No. 7. P. W. CURRENT No. 3542

No. 70 D d/ 1.5.94

Public Works Department.

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 394

Dated 10th
Despatched
Received 11th
May

No. OF ENCLOSURES. 5

Subject.

Submitting 2 statements giving data for the rent of Government Solicitors office at the New Law Court Buildings

(5)

G. O. No. 1896 W. d/ 31st July 1894

Recorded with reference to G. O.+ 1895 W. d/ 31st July 1894

Cmd 26/94

277

× on C No 5498

~~Amount receipt for Rs ... from ... Rs ... No 70 D d/ 1-6~~

Cmd 16.5.94

Dw

21/8

Ofs 58

48

With order

Hospital will be Rs 125/ and is arrived at thus:-

Capital cost Rupees	21427
4% on above capital cost Rs	857/
add 3% on do being estimated cost of repairs	643
Rent for one year	Rs 1,500
Rent for one month	" 125

3. With regard to the rate of rent proposed to be recovered from Messrs Barclay and Morgan, please see my letter No 220 ? of the 25th Ultimo.

? 219
disposed of by
70 D of 94

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt Servt
J M Ellis
Hony Asstt Engineer
for Consulting Architect
to Govt

C. A. / 1. 500—29-1-94.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
No. 394 10th May 1894.

From
Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department.

Sir,

5 Enclosures

× with 70 D / 1-5-94
at vs
D. C.
11-5-94

In returning the papers received with your memo: No 1731 C dated 20th April 1894 I have the honor to forward herewith in original letter No 35 of the 4th Instt. (with two enclosures) from Honry assistant Engineer Mr Stephens and to state that the data for the rent of Rs 115/. is arrived at as under:

Capital cost of Govt Solicitors Office	Rs 19,735
4% on above capital cost Rs.	789
add 3% on do being estimated cost of Repairs	592
Rent for one year — Rs	1381
Rent for one month "	115

2 The rent for ward No 1 in the Gosha Hospital

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt

G A Stephens

PwE.1
3542/94

C. A. / 39 1,920—9-1-94

Current R. No. 350 / 5-5-94

No. 35.

CONSULTING ARCHITECT DIVISION,
East Sub-Division Office,
Chepâk, 4 — 5 — 1894.

From
The Sub Divisional
OFFICER IN CHARGE
East SUB-DIVISION,

To
THE Consulting Architect
to Government of Madras
Chepauk.

SIR,

WITH reference to your No. 189, dated 23rd Ultimo — 1894.

ENCLOSURES 2 statements.

I have the honor to submit 2 statements, showing 1st area of Governm Solicitors Office, and Cost per sq ft foot of floor Accommodation, and 2nd area of Ward No 1 Gosha Hospital, and Cost per sq ft foo of floor. It will be seen that the Gosha Hospital, which is a very plain building has cost per sq ft foot a few pice more tha the Government Solicitors Office. It is only a little Coloured Glass and some other littl Ornaments, which in this Country Cost alm Nothing, which makes the Government Solicito Consider his building a very ornamental One.

I

S. 17

Victoria Hospital Ward No 1.

D. FORM, No. M 204.

ESTIMATE FORM.—Detail Measurements.

Detail of Work.	Number.	MEASUREMENTS IN FEET. Length.	Breadth.	Depth.	Area or Contents.	Total Quantity of each description of Work.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Verandah:	2	253-10	6-1/2		3109-5	
Rooms:	2	90-0	18-0		3240-0	
					6349-5	
Total area =						Sqft 6350

Cost of Construction = 17,315
Establishment T & P at 10% = 1731.
Cost of Land: = 2381
Total Cost Rs: = 21,427

6350 Sqft of area Cost = Rs 21,427

∴ 1 Sqft Cost = $\frac{21427}{6350}$ = Rs 3.3743.

= Rs 3-5-11.

J H Stephens

R. No. 350

ESTIMATE FORM.—Detail Measurements.

Detail of Work.	Number.	Measurements in Feet. Length.	Breadth.	Depth.	Area or Contents.	Total Quantity of each description of Work.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7

Ms. 5.2
3540/7..

---

[6] P. W. CURRENT No. 5498

Gobt. of {18 } Madras.

