

CN^o 6591
Govt. of 1894 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 10/9 1894 No. 2282W

Travelling allowance.

The actual expenses for
journey by railway claimed
as — by Supervisor V. R.
Kuppusami Sundar. trans-
ferred from Gargain to
No. 3 Party, admitted as a
special case, & the
attention of officers of the
Department drawn to
para 4 of the office note
from the Examiners.

1-4

10/9
f.c.c.
10/9

240
11

KEEP WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1894.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189 .

Madras, 1st ^{Sept.} August 1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 3580 A
E.

To

W.

In the travelling allowance bill of No.3 Tank Restoration Scheme Party for April 1894, a sum of Rs.190-15-0 was claimed on behalf of V.R.Kuppusami Mudaliar, Supervisor, transferred from Ganjam to No.3 Party, the claim being for actual expenses under C.S.R. article 1205 for the journey by Railway.

2. To be entitled to travelling allowance under the above article, the Supervisor should have complied with the conditions mentioned in note I under the article. As this was not done, ordinary travelling allowance only was passed to the Supervisor.

3. In reply to the disallowance, the Supervisor stated as follows:-

" To obtain a detailed statement explanatory of the charges incurred from the Executive Engineer Ganjam Division I was not aware that it was necessary till I was informed of it in the Central office T.R.S. after I joined my

new Division. So I did not claim under article I205(b) C.S.R. for journey by road, the actual charges of locomotion for myself, family & 3 servants although they exceed much the ordinary rate of mileage to which I am entitled. I claimed 4 second class railway fare because I travelled with all my children etc. As to the luggage charges as per railway receipt I submitted already, I have paid nearly twice as much as I claimed, I therefore request these will be passed, as allowed for in the above article."

The Supervisor pleads ignorance of the rules, and under the circumstances, orders of Government are requested whether the claim may be admitted with special reference to their reasonableness and necessity.

4. As claims of this nature are likely to be frequent, I would suggest that the attention of Executive Engineers & other heads of offices be drawn to C.S.R article I205, Note I and that they may be informed -

i. that in scrutinizing the proposed charges for servants and luggage special attention may be paid to their reasonableness and necessity with reference to the subordinates official status.

ii. that unless the explanatory memo the. with vouchers as prescribed by

rule are submitted, claims to travelling allowance under article I205 will not be passed.

For C. S. R.

2/10.

2

No. 2282 Wd, 10 September 1894

AS
Surm.

General
Estab.

The claim will
be admitted as a
special case

2. The attention
of all officers at
the Dept. will be
drawn to the
remarks in para 4
of the Examiners
note.

Recd: 3.9.94

29

Comm
29

cc. Ch. E. for 9
- Ch. S. for 9
Suptg. Engrs.
C.A. to Genl.
Sof. DP
Exr. P. wa

DESPATCHED

20 SEP. 94

ing being
so occupied
at R25

Engineer

C No 6591

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

[Signature]
Dated
[Signature]
11.9.94

G.O. 10/9 1894 No. 2282W

Travelling allowance.

The actual expenses for
journey by railway claimed
as — by Supervisor V.R.
Kuppusami munt. trans-
ferred from Gangan to
No. 3 Party, admitted as a
special case, & the
attention of officers of the
Department drawn to
para 4 of the office note
from the Examiners.

240
11

10/9
J.C.C.
10/9

— 1890

— 1890
9190

8896

No. 2282 Wd, 10 September 94

AS
Supt

General
Estab

The claim will
be admitted as a
special case

2. The attention
of all officers of
the Dept. will be
drawn to the
remarks in para 4
of the Examiners
note.

Recd: 3.9.94

S
19

Am
3/94

cc Ch. E. for 9
Suptg. Engrs.
C.A. to Cont.
Sot. W. DP
Exr. Phra

DESPATCHED
20 SEP. 94

KEEP WITH

CURRENT No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1894.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

dated

189 .

Madras,

1st August

1894.

OFFICE NOTE No. 3580 D
8.

To

W.

In the travelling allowance bill of No.3 Tank Restoration Scheme Party for April 1894, a sum of Rs.190-15-0 was claimed on behalf of V.R.Kuppusami Mudaliar, Supervisor, transferred from Ganjam to No.3 Party, the claim being for actual expenses under C.S.R. article 1205 for the journey by Railway.

