

the contractor refuses
to receive the amount
on these terms, it will
be paid into Court on
the Contractor filing
the suit which he
threatens to institute.

Claims prepared by a
Contractor on account of
work said to have been
done.

W

CURR
30/5/94

S
30.5

No: 1376 W. d 4 June 1894.

TRAN

Q

20,
The Petitioner ✓
" S. E. W. Cole ✓

INDEXED
6/6 1266 2576

R.P. 22.31/5

CURRENT No. 376
the contractor refuses
to receive the amount
on these terms, it will
be paid into Court on
the Contractor filing
the suit which he
threatens to institute.

Claims prepared by a
Contractor on account of
work said to have been
done.

W

CCM
30/5/94

S
30.5

No. 1376 W. d. 4 June 1894.

20,
The Petitioner ✓
" S. E. W. Clerk ✓

INDEXED
6/6 20/6 25/6

R.P.
11.30/5

P. W. CURRENT No. 3875

(1894) DEPARTMENT

From C. F. Mac Carrie Esq.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE G.

To
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Public Works

Dated 21/5/94
Received at

TRAN

No: 1376 W. d

June 1894.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Petition from P. Sunganatha
Reddy, late Contractor, N. Arcot
District _____ dated 18 May 1894.

In G.O. No. 1053 W dated
24 April 1894 the petitioner
was informed that
the Government declined
to interfere in the
matter of his claims
and there seems no
reason to reconsider
that decision.

W
31 May

2. It would appear
that the Executive Engineer
is prepared to pay, as
a final settlement of
all claims, the amount
admittedly due. If

(1894) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carke Esq. J.C.S.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Dated 21st May 1894

Received at Oct 22/5/94

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Ranganatha

Reddy requesting orders issued for the payment the amount due for done in the North and giving notice of proceedings if the amount withheld any longer

No 54 Cd/521-92
1215 Cd/10-3
1052 Wd/

2788

No: 1376 W. d June 1894.

PROCEEDINGS

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

It is stated that the sum of Rs. 1600 + 300 is admittedly due, this sum is not in the hands of the contractor, N. Arunk

dated 18th May 1894.

In G.O. No. 1053 W dated 24th April 1894 the petitioner was informed that the Government declines to interfere in the matter of his claims and there seems no reason to reconsider the decision. The petitioner is to be addressed to the Executive Engineer at the settlement of his claims, the amount admittedly due. If

Petitioner states that his petition of 9th March remains undisturbed up to date. The despatch book however shows that the G.O. was dated on 25th April at decision. The petitioner is to be addressed to the Executive Engineer at the settlement of his claims, the amount admittedly due. If

(1894) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carthy Esq. J.C.S.
THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,
To THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Dated 21st May 1894
Received at 22/5/94
No. 24.5.94

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Rangamathy Reddy requesting orders issued for the payment the amount due for done in the North Arc and giving notice of proceedings if the amount withheld any longer

No 54 Cd/ 52-1-92
1215 Cd/ 16-3-
1052 Wd/

Cu. 3875

On

Previous papers submitted as ordered appear in his former petition disbursed of Rs. 10524 of 24.4.94. The Contractor claimed a sum of Rs. 3619 with interest, & gave notice of a suit to recover this amount.

In his present petition he says that the amount which is admitted to be due to him (Rs. 1600, plus Rs. 385 security deposit) may be paid, leaving him to take order

June 1894. PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

dated 18 May 1894.

C.O. No. 1053W dated 24/5/94 1894 the petitioner states that the Government declined an offer of 9% remains in the disputed claims however shows the R.O. was on 25 April 1894 the address given by the petitioner as Engineer

Cu

the amount of the amount due. If

22/5/94
22/5/94

TO

(1894) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carke Esq. Secy.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public Works Department.

Dated

Received

1894

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from

Ranganatha Reddy requesting orders issued for the payment the amount due for done in the North Arc and giving notice of proceedings if the amount withheld any longer



No 1052 W.

Copy

Pallikonda

To

Despatcher, P. W. Secretary

June 1894.

THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

18 May 1894.

disagree... The contractor... pressed his intention of going to law in any case, that is whether he is paid what is admittedly due or otherwise - 345 There seems no object therefore due, in paying what is admittedly due, unless he is prepared to accept the amount in full settlement of all demands.

Whether he fights for Rs 3619 or Rs 1985 the legal expenses will, presumably, be the same. And the only object in paying anything more is to get the contractor's final receipt. If he goes to law the same admitted due might be paid into Court. The Contractor says that the Executive Engineer is willing to pay this amount in full for a receipt in final settlement of all claims - but for otherwise: and the Govt have in order No 1052 W dated 24 April 1894 declined to interfere. There seems no reason to reconsider that decision.

24/5/94... 1053 W dated... the petitioner... that... remains... The department declines... known... The R.O. was... on 25 April... address given... the petitioner... Cr

as... sent of... the amount... due. If

(1894) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carrie Esq. J.C.S.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE
RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Public Works Department.

