screw-gearing shutters, &c., to Vridha Goutami drain, estimate Rs. 1,890.	Do. do. ..	Do. do. ..	1	20
57	Constructing sluice at head of Chintalapudi old weir channel, estimate Rs. 400.	11th to 31st May 1892.	4th August 1892.	2	3
66	Left side parallel channel from above Velivada dam on Jinnur channel, estimate Rs. 770.	11th to 20th June 1892.	25th do. ..	2	4
67	Extension of Kontur channel, estimate Rs. 480 ..	21st to 30th April 1892.	2nd do. ..	3	1
71	Repairing troughs over drains do. 90 ..	21st to 30th June 1892.	15th do. ..	1	14
75	Repairs to aqueduct to carry Podalada No. 1 channel over Namanapalem drain, estimate Rs. 200.	27th May to 26th June 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	1	3
95	Repairing Cheyyar channel, estimate Rs. 1,900 ..	11th to 31st May 1892.	6th August 1892.	2	5
96	Clearing silt above and below Nadipudi lock, estimate Rs. 120.	1st to 31st May 1892.	4th do. ..	2	3
109	Repairing the under-tunnel of Vridha Goutami drain, estimate Rs. 1,600.	1st to 30th June 1892.	21st do. ..	1	20
117	Repairing Bhímavaram rest-house, estimate Rs. 25.	Do. do. ..	26th do. ..	1	25
136	Repairing Kakasaparru weir establishment shed ..	1st to 25th May 1892.	11th do. ..	2	15
140	Repairing Ramasetuva channel, estimate Rs. 550..	1st to 30th April 1892.	3rd do. ..	3	2
141	Repairing Jinnur channel and branches ..	18th to 30th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	2
142	Do. do. ..	1st to 20th May 1892.	Do. do. ..	2	13
145	Repairing Mogaltur channel	1st to 18th May 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	2	11

APPENDIX A—*cont.*

Voucher number in the list of accounts and vouchers.	Name of work.	Period of muster roll.	Date of payment.	Extent of delay.	
				MTHS.	DAYS.
146	Repairing Mogaltur lock	1st to 14th May 1892.	30th July 1892 ..	2	15
149	Repairing Jinnur channel	1st to 30th April 1892.	29th do. ..	2	28
152	Do. do.	21st to 30th April 1892.	27th do. ..	2	26
153	Do. do.	11th to 20th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	6
157	Repairing Gorintada drain	1st to 20th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	6
158	Repairing Jinnur channel	1st to 10th April 1892.	Do. do. ..	3	16
159	Petty repairs to sluices, Narsápur canal ..	20th to 31st May 1892.	3rd August 1892.	2	7
196	Maintenance of Byana weir and head sluice ..	11th to 20th June 1892.	27th do. ..	2	6
213	River protective works on the right side of Vasista Godávari.	1st to 31st May 1892.	24th do. ..	2	23
258	Constructing the stone and naval revetments for Razole set.	24th to 31st May 1892.	27th July 1892 ..	2	26
259	Do. do. ..	1st to 22nd May 1892.	Do. do. ..	2	4

APPENDIX B.

Statement showing delay in payment for work done and measured up as shown by the vouchers for month of August 1892.

Central office voucher No.	Amount.			Sub-division.	Date of measurement.	Date of payment.
	RS.	A.	P.			
				Delay above four months.		
229	0	9	3	Central delta	24th March 1892	12th August 1892.
				Delay above three months.		
64	838	13	10	Central delta	10th May 1892	11th August 1892.
74	45	5	8	Do.	15th do.	30th do.
134	2	2	8	Eastern	15th do.	21st do.
242	18	4	9	Central delta	12th do.	26th do.
243	354	5	7	Do.	12th and 20th May 1892 ..	Do. do.
277	1	11	9	Eastern	15th May 1892	24th do.
278	3	8	0	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
302	19	0	0	Central delta	13th do.	28th do.
				Delay above two months.		
62	12	4	8	Central delta	16th May 1892	20th July 1892.
66	54	4	0	Do.	Do. do.	10th August 1892.
72	7	5	0	Do.	22nd do.	11th do.
73	80	2	2	Do.	4th and 5th June 1892 ..	21st do.
75	2	7	3	Do.	15th May 1892	5th do.
84	13	13	8	Do.	16th June 1892	16th do.
85	18	14	10	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
86	62	9	10	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
87	12	1	3	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
135	16	3	0	Eastern	24th May 1892	27th July 1892.
173	57	0	0	Do.	22nd do.	6th August 1892.
174	17	8	0	Do.	26th do.	Do. do.
176	1	4	0	Do.	Do. do.	28th July 1892.
178	2	2	8	Do.	10th June 1892	12th August 1892.
179	2	8	0	Do.	1st do.	23rd do.
223	70	0	0	Central delta	16th May 1892	10th do.
230	12	15	2	Do.	24th do.	12th do.
231	8	3	1	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
233	201	15	5	Do.	3rd and 23rd June 1892 ..	31st do.

