

(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை

12

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள்

2/8

(3) ஆதாரம் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

2/8

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

சுவா

துறை

அரசாணை எண்.

மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண்.

210

அச்சகம்.

பலவகை

நாள் :

23-1-1884

199

முடிக்கவோ தண்டப்படி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

6/8/84
SR

ஆவண. கா. 1-18,006-6-9-90.

N^o 210 Wd/23² January 1874

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

210/

Read the following

Letter from the Suptg. Engineer IV Circle N^o 444 Wd/4. 1874. 1873
do do do 507 Wd/2. 1873.

Sent to I with
Memo N^o 598C
2, 15th Feb. 94

210/1

With his first letter above recorded, the Suptg. Engineer IV Circle submits a revised estimate for constructing a first class Taluk office at Chaudragiri, the amount being Rs. 3,580 or Rs 2,980 in excess of the estimate sanctioned in G.O. N^o 2914 Wd/20th October 1893. It is explained that the rates entered in the estimate sanctioned in October 1893 were lower than those for which a contract

had already ^{been} ~~concluded~~ ^{having been} ~~it~~ ^{considered} by the Ex. Engineer that the contract rates were too high, and that the Contractor could be induced or compelled to accept cheaper rates than those agreed upon. As might have been foreseen, the attempt to alter the agreement was unsuccessful, and the extra cost of the present revised estimate is due to the necessity for adhering to the contract rates.

2. The history of this work is as follows:-

An estimate for a new taluk office at Chandrajiri was submitted by the Suptg. Engineer in compliance with G.O. No. 734.W dt 11th March 1889, but in G.O.

C. No. 10877
2/10
2101
23/11/94

D.W.

An estimate for the taluk office at Chandrajiri was called for in G.O. No. 734.W dt 11th March and 10th April 1889. The estimate submitted by the S.E. to Circle was held in abeyance pending issue of revised type design and the S.E. was asked to give his opinion whether it would be advisable to commence the collection of materials in the meanwhile vide G.O. No. 3285.W dt 10-12-90. In reply the S.E. stated that it was not advisable to collect materials pending issue of the type design vide G.O. No. 23.W dt 5-1-91. In the explanation column of the comparative statement it is stated that the Chief Engineer in his memo: No. 4837.C dt 2-11-91 ordered the collection of materials so as to utilize the grant as much as possible and the contract

contract was accordingly entered into with the contractor at 3 p.c. below the originally estimated rates. A reference to the contract agreement will show that the contract was entered into long before the Chief Engineer's Memo: viz 6 July 1891. It will thus appear that the collection of materials was commenced in contravention of the S.E.'s own report recorded with G.O. No. 23. Wd/5-1-91 and before obtaining the sanction of competent authority.

As even the original estimate forwarded to the Collector exceeded Rs 20,000, the S.E. had no authority to accept the tender. If the tender was for the collection of materials only, which however does not appear to have been the case, the contract should have been restricted to the supply of materials and the contract

240/3
contract for work entered into after approval by the Chief Engineer.

As however the contractor cannot be compelled to accept lower rates than were agreed to, there is no other alternative than to sanction the revised estimate, but attention of the S.E. might be drawn to the irregularities noticed above.

Form of contract for works costing over Rs 10,000 viz form No. M 174 is submitted for reference. The form adopted viz M 175 does not alter the circumstances of the case.

Pris
15/1/94

The revised estimate must be sanctioned. The Rules should not have been

con
em
at
ess
to
with
wa
the
by
that
wa
of
with
an
of
for
eye
no
If
of
do
the
ha
su

returned - the
irregularities asked
should be brought
to notice of the C. L.

1013
10-1-84

with a decided
expression of condemnation
on the part of the

S. 1011

10877

Due $\frac{20}{100}$

Submitted with
reference to His Grace's
note.

Proposed to
make the addition shown
in pencil to item 3 of
the draft order.

C. 19-1-84

Yes. This should
be done I think

1011
19-1-84
S. 1911

21/6

N^o 3285 W d 10th Dec^r 1890,
this was held in abeyance
pending the issue of a
revised type design,
and the Suptg. Engineer
was requested to report
whether it would be
advisable to commence
the collection of materials.
In his reply N^o 405 E.
d 19th Dec^r 1890, the
Suptg. Engineer did
not advise the col-
lection of materials,
but notwithstanding
this, a contract for
the work based on
the estimate which
had been held over
was concluded on
the 6th July 1891. In the
Comparative Statement
now received, it is
explained that the
collection of materials
was commenced on

the authority of the
Chief Engineer's Memo
N^o 4837.C. d/2^d November
1891, which contained
general instructions
on the subject of the
new type designs, but
as above shown, the
contract was signed
in July 1891, or four
months before the issue
of the Chief Engineer's
Circular above quoted.
The first estimate
submitted in 1890
exceeded Rs 20,000, and
the Supdg. Engineer
therefore had no authority
to accept the tender. If
the tender was for the
collection of materials
only, which does not
appear to have been
the case, the Contract
should have been
restricted to the supply
of materials, and the

210/6

It passes my comprehension how the
Sup. Engineer entered into a contract
which he must have known he was not
authorized to accept. He should be
called upon to explain his action
in this matter on all the
points raised in para. 3.

The Supply Eng^r will
submit full explana-
tion on the three
points above
mentioned - W
18/Jan

Contract for Construction
should have been con-
cluded only after the
sanction of the Chief
Engineer had been
obtained. 210/6

3. The collection of
materials and the
execution of a Contract
without proper authority
and the entry in an
estimate of rates below
those already contracted
for, are irregularities
which merit the strong
condemnation of
Government. W

4. With these remarks
the revised estimate
of Rs 31,580 is sanctioned.

5. This sanction
has been registered
as Provincial N^o 133 W
of 1893-94 and classified
as

Civil Buildings,
Original Works.

W.B.
(23)

W.B.
16.1.94

S.
9.1

Revised estimate
for taking office
at Chandigarh

N^o 210. W.d/23rd January 94

Tth
B.G.D.

DESPATCHED
24 JAN 94
P.

To
The Suptg. Engineer, W. B. Unit
with 3 copies

Ex^r P. W. Dept.

Revenue Dept.

D.C. 25/1
Cu
H.S. 17/1 R. 17/1