(1) பக்கங்களின் எண்ணிக்கை 22

(2) புதுக்கித் திருப்பிய நாள் [illegible]

(3) ஆதாரங் குறிப்பவர் சுருக்கொப்பம்

தமிழ்நாடு ஆவணக் காப்பகம்.

தமிழ்நாடு அரசு

P.W. துறை

அரசாணை எண். 165 அச்சகம்
மு. கோப்புத் தாள் எண். பலவகை

நாள் : 18.1.1894 199

மடிக்கவோ கண்டபடி கையாளவோ கூடாது.

அரசு

ஆவண. கா. 1—18,006—6-9-90.

125/

From the Executive Engineer, Kistna Eastern Division, to the Supdg. Engineer, 2nd Circle, dated 24 Aug/92 No. 2672.

With reference to your No. 2683 W dated 16 February 1892, I have the honor to forward two alternative designs for a bungalow for the Port Officer at Bundar with the following remarks.

To explain the delay in sending the Estimate, I may say that when I took charge of the Division, it had been settled by my predecessor Mr. Jopp after some consultation & correspondence with the Collector, and the then Port Officer Captain Simpson as to the accommodation required, that a bungalow should be provided on the lines of the Standard plan, for a Head Assistant Collector, and the plans & Estimate were nearly ready.

The Officer, who relieved Captain Simpson, the late Captain Hearsey asked to see the design before it was sent in, and I, therefore, sent it to him. He objected to it on the ground that there was no good site available at the Fort for such a building & proposed the alternative arrangement, for which he obtained sanction from the Presidency Port Officer.

I believe his objections to design No 1 are well founded as the only site available is liable to be flooded; whenever there is heavy rain, and the Fort bears a bad reputation as being feverish.

With regard to design No 2, it is I believe as good an arrangement as can be made for an upper story in connection with the old building & it makes the roof of the

old

old building available as a sort of substitute for a compound, which is not otherwise available. The Chief objection to it is that it faces East & West; and as it will, therefore, always have the sun on one side or the other; it is I fear likely to be very hot at times.

As, however, this arrangement was the choice of the Port Officer and as I saw no better way of providing the upper story, I have prepared the Estimate on the lines requested.

It must be observed that the cost of the building is out of all proportion to the occupants salary; but it is quite impossible to provide the accommodation asked for, for less.

I have, therefore, the honor to request that if the Estimates now sent are not approved, some definite information may be obtained from the Presidency Port Officer as to the accommodation to be provided, and whether the total cost is to be limited.

I need hardly point out that there is likely to be much waste of time & labor if fresh estimates & designs are to be prepared whenever the Port Officer happens to be changed.

(True Copy)

J W Martin

Supdg Engineer,
II Circle

B.
2/9/93

Pls E x 3
7662/53
6474

1893
Marine Dept.
Enclos. No. 1
To
C. No. 893

1323/3 Superintending Engineer's Office,

No. 1377 Ks

II CIRCLE,

Dated 3d September 1893

From,

J. W. Martin Esquire,
Superintending Engineer,
II Circle.

To,

The Chief Engineer,
P.W. department,
Madras.

Encl 19
Design No I
2 Plans
1 Report
1 Specification
1 Data
3 Detailed Ests
1 Gl Abstract
9
Design No II
2 Plans
1 Report
1 Specification
2 Detd Ests
1 Gl Abst
7
2 Plans of A Engrs Quarters
18
1 Copy of Exe Engr letter

Sir,

Referring to your memo No. 634C dated 8th February 1892 in the matter of submitting plans & estimates for a residence at Masulipatam for the Port Officer, I have the honor to forward copy of letter No. 2672 dated 24th Ultimo from the Executive Engineer, Eastern Division Kistna, forwarding two alternative plans & estimates, and at the same time explaining the cause of the delay in the matter.

2. One estimate provides for constructing a bungalow on the design

approved for a residence for a Head Assistant Collector, and the other provides for building an upper story in connection with the old Armoury building in the Fort Masulipatam. The estimate in the former case amounts to Rs 9,500, and in the latter 11,900.

3. The amount of each estimate is large in comparison with the salary of the Port Officer, which is I believe ~~Rs 300~~ Rs 300 or 350 per month. So unless Government can see its way to treating the case exceptionally, it is evident that some cheaper arrangement than has yet been proposed must be adopted in order that the rent may not exceed ? 8 percent $\frac{1}{10}$th of the Port Officer's salary. I have not examined therefore the plans & estimates but I am sending them to you in order that you may see what kind of buildings have been proposed.

