



P. W. CURRENT No. 6262

From
Mr. C. C. Lawrence R.E.
As Superintending Engineer
3rd District, Madras

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st August 94
Recd: 22.8.94
No 1270

Retention of a lascar
in the absence of an
overcoor -

Requesting the favor of
sanction for the -

No. 2183 b d 3rd September 1894

To J. J. J.

The retention of
the lascar is sanctioned.
Dated

Decd

Chd
24/9/94

March C.H.W.
proper being at
accy.

DISPATCHED
-4 SEP. 94

INDEXED
12/9

S.E. III circle
S.E. III circle
Exr: P.W.D.
Sanction

J.C.C.
199

Ln O.L.S. 303
R27/8

Letter from the Executive Engineer Kurnool Division,
No 1571 dated 11th August 1894, to the Suptg. Engineer
III Circle, Bellary.

Owing to no subordinate having been posted to this
Division in place of Overseer Subramhaya Iyer, the
actual strength of Upper subordinates is less than the
sanctioned scale by one.

2. This subordinate is to take charge of the ^{1st} section
of the Canal during his absence, the work is with difficulty
looked after by the Upper Sub Divⁿ Officer with the
assistance of the Irrigation Superintendent & Sub. Overseer.
In doing so he required necessarily the services of the lascars
of the sectional Officer and he utilized them.

3. The Examiner, in his Dis. List on the salary
bill for May 1894 questioned the retention of the lascars
in the absence of an Overseer and the circumstances were
explained to him, but he has now finally disallowed
the claim and says sanction of Government is necessary
to admit it.

4. Under the circumstances I have the honor to
request that the required sanction of Government for the
retention of the lascars even during the absence of the Overseer
may be obtained or that an Overseer may be posted
in place of P. Subramhaya Iyer vide my letter No
1342 dated 2nd August 1894 to remove this objection.