

Dated

Recd.

Encl.

25 June 1894

Police Station at Appanur.
The Supt. Engineer directed
to comply with the pro-
visions of para 35, Chapter
VII of the P.W. Code in regard
the already completed
work — Sabara D.C.,
revised estimate for the
same being unnecessary,
under the said provisions.

80/14

24/2/94
INDIRECT
25/6

10

FROM
Col. C. A. Fortescue,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL
OF POLICE.



No.

Dated 8th June 1894.
Received 9
Regd 9
Repts: 23.6.94
C No. 4
Encls 8

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction to the revised estimate for repairs to the Construction by the D of 6 huts at Appanur in Madura District.

...the ...
...be considered
as required by para 35.
Chapter VII of the Code. The
revised para is contained
in Standing Order No 33
of 1892. Please see
your file of Standing
Orders.

C.A.V.
Code for
received back
C.A.V.
21/6/94

C.A.V. ✓
The completion reports
should be obtained without
further delay. It has
been long outstanding.

C.A.V.
21.6.94

No. 15696. d. 25 June 1894.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

13th June work

Endorsement in Judicial
Dept on letter from the
Inspector General of Police
CN-4 dated 8 June 1894.

(H.E. No 1245 d/19/6/94)
4 P.m.

The work having
already been completed,
the submission of a
revised estimate was
unnecessary under
para 35, Chapter VII of
the P.W. Code, the
provisions of which
should be complied

with by the Suptg

S. E. V. (in the enclosure)
En. P.W.D.
Sd. depts
Engineer.

S
21.6
C.A.V.
21.6.94

P. W. CURRENT No. 4724

FROM

Col. C. A. Fortescue,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL

CHIEF SECRETARY
9. JUN. 1894
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
OF POLICE.

No.

Dated 8th June 1894.
Received 9
Regd 9
Repts: 23.6.94
C No. 4
Encls 8

SUBJECT.

Requesting Sanction to the
Revised estimate for Rupees 2702
for the Construction by the D.P.W.
of 6 huts at Appanur in the
Madura District.

W. 1569 W. d. 25 June 1894.

Is it known here whether OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.
this work was done by
Contract or departmentally?

C.A.M.S.
20.6.94

D.W.

There is no information on the subject.

C.A.W. 1569 W. 20 June 94

(1) Then how can the S.E.'s explanation for the rates have been accepted? If the work was done by Contract and the Contractor had agreed to work at certain rates the necessity for paying the Contractor higher rates than agreed to by him would require explanation.

C.A.M.S.

20/6/94

D.W.

(1) The S.E.'s explanation has not been accepted. The Code rules requires

Read the following

13th June 1894

The work having already been completed, the submission of a revised estimate was unnecessary under para 35, Chapter III of the P.W. Code, the provisions of which should be complied with by the Supty Engineer.

S

21.6.

C.A.M.S.
21.6.94

* Not airtight

no 18/6.
2 P.W. budget for 1894/95
Please see 8/6 9/6

wp

all S.E.

Judicial (1894) DEPARTMENT.
P. W. CURRENT No. 4724

FROM
 Col. G. A. Fortescue,
 INSPECTOR-GENERAL
 OF POLICE.

CHIEF SECRETARY
 9. JUN. 1894
 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

No.

Dated 8th June 1894.
 Received 9
 Regd 9
 Regd 23.6.94
 No. 4
 Encl 8

SUBJECT.

Requesting sanction to the revised estimate for Rupees 2702 for the construction by the D.P.W. of 6 huts at Appanur in the Madura District.

10/6/94
 D.P.W. budget for 1894/95
 Please
 8/6/94
 (wp)

Encl. n. 1245
 30
 Transferred to the Public Works Department.
 At Mullerwood
 Under Secretary.
 19 June 1894
Recd 20/6/94

Received what regarding the copy for the construction of huts at Appanur in the Madura District. The copy is not yet received.

* Not care to dm.

all S.N.