To

The Public Works Department

Enclo 14

Recd: 26.7.94

Proceedings, dated 17 July 1894
No. 1758

Subject.

Stating that the Govt. Solicitor is entitled to free office accommodation in the High Court, but that Messrs. Barclay, Morgan and Orr, are of course, bound to pay rent for the rooms occupied by them in their private capacity.

CAW 26-7-94

with order

2027 W

No. 1895 W. d/ 31 July 18

Communicated the officers concerned

277

CWS 26/7/94

The C.A. ✓
" Ex P.W.A. ✓

1/8/94

DESPATCHED
1 AUG. 94

G.O.S. 22

2/8

No. 3376.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 18th December 1893.

From
[illegible]
H. W. Foster Esquire,
Registrar of the High Court of
Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

To
Henry Irwin Esquire, C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras.

CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S OFFICE
Current R. No. 2661A
20-12-93

Sir,
With reference to the foot-note to your letter to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated the 7th instant, No. 2620, I have the honor to inform you that the rooms occupied by Messrs. Barclay, Morgan and Orr, Solicitors, are not included in the rooms (Barristers' and Vakils' Chambers) of which the High Court has undertaken to collect the rents.

2. Regarding the space occupied by the Press, I am to refer you to my letter, dated 3rd November 1892, No. 2907.

3. Rents for rooms occupied by Barristers will be collected at the provisional rates given in the list received from you but I am to point out the omission therefrom of the Bar room, the Vakils' association's

association's room, and the room occupied by Mr. R. B. Michell, all of which are included in the list of rooms occupied by private persons sent with my letter No. 2732, dated 11th October 1892.

I have &ca.,
(Signed) H. W. Foster,
Registrar.

(True copy)

A. J. Forbes.
Dy. Registrar.

P.W. 2+9/10 7th/94
56 58

No. 63.

High Court of Judicature,
Madras, 11th January 1894.

From
A. J. Forbes Esquire,
Dy. Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Appellate Side,
Madras.

CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S OFFICE,
Current R. No. 2833
20-1-94

C.A. No: 2936
D/22nd Jany/94

To
J. Pennycuick, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government,
P.W.D., Madras.

Sir,

Referring to your letter dated the 22nd ultimo, No. 3336 W, enclosing a statement showing the rates of rent it is proposed to levy on Barristers and Vakils occupying rooms in the High Court, I am directed to inform you that a similar statement was received from the Consulting Architect to Government on the 8th December 1893. As the statement, however, was incomplete, the Consulting Architect was informed accordingly in my letter dated the 18th idem, No. 3376, copy of which I forward for your information, with the remark that I have not up to date received an amended

statement

Statement ~~214~~ 13

5428 84

2. The reference contained in the latter in para. 2 is to a letter in which I expressed the opinion that no rent should be charged for the space occupied by the Scottish Press.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

A. Forbes.

Dy. Registrar

Rd 12-1-94
Encl

No. 198 Cd/ 18th January 1894
To
C.A

Please forward an amended statement, and copies of the correspondence connected with letter from the High Court No. 2907 d/ 3rd November 1892

O.V.S
16.1.94

D.W.

2247

No. 1542

High Court of Judicature
Madras 19th January

From

W. Morgan Esqre
Deputy Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature
appellate side Madras

To.

The Executive Engineer
Presidency Division
Bangalore

Sir

Adverting to your letter of the 7th Instant No. 333 Miscellaneous, I am directed to state that the Scottish Press has occupied the ground floor since 1869 for the convenience of the High Court and that it does not work other than that required for the High Court. The High Court does not consider it necessary to answer the concluding question in the above letter.

(Sd) W Morgan

(True Copy)

(Sd) H W Foster
Registrar.

(True copy)

Henry Irwin
Consg Architect to Govt

✓ High court

No. 21.

Public Works Dept., Madras.

CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVERNMENT.

DRAFT FORM.

1892-93.

To The Govt. Solicitor
Madras

General No. 1827

Dated }
Despatched } 9" Septr. 1892

No. of Enclosures 1

Subject.

Urgent

SIR, I am forwarding herewith a receipt acknowledging the sum of Rs 50/- sent with your letter No 365 of 1.9.92 being the provisional rent of the rooms of the rooms in the New High Court Buildings occupied by you as your Office, I have the honor to inform you that the above ~~said~~ rent is accepted by me subject to its revision on receipt of necessary information called for from the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature

Sigd: H. I.