APPENDIX B—*cont.*

Central office voucher No.	Amount.			Sub-division.	Date of measurement.	Date of payment.
	RS.	A.	P.			
				Delay above two months—cont.		
234	328	4	6	Central delta	20th June 1892	31st August 1892.
235	48	7	0	Do.	22nd do.	Do. do.
240	89	11	11	Do.	12th, 20th and 29th June 1892.	26th do.
241	178	11	0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
279	10	6	6	Eastern	24th May 1892	28th July 1892.
312	14	15	6	Tank	20th do.	17th August 1892.
				Delay over one month.		
46	3	10	0	Eastern	10th June 1892	27th July 1892.
50	0	9	3	Do.	21st do.	2nd August 1892.
60	3	14	5	Central delta	12th do.	25th July 1892.
63	4	5	3	Do.	13th July 1892	15th August 1892.
65	90	0	0	Do.	25th June 1892	10th do.
67	114	8	9	Do.	27th do.	Do. do.
68	192	7	4	Do.	3rd July 1892	Do. do.
80	6	10	8	Do.	21st June 1892	21st do.
108	29	10	1	Eastern	4th July 1892	25th do.
109	15	12	0	Do.	30th June 1892	26th do.
110	28	14	6	Do.	3rd July 1892	Do. do.
175	3	12	0	Do.	10th June 1892	27th July 1892.
177	2	8	0	Do.	7th do.	2nd August 1892.
188	9	1	0	Western	22nd July 1892	26th do.
189	3	0	0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
191	3	2	0	Do.	6th do.	Do. do.
192	3	14	0	Do.	22nd do.	Do. do.
222	34	0	0	Central delta	14th June 1892	10th do.
224	7	7	6	Do.	8th do.	30th July 1892.
225	1	11	0	Do.	11th do.	Do. do.
226	3	14	5	Do.	27th do.	Do. do.
227	16	4	8	Do.	10th do.	Do. do.
232	69	10	0	Do.	3rd July 1892	31st August 1892.
236	9	3	0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
239	15	0	0	Do.	26th June 1892	15th do.
280	153	13	5	Eastern	15th to 26th July 1892 ..	30th do.
290	41	4	0	Central delta	18th June 1892	7th do.
292	10	5	0	Do.	Do. do.	28th July 1892.
293	36	9	0	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.
303	4	6	2	Do.	11th June 1892	30th do.
317	32	3	6	Tank	28th July 1892	31st August 1892.
319	38	15	10	Do.	8th do.	Do. do.
321	36	14	1	Do.	Do. do.	Do. do.

No. 123 A.

Submitted to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, for favour of orders.

(Signed) I. S. SHERLOCK-HUBBARD,
Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Madras.
29th March 1893.

Order—dated 7th April 1893, No. 910 W., Public Works.

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer, I Circle, for the information and guidance of the Executive Engineer, Godávari Western Division.

2. With reference to para. 3 of the inspection notes, attention is drawn to G.O., No. 151 W., dated 21st January 1893.

3. The Superintending Engineer will report on the following points:—

Para. 20—As to why no receipts were obtained from the contractor named for materials issued to him.

Para. 23—As to the proper classification of rent of river islands.

