

Excess outlay on the  
St. Marks' Court  
at Paramaribo,  
Madura St.

Lo: 2120 w. d. 24 August 1871

T. A.  
B. C. B.

INDEXED

24.25/8.

tion in  
d  
amio

3. The excess over the revised estimate has been due to the following causes: —

I. Excess over items in the estimate

- (a) Excess below 50 Rs each on account of extra work done or increased rate given in 9 items — Rs 104-13-5
- (b) Excess quantity of work done under the sub-head "Brick in mortar in superstructure" owing to the increased thickness in partition walls — Rs 90-2-9
- (c) Excess quantity of work done under the sub-heads "Teak wood wrought and put up" and "Teak reapers used in lieu of palmprah ones" — Rs 115-0-0
- (d) Increased rate given for special tiles — Rs 359-15-11
- (e) Actual cost in raising the condemned building — Rs 65-10-9
- (f) do — for petty supervisions — Rs 158-8-10
- (g) Excess quantity of work done in excavating foundations — Rs 67-14-5

II Want of provision in the estimate

- (a) Filling with sand — Rs 274-8-6.

- (b) Earth work for filling in trenches of foundation, spreading and levelling &c — Rs 41-11-2
- (c) Larring — 27-0-0
- (d) Pankah — 12-0-0
- (e) Well — 10-0-0
- (f) Cement plastering — 24-5-9
- (g) circular ornaments — 10-8-0
- (h) Pointing in foundation & basement — 77-6-3
- (i) Iron and brass articles for doors and windows — 33-0-6
- 1472-10-3
- Deduct savings on other items — 453-8-4
- Net excess Rs 1019-1-11

4. The first completion report dated 11<sup>th</sup> July 1892, has already been verified by the Examiners of P. W. Accounts, and the other one dated 20<sup>th</sup> July 1894 will have to be verified by that officer.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obedient servant

*W. S. D. M.* Major R. E.  
Superintending Engineer  
Circle

P. W. D. 6th CIRCLE.

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

Also, the Examiner P.W. Accts.

No. 531md

Dated 20<sup>th</sup> July 1894.  
Received

Enclosures. 2

Submits for the sanction of Govt., two completion reports for the District Municipal Court house built at Paramakudi -

No. 60 of 11-4-90  
1260 W of 30-4-98  
1449 W of 23-5-90

tho  
A.V.M.-34

O.L.S. 27<sup>th</sup> 11/22/8

118  
N. 3185 D of 2-5-94  
B  
To E.W.  
1. Forwarded.  
2. The total expenditure on the work viz Rs 15074-1-11 as shown in the Completion report dated 20<sup>th</sup> July 94, has been checked and found correct.

28/8  
C  
L/A.

Public Works Department.

Current No. 5790  
Letter No. 31852  
Dated 2<sup>nd</sup> August 1894  
From the Examiner P.W. Accts.

NOTE BY TECHNICAL SECTION.

Name of work Constructing District Municipal Court house at Paramakudi in the Madurai District.

The S.E. VI Circle submits a completion report for constructing District Municipal Court house at Paramakudi in the Madurai Dist. for the sanction of Govt. to the excess outlay.  
A revised estimate for the work amounting to Rs 14,055 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1449 W dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1890 & this work was completed at an outlay of Rs 14,575-6-5 & a completion report submitted on the 11<sup>th</sup> July 1892, subsequently a further expenditure of Rs 498-11-6 had been incurred in March last on account of compensation for land raising the total outlay to Rs 15,074-1-11 with an excess of Rs 1019-1-11 or 7.37% over the sanctioned estimate, this excess is due to the following causes:-

