Concession.

I have &c.

&c.

Cross to 7/94

Despd to Simla
with 4 enclosures
19/7/94

His Excellency the Governor

Memorial from V. Subrahmanya Sastri, overseer 1st grade, regarding his position in the dept

Rec'd

Returned to Collectorate to await reply from Judicial

21-7-94

No: 1809-10 dt 19th July 1894

OSJ 18/7/94

25.1917 UNDELIVERED 28/7

P. W. CURRENT) No. 4526

S. E. I. 500—13-2-93

FROM J. E. Paul Esqr
Executive
~~SUPERINTENDING~~ ENGINEER Lch.

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

DATED 11
DESPATCHED 14 June 1894
RECEIVED ..18

NO. 551 GD

ENCLOSURES 4

SUBJECT.

V. Subramanya sastri overseer — Forwards for favorable Orders a memorial received from — Relative to the Claim in his promotion —

* 1902 C ℊ 21-5-86.
- 2823.20 W ℊ 12.9.88
- 5979 C ℊ 21.12.87
- 464 C ℊ 27.1.90
- 5103 C ℊ 18.8.88
- 276 C ℊ 21.1.87
- 311 C ℊ 22.1.87
- 2501 C ℊ 27.5.87
- 178 C ℊ 11.1.90
- 160 C ℊ 11.1.90
- 161 C ℊ 11.1.90
- 3647 ℊ 20.1.90
- 1199 C ℊ 5.2.90
- 1836 C ℊ 14-4-91
- 108 W ℊ 11.1.88
- 580 W ℊ 22.2-88
- 1361 W ℊ 9.5.93
- 2513 W ℊ 8.10.88
- 1291 W ℊ 23-5-84
- 2983 W ℊ 4.12.84
- 449 W ℊ 26.2.88
- 2208 W ℊ 21.9.88
- 3030 W ℊ 16.12.88
- 2183 W ℊ 31.8.86
- 3261 W ℊ 30.11.87
- 3233 W ℊ 12.10.88
- 135 W ℊ 17.1.90
- 8 W ℊ 4.1.92
- 72 W ℊ 8.1-91
- 76 W ℊ 16.1.93
- 1626 W ℊ 19.6.93
- 1626 W/A ℊ 19.6.93
- 2685 W ℊ 23.10.93
- 2686 W ℊ 23.10.93
- 1471 C ℊ 4.4.94
- 1513 C ℊ 5.4.94

Pls. 181
R 18/7

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Supty: Engineer I Circle H.E. No. 551 G.D d/ 11th June 1894

The memorial will be forwarded to the Government of India.

To the Supty: Engineer I Circle.

H. E. No. W dated 189

To

The Secretary to the Government of India. P.W.D.

Sir,

I am directed to forward a memorial addressed to the Government of India by V. Subrahmanya Sastri, Overseer 1st grade, relative to the effect on his departmental promotion

(40—1,000—21-4-94.)

promotion of the practice of appointing military students direct to the first grade of overseer, and praying that his position on the gradation list may be readjusted.

2. I am to say that it was chiefly the representations of the memorialist which led + this Government in 1886 to recommend to the Government of India that soldier students should be posted to the department in any grade thought suitable, with a uniform staff salary of Rs 85 per mensem, so that the supersession of deserving Civil Upper Subordinates of lower grades than the first might be avoided. The Correspondence

+ Letter No 2225 S, 26 June 1886.

The general rule under which Military Subordinates are appointed to the Department from the subject of correspondence with terminated with my letter No 850 W. dated 20th June 1894, intimating

Correspondence on this subject has ended with my letter No 850 W dated 30th March 1894 ~~intimating~~ that this Government accepted the decision that no alteration could be made in the rule under which Military Students are appointed to the first grade, and. I am therefore to state that this Government has no recommendation to make in favour of the memorialist.

3. I am to add that the appointment to the Department of the Civil Upper Subordinates Nos 1 to 13 mentioned in para 3 of the memorial in positions higher than that of overseer 3rd grade was within the discretion of the Chief Engineer

Engineer, and the petitioner cannot now be placed above them, nor is this Government disposed to readjust his position with regard to them, or ~~above by placing him above~~ the military men named in the same paragraph. His case is similar to that of ~~many~~ other ~~Civil Upper~~ Subordinates ~~who have been superseded by Military Students~~ and there is no reason to grant him any special concession ~~to the petitioner~~.