C.A. to Govt

B.R.
A/G/Up

PW 5. D. E11
~~3~~
5459 D

No. 16.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVT.,
Chepák, 189

COPY of a communication from the Consulting Architect to Government to the Registrar High Court of Judicature appellate side

No. 2230, dated 22nd October 1892.

With reference to your letter No 2801 dated the 21st Instant I have the honor to state that Messrs Barclay and Morgan are occupying rooms in the new High Court and have been actually paying a rental of Rs 50 per mensem, which has been provisionally accepted, pending revision of rent on the receipt of particulars from you as to the number of rooms &c occupied by them. Please therefore send a revised list including this and any other similar cases if there should be such.

I have also to enquire why Govt should not recover rent from the proprietor of the press for occupying a portion of the new building.

(Sd) H. Irwin

(True copy)

Henry Irwin

Consulting Architect to Govt

Dis E-5 10

~~3227/4cc~~
5258

2nd C 4

2247

C. A. 1020–9-1-94.
S. 17.

OFFICE OF THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

No. Chepak, ______ 189

COPY of a communication from the Registrar of the High Court of Judicature Appellate side to the Consulting Architect to Government, No. 2907 dated 3rd November 1892.

In reply to your letter dated the 22nd October 1892 No 2230 I have the honor to inform you that prior to the receipt of that communication the High Court had no sufficient information of the fact that Messrs. Barclay and Morgan are paying rent to the Government for the premises they occupy in the High Court building nor is it known whether any of the other officers shown in list No 2 are or are not paying rent.

2 No rent was demanded from the Proprietors of the Scottish Press in the old building for the reasons explained in my Deputy's letter No 1542 of 19th June 1889 in the Executive Engineer Presidency Division of which I forward you a copy.

The circumstances have undergone no change since the transfer of the Court to the new building

(Sd) H. [illegible] Foster

True Copy

(True copy)

Henry Irwin

Consulting Architect to Govt.

C. A.
21. 1,920—9-1-94.

DRAFT FORM.

CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVERNMENT,

To
The Govt. Solicitor
Madras

General Issue No. 3338

Dated 27
Despatched 27 } February 1894.

No. of Enclosures.

Subject.

In acknowledging the receipt of Rs 50/ sent with your Letter No 62 of 8th Instant, being provisional rent for January 94, of that portion of the new High Court Buildings used for your Office, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to arrange for the payt of Rs 1302-1-6, being the difference between the provisional

& actual rates of rents as per this Office calculation of Rs 50 & 115, from 30th May 1892, the date of the occupation of the building by you to 31st January 94.

Any adjustment regarding any subsequent difference may be made on receipt of orders from Govt. to whom the actual rents, arrived at under Govt. of India rules, have been sent for confirmation.

Henry Irwin

C. Arch.

27/2

acd

Pw E. 3 A
~~31/1~~/94
545 B

CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S OFFICE,
Current R. No. 3326
9-3-94

No 110.

Madras 6th March 1894

From
Ernest Barclay
Government Solicitor
Madras.

To
The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras

Sir,

1. I have the honor to forward herewith cheque for Rupees 50/- in respect of rent of my Office premises for February 1894 receipt of which please acknowledge.

2. I am addressing Government with reference to your letter 3338 of the 27th Ulto.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servant
Ernest Barclay

Government Solicitor

Accepted as per CA's verbal orders
8/3/94

Received Rupees fifty only & receipt granted

Recd 8/3/94

8/3

File Inst
10/3/94

Statement giving data for calculation of rents of Buildings in Consulting architect Division.