Para. 24—As to whether security should be demanded from irrigation superintendents entrusted with the collection of license and toll fees.

4. The irregularity noticed in para. 26 should be rectified.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. T. WALCH,
Ag. Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, I Circle.
,, other Superintending Engineers.
,, the Consulting Architect to Government.
,, the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
,, the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
,, the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. D. Xavier.
Spare Copies

280/4

22-4-93

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated April, 7th.

Encl.

Recd.

G.O., 7th April 1893, No. 910 W.

APRIL.

[illegible] 8
4671/84

Inspection Notes

Orders on — of the accounts of the office of the Godávari Western division.

189 3
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No.
To Current No.

189 3
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 1
To Current No. [illegible]

1.

No. 114.

Chicacole Sub Dn. Office
Chicacole d/ 22d June 1893.

280
5

EXAMINER
No. 350/3
9 AUG 1893
P. W. ACCOUNTS

From,

The Sub Divisional Officer,
Chicacole.

To,

The Asstt. Engineer in Charge
Central Delta Sub Dn.
Godavari Western Division.

Thro. The Executive Engineer
Ganjam Division.

Sir,

With reference to letter No. 156 C / 16.5.93. from the Executive Engineer Godavari Western Division bearing the receipts noted on the margin, I have the honor to report as follows:—

2. The materials supplied to Jama Saheb & Co from the Government stock at Chettipett and Dowlaishweram were received by them direct at the issuing Offices and conveyed to work site in their own boats or private boats hired by them. As the issuing Officers never called for receipts, I thought that they were taking the necessary receipts then & there when the materials were delivered to the Contractors.

2
GWA 2003/93.

3. As regards receipts for the materia

materials purchased from Jama Saheb &Co. and again issued to them for use on the work, I have the honor to state that statements of materials thus issued were submitted from time to time with bills for payment and that I was under the impression that the necessary receipts for the materials and the value thereof as required in para 1868 Chapter XVI of the Madras P.W. Code would be taken in the Division Office where the bills were paid.

4. These were the facts as far as I remember and I am unable to go into further details for want of the necessary records.

I have &c. &c.

Sd/ R. Vytheanatha Ayer

Sub Dl. Officer Chicacole

No. 1027 d/27.6.93.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
No. 2/8810
23 JUL. 93
FIRST CIRCLE.

Forwarded.

Ow 5.3.4
668/53
~~7??~~ 667/54

Sd/ G. F. Handcock

Executive Engineer

No. 330 C

Forwarded.

Sd/ R. A. Srinivasa Iyengar

Executive Engineer

True copy

R. A. Srinivasa Iyengar
21.7.93
Executive Engineer

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 3
To Current No. 1852

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 2
To Current No. 1852

Amalapur Taluq Tahsildar's Office
No. 63
Amalapur d/16.1.93.

From,

The Tahsildar
Amalapur Taluq

To

The Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Division

8D/26

EXAMINER
No.
9 AUG 1893
P. W. ACCOUNTS

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose reports nos. 245/31.10.92 and 10/9.1.93 from the Ryali Range Revenue Inspector and Deputy Tahsildar Kottapetta respectively who state that the sale proceeds of the Ankampalem lanka sold by your Department should be credited to Land Revenue Miscellaneous, as the lanka is actually undergoing cultivation for the favor of your remarks and return.

I have &c &c

Sd/ Syed Vaziruddeen

Ag Tahsildar

3
Govt 2003/93

Reply letter No. 864M. d/27.1.93.

With reference to his no. 63 of 16.1.93 with its enclosures, reports nos. 245/31.10.92 & 10/9.1.93 from the Ryali Range Revenue Inspector and Deputy Tahsildar Kottapetta

Kottapetta respectively which are herewith returned the undersigned informs the Tahsildar of Amalapur that so far as he knows there is no reason why the sale proceeds of not only Ankampalem lanka but of all lankas under the conservancy of this Department whether the crop is tobacco, pumpkins, or nanel grass for thatching roofs should not be credited to Land Revenue Miscellaneous the only thing is that all monies received by this Department must be first shown in the D.P.W. Divisional Cash book and is at present credited to Agricultural Revenue. whether any transaction on these items afterwards take place between the Examiner of P.W. Accounts and the Accountant General Civil Department the undersigned is unable to say.