I have &c.

W. 9.7

Memorial to the Govt. of India from V. Subrahmanya Sastri, overseer, Grade, regarding his position in the Dept.

Recopy

Offs 181

W

No. 4526

Note for His Excellency the Governor:—

The petitioner suffers by the operation of a general rule laid down by the Government of India, and has no special grievance of his own.

The rules regulating the appointment of Military students have quite recently formed the subject of discussion with the Govt. of India and whether they be right or wrong we have accepted them and it does not seem desirable to reopen the question.

Cross 10.7.94

W

10.7

Nos. 1809-10 W. dt 19th July 1894.

B/ Nos. 1809 W. dt 19th July 1894

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the S.E. I Cantle (H.E. No. 537 G.D. dt 11 June 1894)

The memorial will be forwarded to the Government of India.

W.

the S.E. I Cantle dest'd 1917

(H.E. No. 1810 W dt 19 July 1894)

To, The Secy: to the Government of India P.W.D.

Sir,

W 11 July /

I am directed to forward a memorial addressed to the Govt of India by V. Subrahmanya Sastri, overseer 1st grade, relative to the effect on his departmental promotion of the practice of appointing military students direct to the first grade of overseer,

(40—1,000—21-4-94.)

and praying that his position on the gradation list may be readjusted.

2. The general rule under which military subordinates are appointed to the department formed the subject of correspondence which terminated with my letter No. 850W dated 30th March 1894 intimating that this Government accepted the decision that no attention could be made in the rule under which military students are appointed to the first grade, and I am therefore to state that this Government has no recommendation to make in favor of the memorialist.

3. I am to add that the appointment to the Department of the Civil Upper Subordinates Nos. 1 to 13 mentioned in para 3 of the memorial, in positions higher than that of overseer 3rd grade was within the discretion of the Chief Engineer, and the petitioner cannot now be placed above them, nor is this Govt. disposed to readjust his position with regard to them, or to the military men named in the same paragraph. His case is similar to that of other subordinates and there is no reason to grant him any special

No. 551 GD.

FROM

J. E. Paul Esq
Executive
~~SUPERINTENDING~~ ENGINEER in charge

FIRST CIRCLE.

TO

THE CHIEF ENGINEER

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

DOWLAISHWERAM, 11th June 1894.

Enclosures. 4

1 Memorial to Viceroy
2 Copies of do to Chief Engr
1 Book of testimonials

4

SIR,

I have the honor to submit herewith for favorable consideration a Memorial received from Overseer V. Subramania sastri in the Eastern Division Godavari now on leave, to the address of His Excellency the Governor General & Viceroy of India in Council.

2. There is no doubt but that the present rules have led to the supersession of the petitioner by a large number of Military Subordinates.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most Obedient Ht.

J E Paul

Exc Engr in charge 1st Circle

E.D. 11/6

Cen 4526

note

many of the papers required to complete this file are not available in the records at present. They will probably be found with Dof Genl Cen 200 D of 22.5.94.

Cen

5/7/94

× See the W. Ord. files collected in connection with the general question of altering the rule under which appointments are reserved for College Students.

R.S.

7 July 94

Re. 200 D of 22.5.94.

Madras College of Engineering — The Director of Public Instruction informed that there is no objection to three Military Students being appointed to the probationary class of the — but that it must be clearly understood that not more than two out of the three will be allowed to proceed to the Engineer Subordinate class.

D.S.

27-6-94

C. A. W.

With reference to Mr's instructions that ~~the~~ only the papers tied up with the draft should be sent up to H. E., it is submitted that G. O. No: 860 W of 30th March 1894 referred to in the letter to India should be put up. The G.O. is a B order and is probably with W.

Mij 10/7/94.

W

Submitted - No former papers except the linked file - (which is apparently not the circulated) came down with the draft -

L S
10 July 94

✓ Yes - H. E. does not like bulky files, and there is nothing in the matter requiring explanation from previous papers -

S
10/7

Mr Jalitum refers to the question of a general rule laid down by the Govt of India, and to a special grievance of his own -

The rules regulating the appointment of Subaltern Students have quite recently formed the subject of discussion with the Govt of India, and whether they be right or wrong we have accepted them until it does not seem desirable to reopen the question -

S

S. 7
Ans the person dealt with Please send it to H.E.