Para 35 Chapter VII of the P.W. Code has been struck out - and a note that 2.0 33/92 should be substituted. What does that 2.0 say? And why is para 31? Chap: VII struck if obsolete? 20/6/94

Mr. B.W. Please see attached exp note. Has it been accepted? If the work was done by contract and the contractor had agreed to work at certain rates the necessity for paying the contractor higher rates than agreed to by him would require explanation.

(1) The S.E.'s explanation has not been accepted. The Code rule requires

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
 5 June 1894.
 THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

13th June 1894

(H.E. No 1245 d/19/6/94)
 4 P.W.

The work having already been completed, the submission of a revised estimate was unnecessary under para 35, Chapter VII of the P.W. Code, the provisions of which should be complied with by the Suptg Engineer.

21.6.94

4. But Subsequently when the Construction of the new huts was begun, the Villagers objected to the work being proceeded with, claiming the land as belonging to them. Hence it became necessary to revise the estimate so as to include the Compensation for Site. At the same time certain other items in the original estimate were found to require an increased provision and accordingly the revised estimate herewith submitted was forwarded to me by the Superintending Engineer with his letter which is attached to the estimate. —

5. After the receipt of this estimate I was in communication with the Superintending Engineer as regards the excessive cost of the proposed huts, and with the Collector of Madura in respect to the ownership of the Site of the huts, and the value of the Site in case it was believed to belong to the Villagers.

6. I beg to submit herewith the marginally noted letter from the Superintending Engineer explaining the high cost of the huts and the Collector's letter stating that the land belongs to the villagers and that Rs 32 is the estimated cost of its Compensation. —

7. The Superintending Engineer has just intimated that the huts have been completed.

8. Under

8. Under the above circumstances I beg that the Sanction of Government may be accorded to the revised estimate. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant,

Shah A. M. M. Colonel,

Inspector General of Police.

Shah A. M. M.

* No 222 dated 30
Aug. 1893.

* No 464 dated 14
Feb. 1894.

From
The Collector of
Madura.

To
The Insp. Genl. of
Police


Dated 4th } Feb 1894.
Received

No. 464
Rev. gl.

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that certain
Villagers of Appanur
are entitled to a
compensation of Rs 32.



800-9-93.

General DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 4.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Coonoor, Madras, 8th June 1894.

FROM
Colonel G. A. Porteous.

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

TO
THE Chief Secretary
to Government

Ootacamund.

SIR,

Nine Enclosures.

I have the honor to request the Sanction
of Government to the revised estimate herewith
submitted for Rupees 2702--- for the construction
by the D. P. W. of 6 huts at Appanur in the
Madura District.

2. The old huts at Appanur having been
destroyed by fire in 1887 provision was made
in the D. P. W. budget for 1891-92 for the con-
struction of 6 new huts.

3. An estimate amounting to Rupees 2,200---
was sanctioned by the Superintending
Engineer in 1891. This estimate did not
include Compensation for Site as it was
believed that the Site of the old huts on
which it was proposed to construct the new
ones belonged to Government.


4. But

1. Letter from the Supt. S. Eng-
VI Circle to the Chief Eng. P.W.D.
of 1893 M.D. of 31.5.93.
2. Detailed estimate
3. Abstract estimate
4. Report
5. Letter from the Supt. S. Eng-
VI Circle to the S. G. of Police
of 1893 M.D. of 30.8.93.
6. Comparative statements
of differences
7. Letter from the S. G. Eng-
Madura Division to the
Supt. S. Eng. VI Circle of
1893 S.E. of 17.8.93.
8. Letter from the Collector
of Madura to 464 of
4.2.94. Rev. gl.

Reference on Current No. 464 of 1894

MADURA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

4th February 1894.



E. Turner Esquire,

Collector of

Madura.

To

The Inspector General of Police
Madras

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 4295
1-8-93

1. Chockalinga
Tevan, son of
Chinnakumaran
Tevan.
2. Munniammal
daughter of
Chockalinga
Tevan.
3. Thulayee
daughter of
Gurumatha
Tevan.

