

1229 w 9 13. 4. 92  
2797 w 9 7. 10. 92  
4602 e 9 4. 11. 92  
3296 w 9 2. 12. 92  
2654 w 9 6. 10. 93  
2854 w 9 6. 10. 93  
1661 e 9 12. 4. 94  
1779 e 9 26. 4. 94

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

To: 1720 w. d. 10 July 1894

From  
The Superintending Engineer,  
6th Circle.

To  
The Chief Engineer  
P.W.D.

No. 304 m d

Dated 30 June 1894  
Received 4 July 1894

Enclosures.

Snik brought by contractor  
Gerrala Gadda Naidu:-  
Requests to inform  
whether the defences of the  
appeal in the - has been  
sanctioned & requests to  
sanction to d for the pur-  
chase of a stamp for exe-  
cuting a vatalibramah  
in the snik -

The Supty. Engr's letter  
No 7 P.R. dated 6 April 1894  
and No 71 m d 8 20<sup>th</sup> idem  
were forwarded to the  
Govt. Pleader Madras for  
necessary action, with  
P.W. endorsement No.  
1661 e 8 12 April 1894 &  
1661 e 8 12 April 1894  
No 1779 e 8 26<sup>th</sup> idem  
2. The Govt. Pleader  
Madras is requested to  
return to the Supty.  
Engr. the copy of decree  
referred to in para 3 of  
the foregoing letter, if  
no longer required.  
3. The charge of 8 annas  
mentioned in para 2  
is sanctioned

S. 18.7

1720  
S. E. Circle  
Govt. Pleader, Madras  
29/7  
29/7  
29/7

Court, and for this a Vakalat  
or power of attorney duly stamp-  
ed has been prepared at the  
suggestion of the Government  
Pleader, Madurai, but the  
Examiner P. & W. Accounts has  
passed only provisionally the  
value of the stamp, & panna  
pending sanction of Govern-  
ment for the further charge  
incurred in connection with  
the original suit in question.  
I request sanction to the &  
panna.

3. The Petition above referred to  
has not yet been made to the  
Sub Court, nor has the Vakalat  
been filed, as in the meantime  
the Plaintiff has appealed to the  
High Court, as the decree have  
been sent you for transmis-  
sion to the Government Pleader,  
and as the Petition is to be  
accompanied by Copy of the  
decree, I should like to  
have the Copy of the decree re-  
turned, if it is no longer re-  
quired, in order that the  
petition may be presented to  
the Sub Court.

4. The Government Pleader  
Madurai

Madurai States that the  
decree for Costs may be exe-  
cuted even though an appeal  
from it is pending, but that  
under Section 545 of the Code  
of Civil Procedure, execution  
may be stayed by the appel-  
late Court on the application  
of the appellant.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your Most Obedt Servt

over my  
Major. P. & W.

Superintd. Engineer  
V. Circle

Dr.

No. 304 md,

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly, 30<sup>th</sup> June 1894

2/7.

From

Major O. V. Boddy R.E.  
The Superintending Engineer,

6TH CIRCLE.

To

The Chief Engineer  
P.W. Department.

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

1661 C  
12-4-94

1779 C  
26-4-94

In continuation of my M<sup>o</sup>,  
7 P.R. of the 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> M. D. of the  
20<sup>th</sup> April 1894, regarding the ap-  
peal filed in the High Court  
by Gervalaqudda Maidee, against  
the decision of the Sub Court,  
Madura West. in. O. S. N<sup>o</sup>. 22 of  
1892. I have the honor to request  
that you will be good enough to  
let me know whether the defence  
of the appeal has been sanction-  
ed, and whether the documents  
sent with my second letter have  
been forwarded with necessary  
orders to the Government Pleader,  
Madras.

2. For execution of the original  
decree or for recovery of the cost  
of defence in the Sub Court, a  
petition is to be made to the  
Court