With reference to some of the remarks made by the Deputy Tahsildar it might be as well to point out that all lankas are not conserved for the purpose of planting nanel; some are reserved for the purpose of keeping them free of all vegetation so as not only to prevent accretions but in the hope that the river in high floods will open out a wider Channel for itself by washing some of the lanka away.

28/7

Ankampalem lanka is reserved for the latter reason.

Years ago small deep branches opened out in the lanka itself in too close a proximity to the River Embankment and these were from time to time planted up with nanel and have now been raised to such a height above ordinary River freshes level as to be no longer a source of danger and there is no longer any reason for maintaining a plantation with the object of catching silt. but the western side of the lanka must be kept free of all vegetation nanel especially with the hope that the River may wash it away.

The statement that the lanka has not hitherto been ploughed is not exactly correct – it is true that this Department only sold it for the purpose of planting creepers & cutting grass but the ryots who purchased these rights ignored

ignored those conditions and really did plough & grow tobacco making huge profits as the sale for planting creepers and cutting reeds realised but small sums.

It was with the object of preventing this that the undersigned addressed the Suptg. Engineer at Dowlaishweram on the subject of asking the Revenue Department to sell these lankas on certain conditions which would be more likely to be respected when they knew that the magistrate could fine them in case of violation of conditions; but the Suptg. Engineer would not hear of this proposal and instructed to sell them as usual and to take sufficient deposit to guarantee respect of the conditions of the patta, or if not to fine from these deposit sums - these are the lines on which the sales are now worked, the deposit being returned when the lankas are given back to this Department according to the conditions in the muchilaka.

The Suptg. Engineer will be asked to move Government if necessary to issue orders regarding the correct procedure for eventually crediting Land Revenue Miscellaneous with the sale proceeds of these lankas - for whichever Department sells them the money is at once paid into the nearest treasury.

(Sd) B. H. Young 28/8

Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Dn.

(True copies)

R. A. Srinivasa Aiyangar
21.7.93
Executive Engineer

Compd
20/7

9

EXAMINER
9 AUG 1893
ACCOUNTS

Extract from a letter No 314 S d/21st July 1893 from the Executive Engineer Godavari Western Division to the Superintending Engineer First circle

280/9

With reference to your endorsement No 88 Gl d/3rd May 1893, I have the honor to report as follows:-

Para 3

(a) As to why no receipts were obtained from the Contractor for materials issued to him

A copy of letter No 114 d/22d June/93 from Mr: Sub Engineer R. Vythianatha Iyer who was in immediate charge of the work explaining why receipts were not taken, is attached for information. There was no difference in the quantities of materials issued to the Contractor; but in their value which was adjusted in the final bill.

4/Gnt 2008/93.

A consolidated receipt for the total quantity and value of materials supplied was obtained from the man

and

and filed with the final bill.

(b) As to the proper classification of the rent of river is lands	The classification was not settled. Copies of correspondence

on the subject are enclosed. I see no objection to the revenue from these lankas being classed as "Land Revenue Miscellaneous," if necessary.

(c) As to whether security should be demanded from Irrigation Superintendents entrusted with the collection of license & toll fees.	No doubt it would be safer to take security but the difficulty will be in transferring the Lock Superintendents when occasion requires as

each new man transferred to a Toll station will have to furnish security. For the last 30 years during which the license system has been in force, no security was ever demanded; even if security is taken, it will be a very small amount, when compared with the receipts in some stations. A man drawing Rs 10 to 15 P may be asked to give a security of not more than Rs 100/- but something

10

like 1000 Rs pass through his hands every day in important toll stations.

Para 4 - Renewed sanction was obtained for the entertainment of the maistry (vide your No 566 G.D. d/29-5-93)

28/10

29/7/93. Superintending Engineer
I circle.

Ck 28/7

EXAMINER
9 AUG 1893
ACCOUNTS

No. 1085 G/d

FROM

G. D. Wybrow Esquire,

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

280/10

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 26th July 1893.