I have the honor to state that the Dy. Tahsildar of Tirudukalattur reports that the said final notes persons of Appanoot village are entitled to a compensation and that Rs 32 will probably be required for payment. The Executive Engineer, Madurai Dist. applied to me for the acquisition of the land under Act X of 1870. As the draft declaration and Schedule has been submitted to Government, I request that you will be good enough to let me know whether you have any objection to acquire the same under the Act.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Shamasan

4 FEB. 94

for Collector.

Pls 5.2
4724/94

1894
7
2920

Labor 2.9. Carpenters, bricklayers &c
has to be got from Madras a
distance of 60 miles from which
place moreover all the wood work
is obtained.

4. The excess in quantities is
due to the nature of the soil &
I am unable to make any sug-
-gestions by which the amount of
the estimate can be reduced.

/True copy/

W. C. Baddley
Major R.E.,
Suptg. Engineer, T. T. C.
30-0-93.

as

Labor 2.9. Carpenters, bricklayers &c
has to be got from Madras a
distance of 60 miles from which
place moreover all the wood work
is obtained. 7. 10. 17. 18. 19.
The excess in quantities is
due to the nature of the soil &
I am unable to make any sug-
-gestions by which the amount of
the estimate can be reduced.
/True copy/
W. C. Baddley
Major R.E.,
Suptg. Engineer, T. T. C.
30-0-93.

P. W. D. 6TH CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

6th Circle.

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

From the Inspector of Police, Madras

No. 109md

Dated 31/5 } May 1893
Received 1/6 }

Enclosures. 3,

Submits for sanction of
Govt a revised estimate Rs 2970
for constructing 6 Police Huts
at Appanoor, in Ramnad
Zemindari -

*025.8
6/24/94*

From A. H. Garrett Esquire,
Executive Engineer, Madurai Dn, &
The Superintending Engineer, TT Circle,
No. 340. S.E. dated 17 August 1893.

In reply to your No. 410 D.O.
of 4th Instant, I have the honor to
submit a comparative statement
showing (1) The Standard Estimate
quantities with Revised estimate
rates (2) The Revised estimate quan-
-tities with Revised estimate rates.

2. From this statement you will ob-
-serve that the excess for each hut
on account of rates alone would be
Rs 330 minus Rs 235-8-0 (the cost
of the standard hut as given by
the Inspector General of Police =
Rs 94-8-0 and that the excess
due to increased depth of founda-
-tions, increased thickness of walls
&c is Rs 446-330 Rs = Rs 116; the
net excess being Rs 94-8-0 + Rs 116
= Rs 210-8-0.

3. As regards the rates I have to
observe that notwithstanding the
high rates it is difficult to get the
work carried out. All the skilled
labor

(4) Roofing

(5) some other petty items.

and the balance Rs 300 is due to the provision now made for compensation for land to be taken up for the huts.

2970
265
300 2702
32
268

3. The excess in the first 5 cases is partly due to increase in width of the main and compound walls from 10" to 1'-1 1/2" (one and half a brick) and partly to the high rates to be paid for labor and materials owing to the difficulty of procuring them in the place which is isolated.

4. As regards the Compensation for land, no provision was made in the original estimate, as it was considered that the proposed site, on which the old huts stood, belonged to Government, but it would now appear that the villagers object to the work being proceeded with.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt servt

W. Tucker

Super Engineer,

1st Circle.

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,

6TH CIRCLE.

Trichinopoly, 31st May 1893.

5/16,

From

Mr. Hughes Requiré,
The Superintending Engineer,

6th CIRCLE.

To

The Chief Engineer,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Through the Inspector General of Police,
Madras.

I have the honor to submit for
the sanction of Government, a revised
estimate amounting to Rs 2970, together
with report, for constructing six Police
huts at Appanore in Ramanad Zamin-
dare, Madras District.

2. An estimate amounting to Rs 2200
was approved by the Inspector General
of Police in his no. 5935 of the 10th and
sanctioned by this office on the 13th October
1891, and of the excess of Rs 770 over that
estimate Rs 470 is in the items of

- (1) Concrete
- (2) Rivert Stone in mud
- (3) junglewood wrought and put up

No. 109 md.