					Capital Cost				4% on capital cost (8)	Actual average cost of Repairs. Vide G. O. No. 1367 W 9 22d May 1889			Estimate cost of Repairs when actual average is not take	Normal Rate of rent on basis of foregoing Data		Rent paid prior to 7 September 1888	Rent to be charged		Remarks
Item number	Name of Building	Official designation and salary of occupant	Whether Permanent or Temporary / Pmt.	Date of Completion or Purchase	Cost of construction or Purchase	Establishment etc T+P @ 10% on account in (5)	Cost of site	Total		Cost of Repairs	10% for Establt. and T+P.	Total		Annual 9+12 or 9+13	Monthly 15/12		Yearly	Monthly	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20.
	Law and Justice New High court Buildings																		
1	Bar room		Permanent	12-7-92	3945	394	207	4546	182	-	-	-	136	318	27	Nil.			
2	Vakeels association room		-do-	12-7-92	8372	837	274	9483	379	-	-	-	284	663	55	Nil.			
3.	Mr. R. B. Michell's room		-do-	12-7-92	4408	440	232	5080	203	-	-	-	152	355	30	Nil.			

Madras }
april 1894 }

Henry Irwin

Consulting architect to Govt.

4
2nd
2
2247

No. 1.91 d/: 23 april 1894

To

W.

Herewith supplemental statement shewing the detailed calculation for arriving at the rents of Bar room, Vakils-association room & Mr. R. B. Mitchells room, in the New Law Courts Buildings together with copies of correspondence connected with Letter No. 2907 dated 3 November 1892 from the High Court

The rents for the rooms occupied the Government Solicitor will, as hitherto be recovered direct from them. They have also been asked to pay up the arrears at once. Vide this office letter No. 3338 dated 27 February 1894 with connected papers (to be returned when no longer required)

Henry Irwin
Cons: Archt:
to Govt:

5.5

P. W. CURRENT No. ~~3127~~

5498/54

Entd 27

Recd 25.4.94

Office Note.

From

The Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To

~~The~~ W

No. 219

Chepâk, 25th April 1894

In continuation of ~~this~~ my office note No 191 d/ 23rd instant I beg to inform you that if Mr Barclay is allowed free office accommodation as Govt Solicitor the rent for the portion occupied by Messrs Barclay & Morgan in their private capacity will only be Rs $\frac{115}{2}$ or Rs 58 that is 8 Rs more than the rent paid in the other old High Court office. My reason for having demanded the payment in full of Rs 115 per month was that I was not sure whether Mr Barclay as Govt. Solicitor as entitled to free office

P. W. CURRENT No. 313

accommodation, no repl

having been received to par

2 & 3 of my No 2748 of

7. 12. 92.

Henry Irwin

Govt. Architect W

Recd: 25.4.94

5286 C of 92
with Cir. 3127

No. 749 W of 18.9.77
No. 648 W of 6.3.91
" 930 W of 20.4.85
" ~~29~~ 35 W of 22.10.92
" 3155 W of 5.12.93
" 5286 C of 13.12.92
" 1054 C of 7.3.93
" 798 W of 23.3.93
" 1468 C of 28.3.93
" 4226 C of 9.12.93
" 3336 W of 22.12.93
" 198 C of 18.1.94
" 240 W of 26.1.94
" 1731 C of 20.4.94

D. 313. 27.4.92
1418 . 22.8.92 mis
615 2.6.92 R
1322A . 22.7.92 mis
Cir. 1.9 15.92 do
809 . 21.4.92 ..
1280 . 29.6.9

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
MAY 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Judicial Dept

2247

No. 70 D of 1st May 1894

Referred to the Judicial Department for favour of information whether Mr. Barclay is entitled to free Office accommodation in his capacity as Government Solicitor

Colonel R.E.

Secty: to Govt: P.W.D

Regd 2d May 1894
Issued 10-

Not circulated in P.W.D

[G/9]
5,000—14-7-93.

Current No. 2247

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

From

The Public Works Deptt.

No. 70

Dated 1st May 94

Received 2nd Do

Enclosures 10

PWS.3
5258/96

SUBJECT.

Referring for information, whether Mr Barclay is entitled to free office accommodation in his capacity as Govt. Solicitor.

Endorsement No. 1028

Referred to the Govt. Solicitor for report.

(By order)

Ootacamund
23rd May 94

A. Butterworth.
Under Secretary

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

CURRENT No 2952

No. 331.

CHIEF SECRETARY
9. JUN. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Madras. 8th June 1894.

From,
Ernest Barclay,
Government Solicitor,
Madras.

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Ootacamund.