Enclosures. 4

1 Extract from Ex: Engr's: letter No 314 S of 21-7-93.

1 Copy of letter 114/22-6-93 from Sub Engr Vydianatha aiyar

1 Copy of Amalapur Tahsildar's No 63/16-6-93

1 Copy of Ex: Engineer's No. 364 M of 27-1-93

SIR,

With reference to para 3 of G.O. No 910 W dated the 7th April 93 calling on me to report on certain points mentioned in the Inspection Notes of the Examiner on the accounts of the Godavari Western Division, I have the honor to submit herewith an extract from the Executive Engineer's letter No 314 S dated the 21st July 93, with its enclosures, furnishing all the required particulars

5/Gvt 2007/93.

Para 20 of the Examiners' Notes, as to why no receipts were obtained from

the

the Contractor. It will be seen from the Executive Engineer's letter quoted above that a consolidated receipt for the total quantity and value of materials supplied was obtained from the contractor; but receipts should have been obtained at each time the materials were supplied.

3. Para 23 of the notes. As to the proper classification of rent of river lankas. The amount collected on this account may be classified under Land Revenue Miscellaneous, as it appears to be the proper head instead of under Agricultural receipts.

4. Para 24 of the Notes. As to whether security should be demanded from Irrigation Superintendents entrusted with the collection of license and Toll fee. I beg to invite your reference to my letter No 637 G.D. dated the 6th June 1893 and I see no reason to modify the opinion therein expressed.

Recd No. $\frac{2470\ C}{9\text{-}6\text{-}93}$

Put up with G.O. No. 1937 W d/26-7-93

See Proc. subsequent [illegible] of the Godavari [illegible] [illegible] regarding [illegible]

5. As regards the point noticed in para 26, I beg to state that fresh sanction has since been granted by me to rectify the irregularity.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

$\frac{280}{12}$

Superintending Engineer
I circle.

$\frac{20}{28}$ 7

E.74

P. W. CURRENT No. 6698

FROM G. D. Wybrow Esq.
7477/53
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
667
FIRST CIRCLE

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED 26th
DESPATCHED 29th } July 1893.
RECEIVED — } 1-8-93

No. 1085 Gd

ENCLOSURES 3

SUBJECT.

Inspection report of accounts of Godavari Western Dn by the Deputy Examiner — Submitting Western Dn Officer's explanation regarding some of the remarks on the —

R. No. 350 B 9-8-93

B/72

S. E. I.
6
500—13—2—93

No 151 W.G 21-1-93
- 910 W 27-4-93

B

No. 2823 C d/ 8th August 1893

To
C

For favour of remarks.

444/K 9/8

OVB
3-8-93
DW

Papers & Continuous [illegible] file required [illegible]

Papers herewith, file with AB
10/8

AB file not with me [illegible]

[illegible]

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 5
Current No. 6682

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 6
To Current No.

B. 194
27/8

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

6 / 4wt 200 B/93

3000—2-2-91.

---

13 (C. No. 1201/5)

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1893. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 17th August 1893.

OFFICE NOTE No. 612 B.

To 280/13

W.

Your office note No.2823 C.of 8th. August 1893.

Returned with the following remarks:-

(I) Para 2 of Supg.Engineer's letter and para 20 of inspection notes. The provisions of Code chapter XIII,para 63 should not have been contravened. The value of materials made over to the contractor should have been debited to his account,and a receipt for the value thereof taken from him in support of the debit,at the time of issue.

(2) Para 3 of Supg.Engineer's letter and para 23 of inspection notes. The river lunkas or islands are,it is believed,entirely in charge of the P.W.D. by whom they are leased out year after year. The revenue derived therefrom should,therefore,it is thought,be treated purely as P.W. revenue.

(3) Para 4 of Supg.Engineer's letter and para 24 of inspection notes. In G.O

E. 3

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~7177~~

No.1937 W. dated the 26th.July 1893, Government has accepted the opinion of the Superintending Engineer that security need not be demanded from Irrigation Superintendents entrusted with the collection of lock tolls and license fees.

PA 17/8/93

For C.