ENCLOSURES, 3

- 1 orig. Estimate
with report.
- 1 Revised Estimate
with report.
- 1 Comparative
Statement.

3.

From

The Supt. Engineer
H. A. C.

To

The Inspector General
of Police

No. 22 md

Dated 30th

Despd. 31st August 1893

Encls 6.

6. Police huts at Appanore:-

On 8.4
4724/91 Forwards copy of letter
from the Chief Eng. Madras
on explaining the reasons
for the excess of Rs 770 over
the sanctioned estimate of
Rs 2,200, for constructing —
& requests to forward the esti-
mate to the Chief Eng., if
approved -

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobt. of {1894} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated June, 25th.

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 25th June 1894, No. 1569 W.

JUNE.

Police huts at Appanur

The Superintending Engineer directed to comply with the provisions of paragraph 35, chapter VII of the Public Works Code in regard to the already completed work, —, Madura district, a revised estimate for the same being unnecessary under the said provisions.

W. 522 h.d.

Suptg: Engineer's office
Trichinopoly.

30 August 93.
31/-

From

Major. W. L. C. Baddeley R.E.,
Suptg: Engineer, Trichinopoly.

To.

The Inspector General of Police
Madras.

Sir,

Enclosures:— 6

1. Report.
1. Detailed Estimate.
1. Abstract. Estimate.
2. Comparative Statement.
1. Copy of letter.

In reference to your No. 4296 of 1st August/93, I have the honor to forward a copy of a letter from the Engr: Engineer, Madura Dn, explaining the reasons for the excess of Rs 770 over the sanctioned estimate of Rs 2200 for constructing 6 Police huts at Appanur.

The reasons given appear to me to be sufficient & if you have no further objection, I beg to request that you will forward the estimate to the Chief Engineer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. L. C. Baddeley Major R.E.

Superintending Engineer
Trichinopoly.

W. L. C.

(2) junglewood wrought and put up

ORDER—dated 25th June 1894, No. 1569 W., Public Works.

The work having already been completed, the submission of a revised estimate was unnecessary under paragraph 35, chapter VII of the Public Works Code, the provisions of which should be complied with by the Superintending Engineer.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, with enclosures.
„ Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
„ Judicial Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

READ—the following paper :—

From Colonel C. A. PORTEOUS, Inspector-General of Police, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Coonoor, 8th June 1894, C. No. 4.

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government to the revised estimate herewith submitted for Rs. 2,702 for the construction by the Public Works Department of six huts at Appanur in the Madura district.

2. The old huts at Appanur having been destroyed by fire in 1887, provision was made in the Public Works Department budget for 1891-92 for the construction of six new huts.

3. An estimate, amounting to Rs. 2,200, was sanctioned by the Superintending Engineer in 1891. This estimate did not include compensation for site as it was believed that the site of the old huts on which it was proposed to construct the new ones belonged to Government.

4. But subsequently when the construction of the new huts was begun, the villagers objected to the work being proceeded with, claiming the land as belonging to them. Hence it became necessary to revise the estimate so as to include the compensation for site. At the same time certain other items in the original estimate were found to require an increased provision and accordingly the revised estimate herewith submitted was forwarded to me by the Superintending Engineer with his letter which is attached to the estimate.

5. After the receipt of this estimate I was in communication with the Superintending Engineer as regards the excessive cost of the proposed huts and with the Collector of Madura in respect to the ownership of the site of the huts and the value of the site in case it was believed to belong to the villagers.

6. I beg to submit herewith the marginally-noted letter* from the Superintending Engineer explaining the high cost of the huts and the Collector's letter† stating that the land belongs to the villagers and that Rs. 32 is the estimated cost of its compensation.

7. The Superintending Engineer has just intimated that the huts have been completed.

8. Under the above circumstances I beg that the sanction of Government may be accorded to the revised estimate.

No. 1245, Judicial.

Transferred to the Public Works Department.

OOTACAMUND,
19th June 1894.

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Under Secretary.