2. To be entitled to travelling allowance under the above article, the Supervisor should have complied with the conditions mentioned in note I under the article. As this was not done, ordinary travelling allowance only was passed to the Supervisor.

3. In reply to the disallowance, the Supervisor stated as follows:-

" To obtain a detailed statement explanatory of the charges incurred from the Executive Engineer Ganjam Division I was not aware that it was necessary till I was informed of it in the Central office T.R.S. after I joined my

n. 56
new Division. So I did not claim -
under article I205(b) C.S.R. for
journey by road, the actual charges
of locomotion for myself, family &
3 servants although they exceed much
the ordinary rate of mileage to
which I am entitled. I claimed 4
second class railway fare because
I travelled with all my children
etc. As to the luggage charges as
per railway receipt I submitted al-
ready, I have paid nearly twice
as much as I claimed, I therefore
request these will be passed, as al-
lowed for in the above article."

The Supervisor pleads ignorance of the
rules, and under the circumstances, or-
ders of Government are requested whether
the claim may be admitted with special
reference to their reasonableness and
necessity.

4. As claims of this nature are like-
ly to be frequent, I would suggest that
the attention of Executive Engineers &
other heads of offices be drawn to C.S.R
article I205, Note I and that they may be
informed -

i. that in scrutinizing the proposed
charges for servants and luggage
special attention may be paid to
their reasonableness and necessity
with reference to the subordinates'
official status.

ii. that unless the explanatory memo
the.
with vouchers as prescribed by

rule are submitted, claims to tra-
velling allowance under article
I205 will not be passed.

F. W. E. K.

*For C.
S. E.
2/10.*

2

GENERAL.
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated September, 10th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 10th Sept. 1894, No. 2282 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Travelling Allowance

The actual expenses for journey by railway claimed as — by Supervisor V. R. Kuppusami Mudaliar transferred from Ganjam to No. 3 Party, admitted as a special case; and the attention of officers of the department drawn to paragraph 4 of the office-note from the Examiner.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Establishment.

READ—the following paper :—

Office-note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 1st September 1894, No. 3580 D./E.

In the travelling allowance bill of No. 3 Tank Restoration Scheme Party for April 1894, a sum of Rs. 190-15-0 was claimed on behalf of V. R. Kuppusami Mudaliar, Supervisor, transferred from Ganjám to No. 3 Party, the claim being for actual expenses under Civil Service Regulations, article 1205, for the journey by railway.

2. To be entitled to travelling allowance under the above article, the Supervisor should have complied with the conditions mentioned in note I under the article. As this was not done, ordinary travelling allowance only was passed to the Supervisor.

3. In reply to the disallowance, the Supervisor stated as follows :—

“To obtain a detailed statement explanatory of the charges incurred from the Executive Engineer, Ganjám division, I was not aware that it was necessary till I was informed of it in the Central office, Tank Restoration Scheme, after I joined my new division. So I did not claim, under article 1205 (b), Civil Service Regulations, for journey by road, the actual charges of locomotion for myself, family and 3 servants although they exceed much the ordinary rate of mileage to which I am entitled. I claimed 4 second-class railway fare because I travelled with all my children, &c. As to the luggage charges, as per railway receipt I submitted already, I have paid nearly twice as much as I claimed; I therefore request these will be passed as allowed for in the above article.”

The Supervisor pleads ignorance of the rules, and, under the circumstances, orders of Government are requested whether the claims may be admitted with special reference to their reasonableness and necessity.

4. As claims of this nature are likely to be frequent, I would suggest that the attention of Executive Engineers and other heads of offices be drawn to Civil Service Regulations, article 1205, note I, and that they may be informed—

(i) that in scrutinizing the proposed charges for servants and luggage, special attention may be paid to their reasonableness and necessity with reference to the subordinate's official status;

(ii) that, unless the explanatory memo. with the vouchers as prescribed by rule are submitted, claims to travelling allowance under article 1205 will not be passed.

ORDER—dated 10th September 1894, No. 2282 W., Public Works.

The claim will be admitted as a special case.

2. The attention of all officers of the department will be drawn to the remarks in paragraph 4 of the Examiner's note.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
„ Superintending Engineers.
„ the Consulting Architect to Government.
„ the Superintendent of Works, Periyár Project.
„ the Examiner, Public Works Accounts.

Exd. C. A. Munisawmy.
Spare Copies