E. No. 27
500—2-5-94.

KEEP-WITH CURRENT No.

dated 189 .

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1894. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 22 September 1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 3883 D/B.

To

W.

In his return of sanctioned estimates for July 1894, the Consulting Architect to Government accorded sanction under Provincial Civil Buildings to an estimate amounting to Rs.1218 for "Providing new rattan matting and teak wood framed partition to the Revenue Board office Madras", and has since explained that the "mats were provided under Code XI, 2, as they were not provided for before"; but it appears doubtful, under the strict letter of the rule whether the incidence of the charge in either case is correct, as the building is not a "new" one (Code XI. 4), neither the teak wood framed partitions, nor rattan mats are fixtures.

2. The cost of both should apparently be met from Contingencies of the Boards office with reference to Code XI. 4, and I therefore request that the orders of Government may be obtained as to whether the charge may be admitted against the P.W. grant.

22/9

22/9

P. W. CURRENT No. 7153

No. 1841 W dt/ 24-7-94

Rec: 24.9.94

C. No. 7155

D.O.

The draft order on this paper is based on the assumption that as the wooden partition was supplied under the authority of G.O. 1841 W dt/ 24 July 94, its cost should be charged to the P.W. Dept. The same argument would apply to the rattan matting. The G.O. in question merely directed the C.E. to prepare an estimate & carry out the work - the Board of Revenue having requested this - It did not settle the incidence of the charge, which should, as pointed out by the Examiner, fall against the Civil Budget.

The Exr's Office note might be referred to the Rev. Dept. unless it is at once decided that the charge cannot be accepted by the P.W. Dept.

26/Sept 26/Sept 94

Lr. B. No. 2640 dt. 19th October 1894 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Office note from the Examiner P.W. Accts H.C. No. 3883 Dt. d/22/9/94

As pointed out by the Examiner the charges in question do not fall against the Public Works grant. They will be adjusted under orders in the Revenue Dept.

DESPATCHED 20 OCT. 94

Consg Architect
Examiner P.W. Accts
Revenue Dept

Cons 26/10/94

Dd 12/10

(40—1,000—31-4-94.)

025.379

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
11 OCT 94
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

(The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept
favr of countersigned)
No. 2640 dt 19th October 1894

B/
Cmr

INDEXED
15/11

Cmrs 2 de
26/10

96 54
3 94 11