Encls 4

Encls 4

Recs: 18-8-93

R. No 350-B d 18-8-93

No 151 W d 21-1-93
- 910 W d 7-4-90
- 1937 W d 26-7-93
- 2823 e d 8-8-93

Recorded, a copy of the Examiner's remarks being furnished to the Supty: Engineer I Circle

JH
22-8

24-8-93

The question of the credit of lanka rents to the P.W.D. should be considered in Rev. Dept.

S. E. I Circle
Exr. P. W. Accts

232/
22/8

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No. 1
To Current No. 6682

1893
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No.
To Current No.

14

No. 801 D

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

280/14

Dated ~~Madras~~ Ootacamund, 6th September 1893. Read

1st paper in the file

G.O. No. 910. W. dated 7 April 1893.

From the Suptg. Engineer I Circle No. 1085 G.D. dated 26th July 1893.

From the Examiner P.W. Accounts No. 612 B. dated 17th August 1893.

Referred to the Revenue Department for favour of remarks on the question of the Credit of lanka rents to this Department.

J H

Ag. Jt. Secretary to Govt. P.W.D.

6 SEP 93

7/Govt 2003/93

1—800—23-3-93.

To the Revenue Dept. with papers in original to be returned.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
(Land Revenue)
Dated 10th November 1893.
No. 6268 Mis.

Read Endorsement of Government Revenue Department dated 12. September 1893, No. 3361 Mis; and the following reference thereon dated 19. September 1893:—

Referred to the Collector of Godaveri for remarks.

Read also letter from S. H. Wynne Esqr, Collector of Godaveri, dated 16. October 1893, No. 328.

Resolution

The Collector's letter will be submitted to Govt. with reference to its endorsement No. 3361 Mis, dated 12. September 1893.

2. Whenever lankas are made over to the management of the Revenue Department the receipts therefrom should in the Board's opinion be credited to Land Revenue, Miscellaneous, and the Board sees no objection to a similar credit being made while they remain under the Public Works Department.

(True Copy & Extract)
(Signed) W. S. Meyer.
Ag. Secretary.

To.
The Secretary to Govt. Rev: Deptt.
with letter in Original to be returned
and enclosures returned.

0/18 5,000—7-9-93.

P. W. CURRENT No. 467

(1893 .)

~~REVENUE DEPARTMENT.~~

~~Current Number. 8306~~ 1

From

The Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Madras.

21. 1. 93. 1576
7. 4. 93. 910
11. 4. 93. 952
26. 7. 93. 1937
8. 8. 93. 2823
6. 9. 93. 801 D

Recs: 20. 1. 94

Dated 10th November 1893.

Received 14th

Enclosures 8.

No. 6268 Mis:

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Govt. with remark reply from the Collector of Godaveri, to its Endorsement No 3361 Mis. dated 12th September 1893 on the question of the Credit of lunka rents to the Public Works Department.

ABSTRACT.

Read Cno 8301 of 1893.

Endorsement No 89 Mis.

Transferred to the P. W. Department with the remark that on correct principles the revenue from the islands appertains to the P. W. Department as long as the islands are under its charge.

R. C. C. Carr
Under Secy to Government

Fort St. George
15 January 94

To.

The P. W. D. with the enclosures (the Collr's letter to be returned).
" Financial Department.
" Commrs of Land Rev.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 280 W d/31st Jany: '94

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Suptg: Engr: I Circle. No. 1085 G.D., d/26th July 1893.
Office Note from the Exr. P.W. Accts:, No: 612 B, d/17th August 1893.
Endt: from the Revenue Dept:, No. 89 Mis:, d/15th January 1894.

280/16

The Examiner's Office Note, No: 612 B, dated 17th August 1893, will be communicated to the Superintending Engineer I Circle, with reference to his letter No: 1085 G.D. d/26th July 1893.

2. The revenue derived from the river lunkas, in charge of the Public Works Dept; will be treated as Public Works revenue.

DESPATCHED
1 FEB. 94

S. E. I Circle.
Exr. P. W. Accts:
Rev: Dep. with one enclo.

24.1.94

24/1

(40—2,000—11-10-93.)

No: 280 wd 31 Jany '91