4. If Government should insist on the cost of the bungalow being kept down, so as to bring the rent

within

[illegible]

within $\frac{1}{10}$th of the Port Officer's salary. I think a bungalow on almost the same design as the one at Bezwada used by the Assistant Engineer as his residence would do. The book value of this bungalow with out-houses and site is Rs 8,857, and probably we could build at Masulipatam, a bungalow on the same design for nearly the same amount, as the site there, will probably not cost so much as at Bezwada. The rent of the Assistant Engineer's bungalow is Rs 33 or about $\frac{1}{10}$th of the Port Officer's salary. I am forwarding (to be returned) the plan of the Assistant Engineer's bungalow for you to see the amount of accommodation provided. The width of the verandah is only 7 ft, which certainly is too narrow. In the case of a new house, the width should be made at least 9 feet; and this will not add much to the cost.

5.

5. To date there appears to have been some confusion about the most suitable place for a site for the Port Officer's residence, and also about the amount of accommodation that should be provided. I do not know who is responsible for fixing these points, but whoever is responsible should be directed to definitely fix them, for, ~~[illegible]~~ making alterations about the site & amount of accommodation leads to great waste of time.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

J. W. Martin
(Supt's Engineer),
& [illegible] Civil

21/9/93

P. W. CURRENT No. 7663

8.2

10474/53

No 27 C of 4.1.92
" 634 C of 8-2-92
" 1336 C of 15-3-92
" 1280 W of 21-4-92
" 3916 C of 22-8-92
" 470 D of 29-1-92
" 517 D of 20-2-92
" 297 W of 6-2-90
" 434 W of 11-2-90
" 415 W of 16-2-90

From.

The Supdg Engineer
2d Circle

From,

The Superintending Engineer,

II Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Depts

To,

The

Dated }
Received } 18

Recd: 7.9.93
Dated 3-9-93

Encl ~~19~~ 23 24
(7 plans)
At 1377 Rs

Enclosures.

NO.

SUBJECT.

Port Officer at Masulipatam - forwards plans & estimates for the residence of - & requests instructions

9-10-93

836

113/10

141/4

Copy of letter from Mr. A. J. Arundel I.C.S, dated 15th November 1893.

With reference to your endorsement No 1769 dated 5th October 1893 on the Presidency Port Officer's letter No $\frac{474}{316}$ G. dated 22nd September 1893 and enclosure (both herewith & returned), I beg leave to state that I entirely concur with the opinions expressed by that gentleman.

2. During my five years residence at Masulipatam I remember no complaint that the Bunder Fort as a whole was unhealthy. There was however a bungalow belonging to the British India Steam Navigation Company's Agent Mr. Maiden which was reported unhealthy as it was near a spot which was understood to be the grave of victims of the cyclone of 1864. The late Mr. Fletcher when Port Officer left the Fort, as Commander Streeth explains, solely because his residence became unsafe and the landlord would not repair it, and from that time I was in occasional communication either officially or demi officially with the Presidency Port Officer and the Public Works department regarding the provision of a suitable house for the Port Officer in the Fort. The duties of that officer cannot in my opinion be performed with the efficiency the position requires unless he resides in the Fort in constant and immediate control of the turbulent boat-

men whose conduct was brought to notice in my letter recorded in Government order No. 3163 dated 7th July 1892 Rev. Mis.

Not recd.

3. The Fort lies within Municipal limits and it is within the province of the Collector to call upon the Municipal Council to fulfil its duty in removing the noxious vegetation and rectifying the sanitary defects noticed in para 6 of his letter. The Kistna canal water is available for 9 months in the year and there are wells that supply both the Fort and the adjoining fishing village of Gilikaldindi.

4. There is only one road to the Fort and owing to the excessive cost of road material and the heavy traffic, it is most difficult to keep in order, so that the mere distance of three to four miles from the Masulipatam cantonment is not the only objection to a residence in the cantonment.

5. There are two good available sites for a residence. The first is the high basement of the dismantled and abandoned church of which only the walls remain. It stands within a compound of its own which has never been used as a burial ground as the cemetery lies elsewhere. Whether there is any Ecclesiastical objection to thus utilizing the basement of this ruin I have not been able to ascertain. If there is none, or if it can be removed, the position with the high

basement

is excellent. The second site is the terraced roof of a portion of the extensive buildings partly used as offices for the Port Officer and the Sea Customs and partly rented to the Agent of the British India Steam Navigation Company. This would be far out of reach of the highest storm-wave should a calamity such as that of 1864 ever overtake Masulipatam and its Fort again. The liability to submergence by a storm-wave unfortunately affects the cantonment as well as the Fort.

145/4

Marine Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers—

From the Collector Kistna, dated 29th August 1893 No. 3171/Mar.

From the Presidency Port Officer Madras, dated 22nd September 1893 No. 474/316 G.