Dated 21st May 1894


Received at 10¹⁵ 22/5/94

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Ranga Reddy requesting or issued for the payn the amount due done in the North and giving notice proceedings if the worth held any

No 54 C.M. 521-92
1215 C.M. 15
10



Ranga Reddy
Latu Contractor & Abdullahapur
Sembayam



The

MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

May 1894.

subject is
final
to law
due
to Court.
there
is willing
in return
final
claims
and the
order 1052 W
declined
no
under that
25-4-94
address
Patel

due of

P. W. CURRENT No. 3875

(1894) DEPARTMENT.

From C. F. Mac Carthy Esq. J.C.

THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Public Works Department.

Dated 21st May 1894

Received at 10¹⁵ 22/5/94

ENCLOSURE.

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Ranganatha Reddy requesting orders to be issued for the payment of the amount due for work done in the North Arcot Dist. and giving notice of legal proceedings if the amount is withheld any longer.

No 54 Cdf. 5-1-92

1215 Cdf. 16-3-94

1052 Wdf. 24-4-94

A. W.

In a recent petition (Cus 3875 sent to Govt on 24-5-94)

Contractor A. Ranganatha Reddy stated that he had received no orders on his previous memorial -

In sending up Cus 3875, I stated that the G.O. on the previous petition had been duly despatched to the address given by the contractor.

You will see from the accq. cover that the petitioner could not be found at the address given by himself - He has this day come here to me from the dead letter

MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

May 1894.

dated 9th 1894

is willing to return

claims - and the

declined

no order that

due of

Madras for previous petition of Ranganatha Reddy - The Chief Secretary has been served on the 22/5/94

lin
way

to file the suit before the end of this
month. Your humble petitioner would
therefore crave your Excellency in Council
to be pleased to pass early orders on
this humble petition.

For this act of kindness and
justice, your petitioner shall ever
pray.

W. S. S. S. S.

18 May 1894 } Late Contractor

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Petition from P. Rangawathakaddy
Late Contractor, D.P. W. North Arcot
Dt.

DATED 18 May 1894

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

TRANSFERRED to the Secretary to Govern.
Public Works Department, for

(By Order.)

Private Secretary.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Obtained 1894.
21st May

Singular fact and indefensible position
of the Executive Engineer in refusing to
pay petitioner what he admits to be due
to petitioner. The Executive Engineer admits
that a certain sum of money though far
below the true figure is due to petitioner.
The petitioner requested the Executive
Engineer to pay him the amount, which
the Executive Engineer says is due to him
leaving him to go to a Civil Court for the
recovery of any further amount petitioner
may claim over and above what he is
paid. Your Excellency's petitioner need
hardly here observe that there is nothing
unreasonable in this request. ^{For} on the
other hand, it is one that is ~~is~~ made every
day in the year in all human concerns
and universally complied with. To settle
petitioner's claims so far as they are un-
disputed by either party and admitted
by both, and leave him to go to a Civil
Court for the other disputed items is but
fair and reasonable. This neither the
Executive Engineer nor the Superintending
Engineer would do. He ordered petitioner
to receive what he would pay, and
virtually to give up all right to go to
a Civil Court and if he did not choose

Secy of Govt

His Excellency the Right
Honorable Baron Peelby Wenlock,
Governor of Fort Saint George
in Council

The humble petition of Purnanatha
Reddy late Contractor of Adakkaparam
and Sembraya nello tanks in the
District of North Arcot and residing
at Wallajah North Arcot District

Most Respectfully sheweth

That your Excellency's humble petition
entered into a contract with the
Engineer D. P. North Arcot for
and repairing works of various
to the said two tanks stipulated
finish them by the 1st Decemr

2. That while the contract was in
progress, the agreement was illegally
and the work stopped
On 1st
the progress of the work having been
not taking any proceedings at

Secretary of state for India for establishing
his claim and to that end submitted on
7th March last a notice to the Chief
Secretary to your Excellency's Government
under Section 424 Civil Procedure Code
which petition remains up to date
apparently unnoticed.

That very great injustice has been
done to the petitioner will be shown by
the petitioner's applications to the Executive
and Superintending Engineers dated 25th
July 1892 and 5th December 1892 respectively
in both of which and especially in the
former he has dwelt upon the subject
fully and discussed the pros and cons of
his hard case in detail and in all its
aspects. To these applications, copies of
which were submitted with the aforesaid
notice to the Chief Secretary, your humble
petitioner would beg leave to invite your
Excellency's consideration. All his requests
to have his case heard and wrongs redressed
having been unheeded, the petitioner has
been compelled to have recourse to the
proceedings in the Court of law.
But before doing so he thought and
has saved a good deal of expense both
to himself and to Government by
bringing it to your Excellency's notice the
reasons, but not the sum of an