

P. W. CURRENT No. 4806

Govt. of {1894} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

no 3188 w 8/18. 11. 92

14/36 w. d. 11 July 1894.

Communicated
to officers of the M.S.
Dept. in continuation
of G.O. no 3188 w of
18th Nov. 1892.

Issued

105.c

Recd.

27.6.94

Encl.

8
y/

G.O., 18th June 1894, No. 475.

Ch. S. J. m. 18.c

JUNE.

Ch

Daily Allowance.

Stating that G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, disallowing claims to — by officers absent from their districts otherwise than on public duty, whether during holidays or on casual leave, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

Ch. S. J. m. 18.c

C. S. J. m. 18.c

Superintendent

C. S. J. m. 18.c

S. J. m. 18.c

Ch. S. J. m. 18.c

Ch. S. J. m. 18.c

105.166

24/7

2.5.24/7

17/7

Gobt. of {1894} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th June 1894, No. 475.

JUNE.

Daily Allowance.

Stating that G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, disallowing claims to — by officers absent from their districts otherwise than on public duty, whether during holidays or on casual leave, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

18TH JUNE 1894, No. 475, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 23rd May 1894, No. 1417.

With reference to G.O., Financial, No. 1175, dated the 31st October 1892, I have the honour to ask whether it is the intention of Government that the provisions of that order shall apply also to an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The question has arisen in connection with the claim for daily allowance preferred by a clerk of the Forest Settlement Officer, Trichinopoly, &c., districts, who, while engaged in Trichinopoly district in the month of August last, took casual leave and proceeded to Mannárgudi, in the Tanjore district.

3. This office took objection to the claim under the spirit of the above Government order; but the Collector of Trichinopoly, to whom the papers were referred for remarks, contends that the Government Order applies only to revenue servants attached to a single district and not to those employed in a circle comprising several districts.

4. It appears to me that, though the jurisdiction of the Forest Settlement Officer extends to six districts, Trichinopoly alone should be regarded, for the purposes of the Government Order, as his own during the month of August, as he was engaged in that district alone throughout the month. Further, up to the date before his casual leave and immediately after his return, the clerk was travelling in the Trichinopoly district.

ORDER—dated 18th June 1894, No. 475, Financial.

G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The claim referred to by the Accountant-General should be disallowed.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Accountant-General

Exd. A. Raith.

Gobt. of {1894} Madras.
FINANCIAL.

No. 1736 W. d. 11 July 1894
Communicated to
Officers of the P. W. Dept.,
in continuation of G.O.
No. 3188 W. dated 18th
November 1892.

(Sd.) J. P.
W.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th June 1894, No. 475.

JUNE.

Daily Allowance.

Stating that G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, disallowing claims to — by officers absent from their districts otherwise than on public duty, whether during holidays or on casual leave, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

Chief Engr. for Irrigation.
Consq: Engr. for Railways.
Capt: Engineers.
Consq: Architects to Govt.
Capt: of Works, P. Project.
Exr. P. W. Accounts
Exr. Railway Accts.

18TH JUNE 1894, No. 475, FINANCIAL.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 23rd May 1894, No. 1417.

With reference to G.O., Financial, No. 1175, dated the 31st October 1892, I have the honour to ask whether it is the intention of Government that the provisions of that order shall apply also to an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The question has arisen in connection with the claim for daily allowance preferred by a clerk of the Forest Settlement Officer, Trichinopoly, &c., districts, who, while engaged in Trichinopoly district in the month of August last, took casual leave and proceeded to Mannargudi, in the Tanjore district.

3. This office took objection to the claim under the spirit of the above Government order; but the Collector of Trichinopoly, to whom the papers were referred for remarks, contends that the Government Order applies only to revenue servants attached to a single district and not to those employed in a circle comprising several districts.

4. It appears to me that, though the jurisdiction of the Forest Settlement Officer extends to six districts, Trichinopoly alone should be regarded, for the purposes of the Government Order, as his own during the month of August, as he was engaged in that district alone throughout the month. Further, up to the date before his casual leave and immediately after his return, the clerk was travelling in the Trichinopoly district.

ORDER—dated 18th June 1894, No. 475, Financial.

G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The claim referred to by the Accountant-General should be disallowed.

(True Extract.)

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
" Accountant-General

Exd. A. Raitt.

R-3188W
18-11-92

Which is
Chief Secretary.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From T. W. RAWLINS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 23rd May 1894, No. 1417.

With reference to G.O., Financial, No. 1175, dated the 31st October 1892, I have the honour to ask whether it is the intention of Government that the provisions of that order shall apply also to an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The question has arisen in connection with the claim for daily allowance preferred by a clerk of the Forest Settlement Officer, Trichinopoly, &c., districts, who, while engaged in Trichinopoly district in the month of August last, took casual leave and proceeded to Mannárgudi, in the Tanjore district.

3. This office took objection to the claim under the spirit of the above Government order; but the Collector of Trichinopoly, to whom the papers were referred for remarks, contends that the Government Order applies only to revenue servants attached to a single district and not to those employed in a circle comprising several districts.

4. It appears to me that, though the jurisdiction of the Forest Settlement Officer extends to six districts, Trichinopoly alone should be regarded, for the purposes of the Government Order, as his own during the month of August, as he was engaged in that district alone throughout the month. Further, up to the date before his casual leave and immediately after his return, the clerk was travelling in the Trichinopoly district.

ORDER—dated 18th June 1894, No. 475, Financial.

G.O., dated 31st October 1892, No. 1175, applies to the case of an officer whose circle of duty extends over more than a single district.

2. The claim referred to by the Accountant-General should be disallowed.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat.
„ Accountant-General