1. 11. 2. 11. 3. 11. 4. 11. 5. 11. 6. 11. 7. 11. 8. 11. 9. 11. 10. 11. 11. 11. 12. 11. 13. 11. 14. 11. 15. 11. 16. 11. 17. 11. 18. 11. 19. 11. 20. 11. 21. 11. 22. 11. 23. 11. 24. 11. 25. 11. 26. 11. 27. 11. 28. 11. 29. 11. 30. 11. 31. 11. 32. 11. 33. 11. 34. 11. 35. 11. 36. 11. 37. 11. 38. 11. 39. 11. 40. 11. 41. 11. 42. 11. 43. 11. 44. 11. 45. 11. 46. 11. 47. 11. 48. 11. 49. 11. 50. 11. 51. 11. 52. 11. 53. 11. 54. 11. 55. 11. 56. 11. 57. 11. 58. 11. 59. 11. 60. 11. 61. 11. 62. 11. 63. 11. 64. 11. 65. 11. 66. 11. 67. 11. 68. 11. 69. 11. 70. 11. 71. 11. 72. 11. 73. 11. 74. 11. 75. 11. 76. 11. 77. 11. 78. 11. 79. 11. 80. 11. 81. 11. 82. 11. 83. 11. 84. 11. 85. 11. 86. 11. 87. 11. 88. 11. 89. 11. 90. 11. 91. 11. 92. 11. 93. 11. 94. 11. 95. 11. 96. 11. 97. 11. 98. 11. 99. 11. 100. 11.


No. 2120 W. d/24 August 1894

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the S.E. VI Circle No 531 M.D. d/28 July 1894  
Office note from the Examiner P.W. Accts No 3185 D d/2 August 1894

In the circumstances reported, sanction is accorded to the outlay of Rs 1019-1-11 incurred on the District Munsif Court at Paramagudi in the Madura District, in excess of the estimate of Rs 14,055 sanctioned in G.O. No 1449 d/dated 23 May 1890.



To The S.E. VI Circle  
with 2 pens.  
Exar P.W. Accts

(40-2,000-22-3-94)

during execution ———— Rs 256-9-5

(b) Due to increased thickness provided for the partition walls ———— 90-2-9

(c) Due to increased rates paid for special tiles &amp; for cement concrete floor ———— 382-15-11

(d) Due to deviation of materials such as, plastering in lieu of painting, teak screens in lieu of palm-leaf screens &amp; zinc sheets in lieu of lead sheets for the valleys ———— 4-3-3.

(e) Due to insufficient provision ———— 224-3-7

(f) Due to omission in estimate ———— 402-9-5

(g) Minor excesses ———— 51-15-11

Total Rs ———— 1412-12-3

deduct savings ———— 393-10-4

Total excess Rs ———— 1019-1-11

It will be observed that the excess P (earthwork) is stated, under explanation of difference, to be due to increased depth of foundations ordered by the Executive Engineer but from the cut heads of concrete & brick in chimney in foundation & basement, the quantities estimated for the foundations have not been exceeded. This does not appear to be clear, for if the excavations are increased in depth, the concrete & masonry foundations will likewise be increased, unless the soil has been met with, which has probably been excavated & sand rammed instead.

The increased rates cannot be checked.

The completion report has been

(b). This may be passed if sanction to increase the thickness of the walls has previously obtained.  
(c). The only explanation given regarding increasing the rate for concrete is "increased rate found necessary". Very item 14 in explanation of differences. Such an explanation cannot, I think, be accepted particularly if a contractor had bound himself to do the work at a lower rate.

(d). May be passed if previous sanction to these deviations has been obtained.

(e) (f) &amp; (g). May be passed with the possible exception of earthwork which is doubtful.

Any be found I think printing and for similar are not reproducible. The actual excess is only Rs 520 or less than G.O. on estimate.

And if not increased in depth the rate can not be increased.

Lo: 2120 W. d/24 August 1894

And if not increased in depth the rate can not be increased.

Data are required. And be stated. It is not clear whether this is a contract work or not. If so to have an explanation as regards increasing rates where the class of work is not changed.

No. 53/md,

Trichinopoly,

28<sup>th</sup> July 1894

D-20/-

30 JUL 1894

FROM

Major A. B. Boddy R.E.

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6th CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

Public Works Department.

SIR, Thro' the Examiner P.W. accounts.

ENCLOSURES.

2. Completion  
reports. —

I have the honor to forward for the sanction of Government, the two completion reports for the District — Municipal Court house built at Paramakudi in Madura Division.

2. A revised estimate for the work, amounting to Rs 14,055, was sanctioned in G.O. No. 1449. W. dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1890; the work was completed in March 1892 at an outlay of Rs 14,575-6-5 and a completion report for the amount submitted on the 11<sup>th</sup> July 1892. Subsequently a further outlay of Rs 498-11-6 was incurred in March 1894 on account of land compensation, raising the total outlay to Rs 15,074-1-11, that is, Rs 1,019-1-11, in excess of the sanctioned estimate.