Sir,

1. I beg to acknowledge receipt of your endorsement dated 23rd ultimo No. 1028 on an office Note, dated 25th April last No.219, by the Consulting Architect to Government, enquiring whether I am entitled to free office accommodation in the High Court House in my capacity as Government Solicitor, your endorsement calling for my report on the question.

2. I have always understood that the rent paid by the Government Solicitor for his offices in the Old High Court House was paid because his firm was provided with accommodation there. When I was appointed Government Solicitor I went on paying the rent as my predecessors had done, though there was really no reason why the money should have been paid thro' the Government Solicitor instead of by his firm, as I believe it always came out of the firm's funds, except that it was probably thought that the Government Solicitor was the proper channel of communication with Government. If the rent was not paid for the accommodation furnished to the firm, it is difficult to understand why the Government Solicitor should have had to pay rent when no other Law Officer did so. As a matter of fact, the Government Solicitor makes the payment for the accommodation the firm occupies, which would account for it appearing as if the Government Solicitor paid rent for his offices as distinguished from, or including those occupied by his firm. If the Government Solicitor is allowed to carry on private practice he must necessarily have partners or assistants or both, and he and they must have offices together, and it is perfectly fair that a reasonable proportion of the Government

Solicitor's offices required for the purposes of his firm's private business should be subject to the payment of rent. This I think was contemplated when the New High Court was built as, if I am not mistaken, a certain amount of the accommodation now occupied by myself and my firm was marked on the plan as the Government Solicitor's offices, while other part of the accommodation was marked off for the Government Solicitor's firm.

3. I see that, in his office note, the Consulting Architect says that if I am allowed free office accommodation, as I respectfully submit I should be as much as any other Law Officer, the rent for the portion of the building occupied by my firm will be Rupees 58 a month or Rupees 8 more than the rent paid in the Old High Court House. This is quite reasonable and my firm would have no objection to this enhancement of the rent considering the greater comfort of our present offices.

4. I return the papers sent to me &

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,
Ernest Barclay
Government Solicitor,

P.W. 2
5458/94

No. 1.

P. W. D.

Office of the Consulting Architect to Govt.,
Chepauk, Madras,
2nd July 1894.

No. 891

From
Henry Irwin, Esq., C.I.E.,
Consulting Architect
to the Government of Madras,

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department
Madras

Sir,

Enclosures.

[illegible]

In reply to your Memorandum No 416 D dated 21st June 1894, I have the honor to state that all the Government Law officers pay no rent for rooms occupied by them. Mr Barclay is only charged at present for the portion occupied by the firm of Messrs Barclay and Morgan and not for the portion occupied in his capacity as Government Solicitor.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Henry Irwin
Cons. Architect to Govt.

No. 7. ~~1945~~

Public Works Department. 5258/94

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE Chief Engineer
P. W. D

No. 891

Dated 2nd
Despatched
Received 4th
July 1894.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
6-JUL 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No. OF ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Reporting that all the Govt. Law Officers at the New Law Courts pay no rent for rooms occupied by them except Mr. Barclay for the portion only occupied by the firm of Messrs Barclay & Morgan.

Transferred CAW 17/7/93

Ootacamund
6th July 1894.
No. 532 D

Transferred to the Judicial dept with reference to office note from that dept No. 1227 dated 15 June 94.

The charge of Rs 58 appears reasonable.

J. Colnell R.E.
Secy to Govt. P. W. D.

CAWD 6/7/94

To
The Judicial Dept.
with file returned

Recd 6 July 1894
Regd 7
C No 2952 [illegible]

[illegible] 9/7

Not circulated in P. W. D

Off. 176

Ret 7/[illegible]

G. / 55
250-26-6-94.

Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following paper :—

Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 1st May 1894, No. 70 D
Letter from Mr. Earnest Barclay, Government Solicitor, Madras, dated 8th June 1894, No. 331.
Endorsement of the Public Works Department, dated 6th July 1894, No. 532 D.

Order 17th July 1894, No. 1758.

The Government Solicitor is entitled to free office accommodation in the High Court. Messrs Barclay, Morgan and Orr are, of course, bound to pay rent for the rooms occupied by them in their private capacity.

(True Extract)

A. Gateman
for Chief Secretary.

To

The Public Works Department
with all orig. enclosures retd.
" Government Solicitor.

D. C.