From the same officer dated 10th November 1893 No. 474/362 G.

From Mr. A. T. Arundel I.C.S. dated 15th November 1893

From the Presidency Port Officer. Madras dated 20th November 1893, No. 474/372 G.

Order 2nd December 1893 No. 423

The Government considers that sufficient reasons exist for requiring the Port Officer at Masulipatam to reside near the scene of his work and accordingly resolves that quarters shall be erected for him. The Government is satisfied from the reports received that the locality cannot be condemned on account of unhealthiness.

2. The two estimates of Rs. 9,500 and Rs.

Rs: 11,900 prepared by the Public Works Department are considerably out of proportion to the amount the Port Officer should be required to pay as rent — viz. Rs: 24. The Public Works Department will be requested to reconsider the matter and to reduce the present or frame a new estimate for suitable quarters. It is observed that the estimate for a residence for the Port Officer at Pamban was Rs: 6400 only.

3. When an estimate is finally approved the required funds will be provided as a loan by the Madras Port Fund and the sanction of the Government of India will be requested to a rent of Rs: 24 only being charged for the new residence.

4. Mr. Arundel will be thanked for his report, which will be communicated to the Public Works Department.

5. The Government is not prepared to address the Government of India in regard to the grant of a conveyance allowance to the Port Officer.

(True Extract)

Chief Secretary.

To The Public Works Department, with plans &c.
" Presidency Port Officer.
A. T. Arundel Esqre I.C.S.

P. W. CURRENT No. 10474
[G/16]

Govt. of {1893} Madras.

To The P W Dept

Encls 27 (7 Plans)
Recd 8 12.93

No. 27 C d/ 4-1-92
" 634 C " 8-2-92
" 1336 C " 15-3-92
" 1630 C " 29-3-92
" 1280 W " 21-4-92
" 3916 C " 22-8-92
" 470 D " 29-9-92
" 567 D " 20-10-92
" 297 W " 6-2-93
" 434 W " 18-2-93
" 3344 C " 13-10-93
" 413 W " 16-12-93

Proceedings, dated 2 - 12 - 1893.
No. 423

Subject.

Directing that quarters for the Port Officer Masulipatam, near the scene of his work be erected, that the PWD be requested to reduce the estimates prepared or frame a new one for suitable quarters; stating that when one is finally approved funds will be provided & the sanction of the Govt of India asked to a rent of Rs 2 to being charged but declining to apply for a grant of Conveyance allce

1,000—20-2-93

C. No. 10474
182/c
1450 W

Note

The S.E. wishes to be informed of the exact amount of accommodation which should be provided and suggests the design for the Assist. Engineer Quarters at Bezwada —

The design now approved for the Residence at Paumben is very similar to that for the Assist. Engineer at Bezwada, with the exception that no side verandahs are shown, and the bedrooms embrace those portions of the building shown as front verandahs in the Bezwada design —

I think the draft should be altered —

Leave out the part of the draft scored out & add instead

"This design is somewhat similar to that proposed by the S.E. viz for the Assistant Engineer's quarters at Bezwada. Either of these designs, or modifications thereof may be adopted, provided that the limit of cost is not exceeded"

3 — -

13.12.93

Number this after the G.O. about quarters for the P.O. Pamban

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 145. W dated 18th January 1894

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Proceedings of Govt. in the Marine Deptt. } (H.E.) No. 423 d/2 Decr 1893

W
10 Jan

Communicated to the Superintending Engineer II circle with reference to his letter No. 1377 H.S. dated 3rd September 1893.

2. The site for the proposed new building should be definitely fixed by the Suptg. Engineer in communication with the Collector and the Presidency Port officer, and a revised plan and estimate should be submitted not exceeding Rs 6,400 if possible. A copy of the plan of the quarters proposed for the Port officer at Pamban will

be furnished to the Suptg: Engineer II circle. This design is somewhat similar to that proposed by the Suptg. Engineer, ~~viz~~, for the Assistant Engineer's quarters at Bezwada. Either of these designs, or modifications thereof may be adopted, provided that the limit of cost is not exceeded.

3. The remarks in paras 3 of G.O. No. 71 W., 11th January 1894 relative to ~~the rules governing~~ the amount of rent to be paid by officers occupying Government quarters ~~are~~ is applicable also to the present case.

No. 145 W., 18 January 1894

Secy: for [illegible]

DESPATCHED
25 JAN. 94

To
The S. E. II Circle (with 24 Enclos:, copy of Mr. Arundel's letter and copy of G.O. No. [illegible] W.)

Marine Depts.

KVB 16.12.93

(T. C. No. 10365)

16

C.26.1